European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology



0

www.eshre.eu/guidelines



# Female Fertility Preservation

Guideline of the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology

2020 ESHRE Female Fertility Preservation Guideline Development Group

#### Disclaimer

The European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (hereinafter referred to as 'ESHRE') developed the current clinical practice guideline, to provide clinical recommendations to improve the quality of healthcare delivery within the European field of human reproduction and embryology. This guideline represents the views of ESHRE, which were achieved after careful consideration of the scientific evidence available at the time of preparation. In the absence of scientific evidence on certain aspects, a consensus between the relevant ESHRE stakeholders has been obtained.

The aim of clinical practice guidelines is to aid healthcare professionals in everyday clinical decisions about appropriate and effective care of their patients.

However, adherence to these clinical practice guidelines does not guarantee a successful or specific outcome, nor does it establish a standard of care. Clinical practice guidelines do not override the healthcare professional's clinical judgment in diagnosis and treatment of particular patients. Ultimately, healthcare professionals must make their own clinical decisions on a case-by-case basis, using their clinical judgment, knowledge, and expertise, and taking into account the condition, circumstances, and wishes of the individual patient, in consultation with that patient and/or the guardian or carer.

ESHRE makes no warranty, express or implied, regarding the clinical practice guidelines and specifically excludes any warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular use or purpose. ESHRE shall not be liable for direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages related to the use of the information contained herein. While ESHRE makes every effort to compile accurate information and to keep it up-to-date, it cannot, however, guarantee the correctness, completeness, and accuracy of the guideline in every respect. In any event, these clinical practice guidelines do not necessarily represent the views of all clinicians that are member of ESHRE.

The information provided in this document does not constitute business, medical or other professional advice, and is subject to change.

## Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	5
Target users of the guideline	.5
Guideline scope	.5
Patient population	.6
Terminology and definitions	.6

7

#### LIST OF ALL RECOMMENDATIONS

LIST OF ALL RECOMMENDATIONS	7
PART A: ORGANIZATION AND AVAILABILITY OF FERTILITY PRESERVATION CARE A1. Organisation of care	16 16
Model of care in FP	
Multidisciplinary team approach	17
Steps to overcome barriers to fertility preservation	19
Specific care for transgender men	21
Specific care for adolescents	22
Key organisational features for establishing a FP program	23
The need for data collection	
A2. Legal aspects and availability	26
Cancer patients	26
Patients with benign diseases	26
Transgender men A3. Storage of reproductive material	26 <b>32</b>
PART B: PATIENT INFORMATION	35
Detient preferences	35
Patient preferences	
Decision aids to support patients decision-making	
B2. Support and counselling	40 <b>43</b>
Is there a benefit of psychological support and counselling?	43
Selection of patients for psychological support and counselling	44
PART C: PATIENT SELECTION AND PRE-FP ASSESSMENT C1. Patient selection C2. Gonadotoxic treatments	48 48 50
Cancer	51
Benign diseases	
C3. Ovarian reserve testing	63
Cancer	63
Benign diseases	65
Elective oocyte cryopreservation	69

D2. Ovarian Stimulation in treatments aimed at FP	/
Preferred protocol	7
Random-start protocol	7
Double stimulation	7
Ovarian stimulation with potentially safer protocols aiming at reducing estrogenic effects and	risks7
Ovarian stimulation for FP in transgender men	7
D3. Oocyte cryopreservation	8
Efficacy of cryopreserved oocytes for fertility preservation	8
Safety and risks	8
Choice of cryopreservation of oocytes versus embryos	8
D4. Oocyte cryopreservation for non-medical reasons	8
Current guidelines on elective oocyte cryopreservation	
Debates over elective oocyte cryopreservation	
Issues with elective oocyte cryopreservation	
Consent and counselling procedures	9
D5. Embryo cryopreservation	9
Embryo stage at time of cryopreservation and method of cryopreservation	Ç
Effect of age or previous gonadotoxic treatment	Ç
Efficacy of cryopreserved embryos for fertility preservation and usage rates	G
Choice of cryopreservation of oocytes versus embryos	G
Safety and risks	Ç
D6. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation	9
Success rates in patients with cancer and benign conditions	9
The ovarian tissue cryopreservation (OTC) procedure	G
The ovarian tissue transplantation (OTT) procedure	9
Impact of ongoing treatments and previous history of chemotherapy on OTC procedure	
OTC in a combined procedure	
OTC for other indications	10
Vitrification versus slow-freezing	10
Replacing ovarian tissue: safety concerns	
D7 In vitro maturation (IVM)	11
In vitro maturation (IVM) after in vivo oocyte aspiration	
In vitro maturation (IVM) after ex vivo extraction of oocytes from ovarian specimens	11
D8. GnRH agonists	12
Cancer	
Benign diseases	
GnRH agonists for Fertility Preservation (all patients)	
Dg. Ovarian transposition	12
Efficacy	

PART E: AFTER TREATMENT CARE	130
E1. Patient assessment prior to use of stored material	130
Cancer patients	
Transgender men	
E2. Obstetric outcomes	137
Effect of chemotherapy	
Effect of Pelvic radiotherapy	
Breast cancer	
Gynaecological cancers	
Endometrial cancer	
Other cancers	
Transgender men	

PART F. ONGOING DEVELOPMENTS IN FP	149
Technologies involving transplantation into the patient	
Technologies that do not involve transplantation	
Treatments to prevent gonadotoxic-induced POI	

ANNEX 1: GUIDELINE GROUP	159
Declarations of interest	
ANNEX 2: ABBREVIATIONS	161
ANNEX 3: RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS	162
ANNEX 4: METHODOLOGY	163
ANNEX 5: STAKEHOLDER REVIEW	166
ANNEX 6 : SURVEY ON THE LEGAL ASPECTS	S AND STORAGE: METHODOLOGY 167
ANNEX 7: LITERATURE STUDY REPORT	SEPARATE DOCUMENT
ANNEX 8: EVIDENCE TABLES	SEPARATE DOCUMENT

## Introduction

- 2 This is the first ESHRE evidence-based guideline on female fertility preservation.
- 3 The guideline was developed according to a well-documented methodology, universal to ESHRE
- 4 guidelines and described in the Manual for ESHRE guideline development (www.eshre.eu). Details
- 5 on the methodology of the current guideline are outlined in Annex 4.
- 6 The guideline development group (GDG) was composed of (previous) members of the coordination
- 7 of the SIG Fertility Preservation and Quality and safety in ART, with addition of experts in the field,
- 8 including a psychologist, oncologist, ethicist and 2 patient representatives. The members of the
- 9 guideline development group are listed in Annex 1.

#### 10 Target users of the guideline

- 11 The guideline is aimed at healthcare professionals who have direct contact with, and make
- 12 decisions concerning the care of women scheduled to undergo gonadotoxic treatments or women
- 13 considering fertility preservation for other reasons. This includes, but is not limited to, reproductive
- 14 medicine specialists, endocrinologists, oncologists and oncological surgeons and gynaecologists,
- 15 paramedical and reproductive biologists (including embryologists), and geneticists.
- 16 For the benefit of patient education and shared decision-making, a patient version of this guideline
- 17 will be developed.

#### 18 Guideline scope

19 The field of fertility preservation has grown hugely in the last two decades, driven by the increasing 20 recognition of the importance of potential loss of fertility as a very important effect of the treatment 21 of cancer and other serious diseases, and the development of the enabling technologies of oocyte

- vitrification and ovarian tissue cryopreservation for subsequent autografting. This has led to the
- widespread (though uneven) provision of fertility preservation for many women and indeed young
   girls, in parallel to the long-established option of sperm cryopreservation for postpubertal men. The
- very rapid development of this field in clinical practice, yet with limited data on outcomes, has led
- to the need for the evaluation of the underpinning evidence base and the development of
- guidelines to assist practitioners in its safe and effective implementation.

28 In this document ESHRE seeks to provide an evidence-based guideline for the provision of fertility preservation services. The remit and scope are to evaluate all aspects of this topic in relation to its 29 application for adult women and specifically to include its relevance to transgender men. Its 30 application for prepubertal girls is not included comprehensively, although the application of 31 ovarian tissue cryopreservation for this patient group is alluded to in the relevant section. 32 33 Additionally, this guideline seeks to be inclusive regarding indications for fertility preservation. Thus, in addition to cancer diagnoses as the most common indication for FP, its application in other 34 serious diseases where treatment with cytotoxic agents is necessary is also considered, as are 35 emerging indications in other metabolic, genetic and chromosomal conditions such as Turner 36 Syndrome. Women are increasingly opting to cryopreserve oocytes for non-medical indications, a 37 38 process often called "social egg freezing". The medical and ethical aspects of this indication are also included in this guideline. In many of these conditions the evidence base remains limited, and 39

40 we have sought to highlight particular areas where further research is needed.

#### 42 Patient population

- 43 The current document outlines FP options for 4 populations:
- 44 Women diagnosed with cancer undergoing anticancer treatments
- 45 Women with benign diseases undergoing gonadotoxic treatments and with conditions that 46 mean they will loose their fertility prematurely, eg Turner syndrome
- 47 Transgender patients (assigned females at birth)
- 48 Women requesting elective oocyte cryopreservation
- 49 In any of these 4 populations, the guideline restricts the recommendations to adults and adolescent
- 50 (post pubertal) patients that are considered healthy enough and suitable to undergo FP procedures.
- 51 Specific issues on adolescents are covered where relevant throughout the guideline.

#### 52 Terminology and definitions

- 53 For consistency and clarity, the guideline group decided on the terminology used throughout this
- 54 document, where relevant in line with published terminologies.
- 55

Suggested terminology	Instead of
FP in cancer patients	oncofertility
MAR (medically assisted reproduction)	ART (assisted reproductive technology)
Oocyte cryopreservation	Egg freezing
Embryo cryopreservation	Embryo freezing
Transgender men	Transgenders, transgender people, assigned females at birth
Oocyte pick-up (OPU)	Oocyte retrieval, oocyte collection
AYA (adolescents and young adults)	
TAYA (transgender adolescents and young adults)	

56

- 57 With regards to the healthcare professionals involved, the guideline uses "clinical care team" to
- indicate the team (whatever the composition) organizing and caring for the patients' primary
   condition. Some examples include the oncology team, the rheumatology team, the endometriosis
   team, the transgender identity team, etc.
- 61 Fertility and fertility preservation (FP) are considered by a team of clinicians and associated
- 62 healthcare professionals at the fertility clinic (hereafter referred to as the "FP team")
- 63 A list of abbreviations used in this document is included in Annex 2.

## List of all recommendations



[8]			
PA	RT B: PATIENT INFORMATION		
Whi	ch information needs to be provided to women at risk of info	ertility?	
1	Clinicians should provide information to patients regarding 1) impact of cancer, other diseases and their treatments on reproductive function; 2) impact of cancer, other diseases and their treatment on fertility, 3) fertility preservation options; 4) cryopreservation related issues after FP, 5) infertility and fertility treatments; 6) pregnancy after cancer; and 7) other childbearing and parenting options.	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
2	Information provided should be specific to the patients' needs.	GPP	
3	Age-specific information and counselling should be provided for adolescents and young adults.	GPP	
Ηον	v should information on fertility preservation options be prov	ided to patie	ents?
4	It is recommended to provide decision aids to patients who are considering FP.	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
5	Healthcare professionals may consider the use of a checklist for a better provision of information to patients.	WEAK	⊕000
ls th	nere a benefit of psychological support and counselling, and	are there pa	rticular groups that would benefit from it?
6	It is recommended that patients are offered psychological support and counselling when dealing with FP decisions, although the extent of the clinical benefit has not been studied.	STRONG	⊕000
7	Clinicians may consider referring FP patients who present risk factors for psychological distress for psychological support and counselling.	WEAK	⊕OOO
PA	RT C: PATIENT SELECTION AND PRE-FP ASSESSMENT		
Which criteria can be used to select patients for fertility preservation?			
8	Patients require an individual assessment of the need and suitability of FP.	GPP	
9	A multidisciplinary team is recommended to have an accurate assessment of risks.	GPP	

Which factors should be taken into account when estimating the individual risk of gonadotoxicity for a certain patient?			
10	The risk of gonadotoxicity should be assessed in all patients undergoing anticancer treatments	GPP	
11	To estimate the individual risk of gonadotoxicity, the characteristics of the proposed treatment, the patient and the disease should be considered	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
ls it	relevant to do ovarian reserve testing, and for whom?		
12	Pre-treatment ovarian function, in particular through AMH levels, in premenopausal women with a diagnosis of breast cancer or haematological malignancy is a relevant predictor of post-treatment recovery of ovarian function (evaluated as recovery of menses).	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
13	For patients in whom you want to know fertility status, the value of pre- treatment AMH levels for predicting post-treatment fertility is unclear.	WEAK	0000
14	Age, pre-treatment AMH levels, as well as proposed gonadotoxic treatment type and dose, should be taken into consideration when estimating the risk of post-treatment POI.	STRONG	0000
15	Pre-treatment ovarian reserve testing in women with malignancies (other than breast or haematological cancer) is likely to be of high relevance, based on the indirect evidence from breast and haematological cancers.	WEAK	0000
16	The relevance of ovarian reserve testing to help guide fertility preservation options or treatment decisions in SLE patients is low.	WEAK	0000
17	The relevance of ovarian testing to help guide fertility preservation options or treatment decisions in endometriosis patients remains inconclusive.	WEAK	0000
18	In patients with endometriosis, the involvement of the ovaries and the radicality of surgery influence ovarian reserve as measured by AMH levels, however their effect on future fertility is unclear.	GPP	
19	For women with overt POI, fertility preservation is not recommended.	GPP	
20	For women with reduced ovarian reserve (Bologna criteria, AMH 0.5-1.1ng/ml), advise needs to be individualized and the value of FP is unclear.	GPP	

[10]			
21	For predicting high and low response to ovarian stimulation, use of either antral follicle count (AFC) or anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) is recommended over other ovarian reserve tests.	STRONG	00 <del>0</del>
PAP	RT D: FERTILITY PRESERVATION INTERVENTIONS		
How	should ovarian stimulation be performed in cancer patients	s undergo	ing FP treatment?
22	For ovarian stimulation in women seeking fertility preservation for medical reasons the GnRH antagonist protocol is recommended for its feasibility in urgent situations, short time and safety reasons.	STRONG	⊕OOO
23	For patients requiring ovarian stimulation where there is a lack of urgency, the use of a long protocol may be appropriate.	WEAK	⊕000
24	In urgent fertility preservation cycles, random-start ovarian stimulation is an important option.	WEAK	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
25	Double stimulation can be considered for urgent fertility preservation cycles.	WEAK	\$\$OO
26	In ovarian stimulation for fertility preservation in estrogen-sensitive diseases the concomitant use of anti-estrogen therapy, such as letrozole, is probably recommended.	GPP	
27	For ovarian stimulation in transgender men aiming at oocyte cryopreservation, GnRH antagonist protocols have been reported as feasible and with numbers of oocytes retrieved comparable to those obtained in cisgender women when individuals have stopped previous treatment with testosterone.	WEAK	⊕OOO
28	Addition of letrozole to the antagonist protocol may enhance treatment adherence for transgender men by reducing estrogenic symptoms.	GPP	
ls oc	cyte cryopreservation effective and safe for FP?		
29	Oocyte cryopreservation should be offered as an established option for fertility preservation.	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
30	Women with a partner should be offered the option to cryopreserve unfertilized oocytes or to split the oocytes to attempt both embryo and oocyte cryopreservation.	GPP	
31	Women should be informed of accurate, centre-specific expertise and live birth rates. They should also be informed that success rates after	GPP	

[10]

[11]			
	cryopreservation of oocytes at the time of a cancer diagnosis may be lower than in women without cancer.		
32	Women considering elective oocyte cryopreservation should be fully informed regarding the success rates, risks, benefits, costs and the possible long-term consequences, both in terms of physical and psychological health.	STRONG	<b>@</b> 000
33	Suitability should be determined on a case-by-case basis.	GPP	
ls er	nbryo cryopreservation effective and safe for fertility prese	rvation?	
34	Embryo cryopreservation is an established option for fertility preservation.	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
35	Women should be informed about the risk of losing reproductive autonomy and possible issues with ownership of stored embryos.	GPP	
36	Women should be informed of accurate, centre-specific expertise and live birth rates. They should also be informed that success rates after cryopreservation of embryos at the time of a cancer diagnosis may be lower than in women without cancer.	GPP	
Shou	uld ovarian tissue cryopreservation (OTC) versus no interver	ntion be use	ed for FP?
37	OTC is an effective method for ovarian function and fertility preservation. It is recommended to offer OTC in patients undergoing moderate/high risk gonadotoxic treatment where oocyte/embryo cryopreservation is not feasible, or at patient preference.	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
38	OTC should probably not be offered to patients with low ovarian reserve (AMH<0.4ng/ml and AFC<5) or aged above 36 years considering the unfavourable risk/benefit.	WEAK	<b>⊕</b> 000
39	The GDG recommends that OTC is considered to be an innovative method for ovarian function and fertility preservation in post-pubertal women.	GPP	
40	Young patients who have already received low gonadotoxic treatment or a previous course of chemotherapy, can be offered OTC as FP option.	WEAK	<b>⊕</b> 000
41	Ovarian stimulation can be performed immediately after OTC.	WEAK	0000
42	OTC at the time of oocyte pick-up after ovarian stimulation should not be performed unless in a research context.	RESEARCH ONLY	
43	Ovarian transposition can be performed at the same time as OTC in patients who will receive pelvic irradiation.	GPP	

44	OTC is not recommended as primary FP procedure in transgender men but can be proposed as an experimental option when ovaries are removed during gender reassignment surgery.	GPP	
45	Ovarian tissue transplantation (OTT) can be considered in patients with POI-associated genetic and chromosomal disorders but requires genetic counselling and should be performed within a research protocol.	RESEARCH ONLY	
Sho	uld vitrification versus slow-freezing be used for ovarian tiss	sue cryopre	servation for FP?
46	The slow-freezing protocol for OTC is well-established and considered as standard.	STRONG	0000
47	Vitrification of ovarian tissue should only be offered within a research program.	RESEARCH ONLY	
Whi	ch safety issues should be considered when replacing ovar	ian tissue?	
48	A standard laparoscopy procedure for OTT is considered safe without causing additional surgical risk.	STRONG	⊕⊕○○
49	OTT at the orthotopic site is recommended to restore fertility	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
50	The decision to perform OTT in oncological patients requires a multidisciplinary approach.	GPP	
51	It is recommended to evaluate the presence of residual neoplastic cells in the ovarian cortex (and in the residual medulla when available) using appropriate techniques in all cancer survivors before OTT.	STRONG	⊕000
52	OTT is not recommended in cases where the ovary is involved in the malignancy.	STRONG	⊕000
53	Hormone-sensitive tumours such as endometrial and breast cancer are not a contraindication for OTT and pregnancy after complete remission of the disease.	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
54	There appears to be no increased risk of congenital abnormalities for children born after OTT.	WEAK	⊕000
55	Long-term risks in human are considered to be low but a long-term follow-up of patients after OTT is probably recommended.	GPP	
56	OTT can be offered in BRCA patients, but the ovarian tissue must be completely removed after subsequent pregnancy.	WEAK	000

[12]

[13]			
Shou	uld in vitro maturation (IVM) be used for FP?		
57	IVM should be regarded as an innovative FP procedure.	STRONG	0000
58	IVM requires specific expertise and should only be performed when oocyte cryopreservation is required but ovarian stimulation not feasible.	GPP	
59	IVM after ex vivo extraction is considered an experimental procedure	WEAK	⊕000
Shou	uld GnRH agonists versus no treatment be used for ovarian p	protection in	patients undergoing gonadotoxic treatment?
60	GnRH agonists during chemotherapy should be offered as an option for ovarian function protection in premenopausal breast cancer patients receiving chemotherapy; however, limited evidence exists on their protective effect on the ovarian reserve and the potential for future pregnancies.	STRONG	⊕⊕⊕⊕
61	In women with breast cancer, GnRH agonists during chemotherapy should not be considered an option for fertility preservation instead of cryopreservation techniques.	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$
62	In malignancies other than breast cancer, GnRH agonists should not be offered as an option for ovarian function protection and fertility preservation.	STRONG	0000
63	GnRH agonists during chemotherapy may be considered as an option for ovarian function protection in premenopausal patients with autoimmune diseases receiving cyclophosphamide. However, it should be acknowledged that limited data are available in this setting.	WEAK	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
64	GnRH agonists should not be considered an equivalent or alternative option for fertility preservation but can be offered after cryopreservation techniques or when they are not possible.	GPP	
Shou	uld transposition of ovaries versus no treatment be used for	ovarian prote	ection?
65	Where pelvic radiotherapy without chemotherapy is planned, women may be offered ovarian transposition with the aim to prevent premature ovarian insufficiency.	WEAK	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
66	Women with reduced ovarian reserve and women at risk of having ovarian metastases are inappropriate candidates for ovarian transposition.	GPP	

[14]			
PAF	RT E: AFTER TREATMENT CARE		
How	should patients be re-assessed before use of stored mater	ial?	
67	Before the use of stored material, fitness for pregnancy should be thoroughly assessed, taking into account treatment late effects, the age of the patient and the interval since treatment.	STRONG	0000
68	The need for psychological counselling, pre-conception counselling and fertility treatment counselling should be considered for all patients. Local guidelines for counselling should be followed.	GPP	
Wha	t is the effect of previous gonadotoxic treatments and unde	erlying condition	ions on obstetric outcomes?
69	Preconception counselling and appropriate obstetric monitoring is recommended in women intending to become pregnant after anticancer treatments.	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$
70	An interval of at least 1 year following chemotherapy completion should be considered before attempting a pregnancy in order to reduce the risk of pregnancy complications.	STRONG	\$000
71	Radiotherapy to a field that included the uterus increases the risk of pregnancy complications; this risk is age and dose dependent. These pregnancies should be treated as high risk and managed in a centre with advanced maternity services.	STRONG	⊕000
72	After completion of the recommended treatment, pregnancy is safe in women who have survived breast cancer. This is independent of estrogen receptor status of the tumour.	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
73	Pregnancy after treatment for breast cancer should be closely monitored, as there is an increased risk of preterm birth and low birth weight. Patients should be informed about these risks.	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$
74	Reliable non-hormonal contraception is mandatory during tamoxifen treatment. It is recommended to stop tamoxifen for at least 3 months before attempting pregnancy.	GPP	
75	Women with endometrial cancer, should be followed up for high-risk pregnancy and monitored by an oncologist, due to the risk of relapse.	STRONG	⊕000
76	The risk of preterm birth is increased after treatment for early cervical cancer and these pregnancies should be treated as high risk and managed in a centre with advanced maternity services.	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$

# PART A: Organization and availability of fertility preservation (FP) care

## <sup>3</sup> A1. Organisation of care

#### 4 NARRATIVE QUESTION: HOW SHOULD THE CARE FOR WOMEN UNDERGOING FERTILITY 5 PRESERVATION (FP) BE ORGANIZED?

6

This guideline focuses on several distinct groups of patients, including patients diagnosed with 7 cancer undergoing gonadotoxic treatments, patients with benign diseases undergoing 8 gonadotoxic treatments or those with a genetic condition predisposing to premature ovarian 9 insufficiency, transgender men (assigned females at birth), and women requesting elective oocyte 10 cryopreservation. Despite differences between these patients, FP care should be organized in an 11 optimal way to accommodate all of them, taking into consideration the appropriate local legal 12 context. 13 With regards to organization of care, the most important difference between the patient types lies 14

in the urgency of FP treatment. For instance, in oncology patients, FP treatment is often urgent (not

to cause a delay in starting cancer treatments), and this requires different communication and

- referral pathways, compared to other indications where FP treatment can be discussed with thepatient, fully considered and scheduled conveniently.
- 19 In order to improve the quality of health care for patients undergoing FP, a multi-level approach is 20 necessary (<u>Ferlie and Shortell, 2001</u>), addressing issues specific to:
- 21 1. The patient (and his/her partner and/or parents),
- 22 2. Professionals,
- 23 3. Organization (clinic, hospital),
- 24 4. Policy makers and general population.
- 25

All these issues require consideration when developing and optimising the organization of care inFP.

### 28 Model of care in FP

- 29 The current chapter on organisation of care and the chapter on information provision combined
- 30 picture an overview of how the care for a patient eligible for FP can be organised. Figure 1
- 31 provides a schematic presentation of the most relevant information (Figure 1).

[17]

#### 33 Figure 1 Model of care for patients eligible for fertility preservation



34 35

#### 36 Multidisciplinary team approach

Women eligible for FP interventions will be managed by different clinical care teams. The clinical care team consists of the oncology team for cancer patients, a rheumatologist, gynaecologist, endocrinologist, haematologist, or another specialist physician for women with benign diseases, and the gender assignment team for transgender men. Women requesting elective oocyte cryopreservation may directly approach the FP team, or be referred by their general practitioner or gynaecologist.

The FP team should consist of fertility specialists, and embryologists, but should also include a psychologist or counsellor. A dedicated psychologist or counsellor improves communication between doctors and patients and helps to meet emotional needs (<u>Razzano *et al.*</u> 2014).

It is critical to ensure there is a direct communication pathway with the FP team. Including a key support person (termed "coordinator") in the clinical care team can be considered to support the patients and ensure they are offered timely referral to the FP team (see Figure 2). This person can

49 also be responsible for communication regarding clinical trials.

50 Whenever FP treatment is considered for adolescents, the inclusion of a paediatrician in the clinical 51 care or FP team is recommended (more information in the section on adolescents below).

52 The clinical care team is usually responsible for referral to the FP team. For timely and appropriate

referral, awareness of the different FP options with their benefits and limitations is essential. (see

54 section on Oncologists' awareness of FP options). As FP is a rapidly developing area and new

55 methods or strategies are continuously being developed, there is a need to share information and

56 have ongoing communication between the teams.

[18]

57 Figure 2 The multidisciplinary team and the role of the "coordinator"



58

#### 59

#### 60 Conclusion

There should be agreement on who is responsible for the different issues:

- Referral: who is responsible, and how, what information should be included?
- Standard forms including diagnosis, intended therapy, time interval are recommended.
- Check if FP counselling has been offered and has taken place.
- FP treatment: a member of the FP team should discuss any FP treatment with the clinical care team before starting treatment.

#### Registration:

- All relevant medical information should be documented in the patients' medical records.
- All patients undergoing FP should have been counselled about the legal and financial consequences and must have given written informed consent.
- Accurate documentation, especially about the gametes/embryos/tissue stored, is essential as it may be in storage for many years.

There should be a direct link between the clinical care team and the FP team, preferably in multidisciplinary team meetings.

There should be a key individual (the 'coordinator') in clinical care teams to support patients of reproductive age to see a member of the FP team.

Psychological support/counselling should be available to all patients considering FP. Specific support for particular patient groups may be required, eg adolescents with their parents

#### Steps to overcome barriers to fertility preservation. 61

- Ideally, all patients of reproductive age scheduled to undergo gonadotoxic treatment should be 62
- referred to the FP team for FP counselling and, if relevant, treatment. Similarly, transgender men 63
- should be informed on fertility issues and FP options before starting hormonal treatments. 64
- 65 It is unclear how many patients should receive FP counselling and/or treatment, and how many
- patients are counselled by the FP team, but there are some clear barriers that prevent patients from 66
- accessing appropriate FP counselling and FP treatment. 67
- 68 The barriers can be summarized as:
- Limited public awareness of fertility and FP 69
- Limited oncologists' awareness of FP options 70 •
- 71 • Lack of referral pathways, mainly described in oncology patients
- Unavailability of every FP procedures 72 •
- Lack of specific care for transgender men and awareness of FP options 73
- These barriers are discussed in more details below 74

Improving public awareness of fertility and of factors that may have negative 75

effects on it 76

Recent initiatives by the British Fertility Society and the patient organization Fertility Europe in 77 collaboration with ESHRE have highlighted the current limited understanding of fertility and 78 79 particularly how it changes with female age.

- In 2016, "The fertility education initiative" was launched by the British Fertility Society. 80 (https://www.britishfertilitysociety.org.uk/fei/) to address this. It is a programme of work 81 82 dedicated to improving knowledge of fertility and reproductive health, set up in response to a 83 growing debate and concern amongst health and education professionals, about the lack of
- knowledge about age related decline in fertility. 84

To increase awareness in the general population and policy makers, education about fertility, reproductive lifespan and changes with age (both male and female) should be standard in school, as part of reproductive health education on contraception/family building/relationships.

85

#### **Oncologists' awareness of FP options** 86

With increasing prevalence of cancer in young women, and increasing numbers of survivors, it has 87 88 become increasingly important to pay attention to the late side effects of cancer treatment. In young women the long-term quality of life is often diminished by concerns about their future 89 fertility and pregnancy. Important international guidelines underline the significance of counselling 90 every young women or girl and/or her parents before treatment about the impact of gonadotoxic 91 treatment on later fertility and the possibilities regarding FP (Anazodo et al., 2019) however, the 92 quality of these guidelines can be improved (Baysal et al., 2018). These issues are often not 93 addressed, and patients are often not referred for counselling about FP, with referral rates of 9.8% 94 (Bastings et al., 2014), 10.7% (Korkidakis et al., 2019) and 47% (Quinn et al., 2011b) identified. In a 95 systematic review by Goossens et al, the proportion of patients receiving information about cancer-96 97 related infertility varied from 0% - 85% (Goossens et al., 2014).

There is a need for more awareness at the professional level. There appears to be a lack of 98 knowledge of cancer related infertility and fertility preservation options in oncologists (Yee et al., 99 2012, Miller et al., 2017, van den Berg et al., 2019). Gaps in knowledge of health care professionals 100 were found in relation to existing guidelines, FP procedures, costs, fertility facilities and specialists 101 and educational material for patients (Vindrola-Padros et al., 2017). Knowledge about the options 102

available for girls and young women are even less well known (Vindrola-Padros et al., 2017). 103

- At the time of a cancer diagnosis, patients are often overwhelmed and may have difficulties in thinking about other issues, such as future fertility (<u>Niemasik *et al.*</u>, 2012). They also are afraid to negatively influence their prognosis by postponing cancer therapy. Women reported that their physicians brought up the risk of recurrence of cancer in hormone positive tumours as reasons for not being prepared to delay cancer treatment. Patients also reported that physicians often
- assumed that women who already have one or more children do not wish to retain their fertility for
- the future. Furthermore, some women without children and without a partner had the impression
- 111 from health care professionals that they were unsuitable for FP.
- Some professionals are reluctant to start a discussion about future fertility with adolescents and
- 113 their parents, because they perceive these fertility conversations as potentially embarrassing. But
- adolescents may also feel embarrassed to talk about future fertility, especially when their parents
- are included in the discussion. Teenagers and young adults expressed a wish to have a choice in
   who should be included in these discussions (<u>Crawshaw *et al.*</u>, 2009).
- 117 Second phase of fertility preservation (after cancer treatment):

While much of this guideline focusses on fertility preservation before cancer treatment, it is important that patients as well as professionals are aware of the fact that it is important to discuss future (in)fertility after cancer treatment. This may involve referral to a reproductive medicine centre for discussion regarding assessment, provision of individualized advice regarding natural fertility, and where appropriate treatment with or without the use of their stored material. This may

also include the possibility of FP after treatment if pregnancy is not yet desired.

#### 124 Conclusion

Fertility preservation should be included in basic general medical education, and in the training of medical, surgical, radiological, and gynaecological oncologists, rheumatologists, gynaecologists, endocrinologists, haematologists and other professionals who might start treatment in women with benign diseases that may have a negative impact on fertility. Professionals working in Reproductive Medicine should maintain up to date knowledge and skills in this field.

Clinicians and nurses in related specialties, particularly in oncology, should follow educational programs regarding FP on a regular basis. National societies for Oncology and Obstetrics & Gynaecology should work together in developing training materials and curricula as well as adopting FP guidelines in national protocols and guidelines.

Specific training programs should be developed for counselling adolescents and their parents/carers.

125

#### 126 Improvement of referral pathways

- Problems with service delivery and transitioning between clinical care and fertility services hinder the FP decision-making. Often there is no fertility preservation program available, or no referral policy in oncology units (<u>Panagiotopoulou *et al.*, 2018</u>).
- 130 In the literature long waiting lists to see a fertility specialist are reported, with appointment delays
- 131 until after chemotherapy had already started (<u>Corney and Swinglehurst, 2014</u>). Many studies
- reported poor coordination of care between different medical centres and patients felt they were
- being pushed from provider to provider with no-one helping them to make decisions (<u>Gorman *et*</u>
- 134 *al.*, 2012, Yee *et al.*, 2012). Importantly, an educational intervention among nurses increases rates of
- discussion, referral and documentation (<u>Quinn *et al.*, 2019</u>). Fertility preservation counselling by a
- 136 fertility specialist results in less decisional regret and a better quality of life (<u>Letourneau *et al.*, 2012</u>,
- 137 <u>Skaczkowski *et al.*, 2018</u>).

- 138 It is also important to develop protocols for the care for these patients regarding future fertility after
- cancer treatment. It may be appropriate to make a referral to a fertility specialist to discuss (future)
- pregnancy options, fertility preservation, oocyte donation, etc, one year after cancer treatment
- although this will be dependent on the age and situation of the patient. This is further discussed in
- 142 the section on patient information.
- 143 Costs and financial reimbursement are an important barrier for patients worldwide restricting FP as
- an option. This has been illustrated in surveys and systematic reviews (Jones *et al.*, 2017, Rashedi *et*
- 145 <u>al., 2018</u>).

#### 146 Conclusion

Oncologists and specialists in other relevant specialties should consider the potential need for FP in all women of reproductive age, including adolescents.

Urgent referral pathways need to be established allowing patients to be seen by a member of the FP team within 24-48 hours after referral.

Referral criteria should be set up to enable this in regional arrangements between care teams looking after patients possibly requiring FP and fertility specialists: this should include the names of institutes that deliver FP as well as their contact persons and contact details. These FP clinics should have awareness of the specific needs of all patient groups, including transmen. Information about financial costs should be provided. These checklists should be part of a standard operating procedure (SOP).

A follow-up appointment with a FP doctor is recommended approximately 1 year after treatment for adults, and at an appropriate age for younger adolescents

147

#### 148 Availability of different FP procedures

Embryo cryopreservation and oocyte vitrification are widely performed worldwide, whereas the availability of ovarian tissue cryostorage is more limited. Several reports have demonstrated the feasibility of harvesting the ovarian cortex in one clinic and then transporting it to another centre to be frozen. This is discussed in more detail in chapter D6. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation but requires formal agreements between clinics/tissue establishments and specific and detailed procedures.

- Women without a uterus or receiving high doses of pelvic irradiation will need specific counselling regarding surrogacy in the future. This may not be available at the referral clinic; thus, professionals should be aware that these women might need onward referral to discuss this.
- 158 Conclusion

Embryo and oocyte vitrification can be performed in most IVF centres. Due to the relatively low number of procedures required, the technique of ovarian tissue cryopreservation should be concentrated in a few centres with appropriate expertise.

#### 159 Specific care for transgender men

Aspects of reproductive function are major contributors to gender dysphoria, and the endocrine and surgical treatment of transgender people will often compromise their fertility. However, the desire for parenthood is prevalent among transgender people, and thus there is an important need for FP. A systematic review demonstrated that 1/3 to 2/3 of transgender adolescents and young adults (TAYAs) desire having children sometime in their lifetime (<u>Baram *et al.*</u> 2019</u>). Transgender men bring specific issues to the provision of FP. There is a need for a trans-friendly clinic

166 environment: referral forms should be designed to allow patients an opportunity to indicate what pronouns and names they prefer, providers should be trained to use gender-neutral languages, 167 and there may be difficulties with the conventional transvaginal approach to monitoring and oocyte 168 169 pick-up (Armuand et al., 2017). Transgender people and their partners have predominantly negative interactions with fertility service providers when they access or attempt to access services at 170 fertility clinics (James-Abra et al., 2015). They often are treated with disrespect and discrimination: 171 there are reports of patients being denied access to FP counselling and services by clinical staff 172 after disclosing their trans gender identities (Eisenberg et al., 2020). The majority of health care 173 174 providers do not have enough knowledge about FP options for TAYAs. FP counselling for TAYAs is difficult because of the lack of evidence about the effects of gender-affirming hormone treatment 175 176 on reproduction. Therefore, most TAYAs lack awareness of the FP options, costs, invasiveness of the procedures and the potential psychological impact of going through the process (Baram et al. 177 <u>2019</u>). 178

The literature suggests that FP counselling should begin prior to undergoing gender-affirming hormone treatment and that FP counselling and support services should be the standard of care (Baram *et al.*, 2019). The Endocrine Society recommended in 2017 against puberty blocking followed by gender affirming hormone treatment of prepubertal children. However, they stated that clinicians should inform pubertal children and adolescents seeking gender affirming treatment of the options of fertility preservation (Hembree *et al.*, 2017). In relation to ovarian stimulation, this is

185 discussed further in section D2. Ovarian Stimulation in treatments aimed at FP.

#### 186 Conclusion

FP counselling and support services should be standard of care for transgender adolescents and young adults. While it would seem most appropriate to offer FP before starting gender-affirming hormone treatment, it is recognized that this may not be possible, and FP remains a possibility after starting gender-affirming hormone treatment.

Health care professionals in transgender care should be educated about FP options, and similarly staff working in reproductive medicine need to be aware of the need for appropriate care of transgender men, with the development of specific approaches and protocols.

#### 187 Specific care for adolescents

188 Adolescents are a special case, and it is as important to include assessment of psychological as physical maturity. One review that included 16 papers on 14 studies on FP in children, adolescents 189 and young adults referred that health care professionals reported embarrassment when discussing 190 FP with children and young people (Vindrola-Padros et al., 2017). Decisions on whether to discuss 191 FO with young patients were depended on the knowledge and sense of comfort of the clinician, 192 the sexual maturity and prognosis of the patient, parent involvement and availability of educational 193 materials. Ten studies included in the review highlighted issues regarding the role of parents in FP 194 discussions. Specifically, the presence of the parents was judged as evoking embarrassment in the 195 young patient and that it could limit the young patient's ability to discuss the options in depth, and 196 give fully informed consent (Vindrola-Padros et al., 2017). 197

- Another review highlights that adolescents want health care professionals to discuss FP with them and not with their parents and would like to have the choice on who should be in the consultation(Quinn *et al.*, 2011a).
- 201 The FP team should have the ability to perform FP treatments on adolescent patients. It is important
- to register specific referral pathway, in which it may be necessary to refer to another clinic. It may
   be relevant to include a paediatrician in the team.
- 204

#### [23]

#### 205 Conclusion

In addition to the general population, some specific recommendations can be made for adolescents:

Adolescents should be given the option to have a consultation without their parents.

The FP team should be aware of differences in legislation regarding informed consent (whether to be signed by adolescent or parents).

In referral pathways, specific options should be present for adolescent patients.

#### 208 Key organisational features for establishing a FP program

As discussed above, a high-quality FP program requires a multidisciplinary approach and should aim to overcome barriers to access FP care and interventions for different types of patients, while being in line with the legal context of the country. A checklist summarizes the requirements of a high-quality FP program (see Checklist 1).

Substantial differences will occur between different countries, reflecting variation in the organization of clinical care and the legal basis for provision of reproductive medicine and fertility preservation. Thus, the list of requirements outlined in Checklist 1 should not be considered comprehensive or exhaustive. The current list is partly based on published checklists (Andersen *et* 

comprehensive or exhaustive. The current list is partly based on published checklists (<u>Andersen et</u>
 <u>al., 2018</u>), but was adapted by the guideline group to be applicable for the patient groups covered
 in the current guideline. The checklist is offered as an aid to establishing a FP program, or to

- evaluate an existing FP program against best practice.
- 220

#### The need for data collection

In order to increase the quality of care of FP, data collection by national and international registries on the short and long-term outcome of FP interventions are strongly recommended.

Since 2018 (data collection for 2015), ESHRE started collecting data through the ESHRE IVF monitoring scheme (EIM) in an optional module. Data are collected on the number of interventions, the reason for FP (being medical- or non-medical), and on the outcomes (number stored and number used) for 3 indications (in females), i.e. prepubertal ovarian tissue collection and cryopreservation, post pubertal ovarian tissue collection and cryopreservation, and oocyte cryopreservation. FP centres should contribute to national and international registries to optimize the quality and comprehensiveness of the data collected.

231

#### 233 Checklist 1 Checklist for a high-quality FP program

An FP program should fulfil the following requirements:

- ✓ The legal framework of the country should be considered with regards to i) administrative/legal facilities agreement, ii) authorization and accreditation when imposed by local/national regulatory authorities; iii) ethical approval for aspects that are considered research.
- ✓ Referral pathways need to be established and require continuous maintenance.
- ✓ The following material and methods should be available:
  - Appropriate equipment
  - Qualified/authorized personnel (training programs)
  - Standard operating procedures (SOP):
    - Manipulation procedures
    - Cryopreservation procedures
    - Transport conditions
    - Media conditions
  - Certified and/or registered media/supplements and equipment used as per local legislation
  - Administrative forms related to patients' assessment should be available, including:
  - o Oncologists/other medical specialists written approval for FP, where appropriate
  - Report containing diagnosis and status of the disease and medical treatment proposed
  - Assessment and recording of patient's medical history, including assessment of specific factors relevant to FP e.g. risk of thrombosis/infection, previous treatment that may impact ovarian reserve/response to ovarian stimulation
  - Assessment of patient's serology (obligatory as part of regulatory rules in some countries)
- Multidisciplinary staff should officially participate in decision-making
- Written informed patients consent forms should be available outlining the following:
  - the risks/benefits of the procedure/intervention to be applied to recipient and to their gametes/tissue; it is suggested to use the EuroGTPII tool (http://www.goodtissuepractices.eu/)
  - the known or unknown outcomes
  - o any applicable age limits or other criteria for using cryopreserved oocytes/embryos or ovarian tissue
  - choices regarding the destiny of the material in case of non-use within centre's determined period of time, for instance disposal, or donation for research
  - acknowledging centres policy for long-term storage, including time limitations and costs.

234

#### 235 References

- Anazodo A, Laws P, Logan S, Saunders C, Travaglia J, Gerstl B, Bradford N, Cohn R, Birdsall M, Barr R *et al.* How can we
   improve oncofertility care for patients? A systematic scoping review of current international practice and models of care.
   *Human reproduction update* 2019;25: 159-179.
- Andersen CY, Bollerup AC, Kristensen SG. Defining quality assurance and quality control measures in connection with ovarian tissue cryopreservation and transplantation: a call to action. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 1201-1204.
- Armuand G, Dhejne C, Olofsson JI, Rodriguez-Wallberg KA. Transgender men's experiences of fertility preservation: a qualitative study. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2017;32: 383-390.
- Baram S, Myers SA, Yee S, Librach CL. Fertility preservation for transgender adolescents and young adults: a systematic review. *Human reproduction update* 2019;25: 694-716.
- 246 Bastings L, Baysal O, Beerendonk CC, Braat DD, Nelen WL. Referral for fertility preservation counselling in female cancer 247 patients. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2014;29: 2228-2237.

- Baysal O, Hamilton JAM, Hamilton C, Braat DDM, Beerendonk CCM, Nelen W. Clinical practice guidelines for fertility
   preservation in young women undergoing gonadotoxic treatment: an overview and critical appraisal of methodological
   quality and content. *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2018;37: 60-70.
- Corney RH, Swinglehurst AJ. Young childless women with breast cancer in the UK: a qualitative study of their fertility-related experiences, options, and the information given by health professionals. *Psycho-oncology* 2014;23: 20-26.
- 253 Crawshaw MA, Glaser AW, Hale JP, Sloper P. Male and female experiences of having fertility matters raised alongside a 254 cancer diagnosis during the teenage and young adult years. *European journal of cancer care* 2009;18: 381-390.
- Eisenberg ME, McMorris BJ, Rider GN, Gower AL, Coleman E. "It's kind of hard to go to the doctor's office if you're hated
   there." A call for gender-affirming care from transgender and gender diverse adolescents in the United States. *Health Soc Care Community* 2020;28: 1082-1089.
- Ferlie EB, Shortell SM. Improving the quality of health care in the United Kingdom and the United States: a framework for change. *The Milbank quarterly* 2001;79: 281-315.
- Goossens J, Delbaere I, Van Lancker A, Beeckman D, Verhaeghe S, Van Hecke A. Cancer patients' and professional caregivers' needs, preferences and factors associated with receiving and providing fertility-related information: a mixedmethods systematic review. *International journal of nursing studies* 2014;51: 300-319.
- 263 Gorman JR, Bailey S, Pierce JP, Su HI. How do you feel about fertility and parenthood? The voices of young female cancer 264 survivors. *Journal of cancer survivorship : research and practice* 2012;6: 200-209.
- Hembree WC, Cohen-Kettenis PT, Gooren L, Hannema SE, Meyer WJ, Murad MH, Rosenthal SM, Safer JD, Tangpricha V,
   TiSjoen GG. Endocrine Treatment of Gender-Dysphoric/Gender-Incongruent Persons: An Endocrine Society Clinical
   Practice Guideline. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2017;102: 3869-3903.
- James-Abra S, Tarasoff LA, Green D, Epstein R, Anderson S, Marvel S, Steele LS, Ross LE. Trans people's experiences with assisted reproduction services: a qualitative study. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2015;30: 1365-1374.
- Jones G, Hughes J, Mahmoodi N, Smith E, Skull J, Ledger W. What factors hinder the decision-making process for women
   with cancer and contemplating fertility preservation treatment? *Human reproduction update* 2017;23: 433-457.
- Korkidakis A, Lajkosz K, Green M, Strobino D, Velez MP. Patterns of Referral for Fertility Preservation Among Female
   Adolescents and Young Adults with Breast Cancer: A Population-Based Study. *Journal of adolescent and young adult oncology* 2019;8: 197-204.
- Letourneau JM, Ebbel EE, Katz PP, Katz A, Ai WZ, Chien AJ, Melisko ME, Cedars MI, Rosen MP. Pretreatment fertility counseling and fertility preservation improve quality of life in reproductive age women with cancer. *Cancer* 2012;118: 1710-1717.
- Miller EJN, Cookingham LM, Woodruff TK, Ryan GL, Summers KM, Kondapalli LA, Shah DK. Fertility preservation training for
   obstetrics and gynecology fellows: a highly desired but non-standardized experience. *Fertility research and practice* 2017;3:
   9.
- Niemasik EE, Letourneau J, Dohan D, Katz A, Melisko M, Rugo H, Rosen M. Patient perceptions of reproductive health
   counseling at the time of cancer diagnosis: a qualitative study of female California cancer survivors. *Journal of cancer survivorship : research and practice* 2012;6: 324-332.
- Panagiotopoulou N, Ghuman N, Sandher R, Herbert M, Stewart JA. Barriers and facilitators towards fertility preservation care
   for cancer patients: a meta-synthesis. *European journal of cancer care* 2018;27.
- Quinn GP, Bowman Curci M, Reich RR, Gwede CK, Meade CD, Vadaparampil ST. Impact of a web-based reproductive health
   training program: ENRICH (Educating Nurses about Reproductive Issues in Cancer Healthcare). *Psycho-oncology* 2019;28:
   1096-1101.
- 289 Quinn GP, Murphy D, Knapp C, Stearsman DK, Bradley-Klug KL, Sawczyn K, Clayman ML. Who decides? Decision making 290 and fertility preservation in teens with cancer: a review of the literature. *J Adolesc Health* 2011a;49: 337-346.
- 291 Quinn GP, Vadaparampil ST, Gwede CK, Reinecke JD, Mason TM, Silva C. Developing a referral system for fertility 292 preservation among patients with newly diagnosed cancer. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network : JNCCN* 2011b;9: 1219-1225.
- 294Rashedi AS, de Roo SF, Ataman LM, Edmonds ME, Silva AA, Scarella A, Horbaczewska A, Anazodo A, Arvas A, Ramalho de295Carvalho B et al. Survey of Fertility Preservation Options Available to Patients With Cancer Around the Globe. Journal of296global oncology 2018;4: 1-16.
- Razzano A, Revelli A, Delle Piane L, Salvagno F, Casano S, Randaccio S, Benedetto C. Fertility preservation program before
   ovarotoxic oncostatic treatments: role of the psychological support in managing emotional aspects. *Gynecological endocrinology : the official journal of the International Society of Gynecological Endocrinology* 2014;30: 822-824.
- Skaczkowski G, White V, Thompson K, Bibby H, Coory M, Orme LM, Conyers R, Phillips MB, Osborn M, Harrup R *et al.* Factors
   influencing the provision of fertility counseling and impact on quality of life in adolescents and young adults with cancer.
   *Journal of psychosocial oncology* 2018: 1-19.
- van den Berg M, Baysal O, Nelen W, Braat DDM, Beerendonk CCM, Hermens R. Professionals' barriers in female oncofertility
   care and strategies for improvement. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2019;34: 1074-1082.
- 305 Vindrola-Padros C, Dyer KE, Cyrus J, Lubker IM. Healthcare professionals' views on discussing fertility preservation with 306 young cancer patients: a mixed method systematic review of the literature. *Psycho-oncology* 2017;26: 4-14.
- Yee S, Fuller-Thomson E, Lau A, Greenblatt EM. Fertility preservation practices among Ontario oncologists. *Journal of cancer* education : the official journal of the American Association for Cancer Education 2012;27: 362-368.
- 309

## <sup>1</sup> A2. Legal aspects and availability

- 2 Data on whether fertility preservation is allowed in European countries, for which indications and
- under which conditions, were collected in an online survey. The details of the survey methodology
   are summarized in Annex 6.
- 5 Data were collected from 30 countries (see table Table 1 to Table 4).
- 6 In general, oocyte cryopreservation for FP is allowed in all countries for which data were collected.
- 7 Embryo cryopreservation for FP is also allowed in all countries except for Italy. For embryo
- 8 cryopreservation, no data were available for Finland and Germany. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation
- 9 for FP is allowed in 30 countries.

#### 10 Cancer patients

- 11 Cancer patients requiring fertility preservation have the option of oocyte cryopreservation and
- 12 embryo cryopreservation in all countries where these techniques are applied as part of fertility
- 13 treatment (e.g. embryo cryopreservation is not allowed in Italy and Portugal) (see Table 1). Ovarian
- 14 tissue cryopreservation for fertility preservation in cancer patients is allowed in all 28 countries for
- which data were available, although in 2 countries it is allowed only in a research context, and in 2
- 16 other countries it is allowed but not implemented.
- 17 In 15 countries (50,0%), there is no (or only very limited) coverage of costs for FP interventions. In 10
- countries (33.3%), all available FP procedures are provided without costs to the patients, while in 5
- 19 countries (16,6%) at least one FP option is provided to patients without costs.
- 20 With regards to the conditions under which FP interventions are allowed or reimbursed, these are
- 21 mostly related to patient characteristics (disease, prognosis, age) or limits on the number of
- 22 treatments (number of cycles, first child). In Turkey, embryo cryopreservationis restricted to legally
- 23 married couples.

#### 24 Patients with benign diseases

Fertility preservation options for patients with benign diseases are similar to FP options for cancer 25 patients in most countries, except for 2 countries, Czech Republic and Norway, where all 3 26 techniques are available for cancer patients (without and with cost coverage, respectively), but 27 28 applying these techniques for patients with benign diseases is not allowed (see Table 2). Four other countries (Austria, Bulgaria, Ireland, Italy), allow the same treatments, but the coverage of costs 29 30 seems to be more restricted in patients with benign diseases. Overall, there are 16 countries (53,3%) where there is no (or only very limited) coverage of costs, 4 countries (13,3%) where at least one FP 31 32 option is provided to patients without costs, and 8 countries (26,6%) where all available FP 33 procedures are provided without costs to patients with benign diseases, and 2 countries (as mentioned before) where FP service is not available. General conditions for applying FP in patients 34 with benign diseases include scheduled gonadotoxic treatments, predictable impact on fertility, or 35 (risk of) decreased ovarian reserve. In addition, restrictions on patient characteristics, number of 36 treatments and specific restrictions on embryo cryopreservation exist and seem to be in line with 37 38 those for cancer patients.

### 39 Transgender men

In contrast with cancer patients and patients with benign diseases, FP in transgender men is less
well covered by local legislation, with 5 countries reporting a lack of regulation for FP in transgender
men. In some of these countries, interventions are performed, although not covered by legislation,
while in others interventions are considered not to be allowed (see Table 3). Oocyte
cryopreservation for transgender men is allowed (or allowed under conditions) in 21 (70,0%) of 30

[27]

- 45 countries, embryo cryopreservation (often not preferred in transgender patients) is allowed in 1446 countries (46,6%).
- 47 Several comments were made by the respondents on the restrictions with regard to the use of
- 48 stored gametes or embryos after gender reassignment. In some countries, like Norway, FP
- techniques are not applied for transgender patients based on the requirement of using a surrogate,
- 50 which is not legal in Norway.
- 51 Other countries reported specific requirements for the use of stored reproductive cells, although
- 52 this was not a specific question. In Austria, reproductive cells should be donated to the partner. In
- 53 Switzerland, preservation is allowed but the oocytes can only be used if the sex is not changed in 54 the ID. In Croatia, use of the stored cells requires approval from the Ethical committee, which is also
- 55 necessary in Belgium where additionally a medical and psychosocial screening is performed.
- 56 Financial support for transgender patients seems limited, with only 6 countries reporting provision 57 of oocyte cryopreservation free-of-charge to this patient population.
- 58 FP for non-medical reasons
- 59 Elective oocyte cryopreservation is allowed in 21 (70.0%) of 30 countries (see Table 4). Of these
- 60 countries, 3 reported that it is not regulated, and 2 reported it is only performed in the private setting
- 61 (not in the public sector).

#### 62 Conclusion

FP is available in most but not all European countries, thus specialists should be aware of their national legislative situation.

This generally supportive legislative environment applies to patients with cancer and benign diseases, and mostly to transgender men.

Provision of financial support is less widespread. This may reflect the rapidly developing nature of some FP procedures, and the ongoing change in their status from experimental towards being part of established care.

#### Table 1 Fertility Preservation options for cancer patients (per country) and information on the 64 65

#### costs for patients

	Oocyte cryopreservation		Embryo ci	yopreservation	Ovarian tissue cryopreservation			
	Allowed?	Provided without costs for patients	Allowed?	Provided without costs for patients	Allowed?	Provided withou costs for patients		
Austria	V	No	V	Yes	V	Yes		
Belgium	(\/)1	Reimbursement under conditions <sup>1,2</sup>	(V) <sup>1</sup>	Reimbursement under conditions <sup>1,2</sup>	V	Reimbursement under conditions <sup>1,2</sup>		
Bulgaria	V	Reimbursement under conditions	V	Reimbursement under conditions	V - RESEARCH	No		
Croatia	(V) <sup>1,3</sup>	Yes	V	Reimbursement under conditions <sup>1</sup>	not implemented			
Cyprus	V	No	V	No	V	No		
Czech Republic	V	No	V	No	V	No		
Denmark	V	Yes	V	Yes	V	Yes		
Finland	V	No						
France	V	Yes	V	Yes	(∨)³	Yes		
Georgia	V	No	V	No	V	No		
Germany	V	No						
Hungary	(∨)⁵	No <sup>8</sup>	(∨)5	No <sup>8</sup>	V - RESEARCH	Yes (clinical trial		
Ireland	V	Yes	V	Yes	V no service available	No		
Italy	V	Yes	Х	No	V	Yes		
Lithuania	V	No	V	No	V	No, partly		
Montenegro	V	No	V	No	V	No		
Netherlands	V	Yes	V	Yes	V	Yes		
Norway	V	Yes	V	Yes	V	Yes		
Poland	V	No	V	No	V	No Yes No		
Portugal	V	Yes	Х	No	V			
Romania	V	No	V	No	V			
Russian Federation	(V)	No	V	Reimbursement under conditions	V	No		
Serbia	V	Yes	V	Yes	(V)	under condition		
Slovenia	V	Reimbursement under conditions <sup>1</sup>	V	Reimbursement under conditions <sup>1,2</sup>	V	Yes		
Spain	V	Yes	V	Yes	V	Yes		
Sweden	V	Yes	V	Yes	V	Yes		
Switzerland	V	No <sup>9</sup>	V	No	V	No		
Turkey	V	No <sup>6</sup>	(V) <sup>7</sup>	No <sup>6</sup>	V	No <sup>6</sup>		
Ukraine	V	No	V	No	V	No		
United Kingdom	V	Yes – but variable provision	V	Yes – but variable provision	V	Yes – but variable provision		
V (V) X	Allowed Allowed unde Not allowed	er conditions (specified w	/here available)					
<b>V - RESEARCH</b> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Allowed as ex Conditions rel Conditions rel Conditions rel Conditions rel depending or Only for legal Storage fees	sperimental procedure o ated to age ated to number of treatr ated to prognosis / dep ated to first child ated to the type of disea the IVF centre ly married couples	r in a research c ments ending on indica ase	ontext ation explained in multio	disciplinary consu	ltation meeting		

costs for medication are covered (by companies)

66

## 68 69

## Table 2 Fertility Preservation options for patients with benign diseases (per country) and information on the costs for patients

	Oocyte cry	opreservation	Embryo ci	yopreservation	Ovarian tissue cryopreservation			
	Allowed?	Provided without costs for patients	Allowed?	Provided without costs for patients	Allowed?	Provided without costs for patients		
Austria	(V) <sup>1</sup>	No	(V) <sup>6</sup>	Yes	(V) <sup>1,6</sup>	No		
Belgium	(V)	Reimbursement under conditions <sup>3</sup>	(V) <sup>3</sup>	Reimbursement under conditions <sup>3,10</sup>	V (not regulated)	Reimbursement under conditions		
Bulgaria	V	No	V	No	V - RESEARCH	No		
Croatia	( <b>∨</b> )³	Yes	V	Yes	Not implemented			
Cyprus	V	No	V	No	V	No		
Czech Republic	X	No	Х	No	X	No		
Denmark	V	Yes	V	Yes	V	Yes		
Finland	<b>(V)</b> (not performed)	No						
France	(\/)4	Yes	V	Yes	(V) <sup>2,3</sup>	Yes		
Georgia	V	No	V	No	V	No		
Germany	V	No						
Hungary	(∨)4	No <sup>9</sup>	(V) <sup>4,6</sup>	No <sup>9</sup>	V - RESEARCH	Reimbursement under conditions		
Ireland	V	No, partial reimbursement	V	No, partial reimbursement	V	No		
Italy	V	??	X	No	V	Reimbursement under conditions		
Lithuania	(V)⁵	No	(V) <sup>5</sup>	No	(∨)5	No		
Montenegro	(V)²	Reimbursement under conditions <sup>3</sup>	V	No	V	No		
Netherlands	V	Yes	V	Yes	V	Yes		
Norway	X	No	X	No	X	No		
Poland	V	No	V	No	V	No		
Portugal	V	Yes	X	No	(V)	Yes		
Romania	V	No	V	No	V	No		
Russian Federation	V	No	V	Reimbursement under conditions	V	No		
Serbia	(V)²	Yes	(∨)4	Reimbursement under conditions <sup>4</sup>	Not implemented	Reimbursement under conditions		
Slovenia	V	Reimbursement under conditions <sup>3</sup>	V	Reimbursement under conditions <sup>310</sup>	V	Yes		
Spain	V	Reimbursement under conditions	V	Yes	V	Yes		
Sweden	V	Yes	V	Yes	V	Yes		
Switzerland	V	No	V	No	V	No		
Turkey	(V) <sup>6</sup>	No	(V) <sup>8</sup>	No <sup>7</sup>	(V) <sup>6</sup>	No <sup>7</sup>		
Ukraine	V	No	V	No	V	No		
United Kingdom	V	Yes – but variable provision	V	Yes – but variable provision	V	Yes – but variable provision		

V	Allowed
(V)	Allowed under conditions (specified where available)
X	Not allowed
V - RESEARCH	as experimental procedure or in a research context
1	Endometrioma and POI
2	Restrictions on indications
3	Restrictions on age
4	Predictable impairment of fertility
5	Rare diseases
6	Decreased ovarian reserve or risk factors for decreased ovarian reserve
7	Depending on the IVF centre
8	Only for legally married couples
9	Storage fees

10 Conditions related to number of treatments

#### Table 3 Fertility Preservation options for transgender men (per country) and information on the 71 72

#### costs for patients

	Oocyte cry	vopreservation	Embryo cry	opreservation	Ovarian tissue cryopreservation		
	Allowed?	Provided without costs for patients	Allowed?	Provided without costs for patients	Allowed?	Provided without costs for patients	
Austria	(V)	No	Х	No	Х	No	
Belgium	(\/)1	No	(V) <sup>1</sup>		V3 (not regulated)	No	
Bulgaria	(V)	No	(V)	No	V - RESEARCH	No	
Croatia	(V)	No	X	No	Not implemented	4	
Cyprus	V	V No V No V		No			
Czech Republic	X	No	X	No	X	No	
Denmark	V	Yes	V	Yes	V Yes		
Finland	(V)²	No					
France	V	Yes	Х	No	X	No	
Georgia	X	No	Х	No	X	No	
Germany	many V No						
Hungary	gary X No X (not regulated)		No X (not regulated)		No		
Ireland	V	No	V	No	V	No	
Italy	V	No	Х	No	V	No	
Lithuania	Х	No	Х	No	X	No	
Montenegro	V (not regulated)	No	(not regulated)	No	(not regulated)	No	
Netherlands	V	Yes	V	Yes	V	Yes	
Norway	Х	No	X	No	X	No	
Poland	Х	No	X	No	X	No	
Portugal	V	Yes	X	No	X (not regulated)	No	
Romania	(V) (not regulated)	No	(V) (not regulated)	No	(V) (not regulated)	No	
Russian Federation	(V)	No	(V)	No	X	No	
Serbia	X (not regulated)	No	X (not regulated)	No	X	No	
Slovenia	X	No	X	No	X	Under conditions	
Spain	V	Yes	(in private system)	No	(in private system)	No	
Sweden	V	Yes	$\langle \rangle \rangle$	Under conditions	V	No	
Switzerland	(V)No(V)No(V)		(\)	No			
Turkey	X	No	X	No	X		
Ukraine	ne (V) No (V) No (V) (not regulated) No (not regulated)		(V) (not regulated)	No			

1

2

3

v (V) X V - RESEARCH Not allowed as experimental procedure or in a research context

Age restrictions

In Finland to be sterile before sex-change, law is changing. So far not done for transgenders in public side. Private clinics do preserve oocyte for transgenders.

Oocyte preservation is presented as a first option

Allowed under conditions (specified where available)

73

74

#### Table 4 Options for non-medical fertility preservation (per country) and information on the costs 76 77

#### for patients

	Oocyte cryopreservation					
	Allowed?	Provided without costs for patients				
Austria	Х	No				
Belgium	(V) <sup>1</sup>	No				
Bulgaria	V	No				
Croatia	V (not regulated)	No				
Cyprus	V	No				
Czech Republic	X	No				
Denmark	V	No				
Finland	V²	No				
France	Х3	No				
Georgia	V	No				
Germany	V	No				
Hungary	X	No				
Ireland	V	No				
Italy	V	No				
Lithuania	X	No				
Montenegro	<b>(V)1</b> (not regulated)	No				
Netherlands	V	No				
Norway	X	No				
Poland	X	No				
Portugal	(V)²	No				
Romania	V	No				
Russian Federation	V	No				
Serbia	X	No				
Slovenia	X	No				
Spain	V	No				
Sweden	V	No				
Switzerland	V	No				
Turkey	<b>(∨)</b> <sup>4</sup>	No				
Ukraine	V (not regulated)	No				
United Kingdom	V	No				

V Allowed

1 2 3

4

(V) Allowed under conditions (specified where available) Х

Not allowed

Age restrictions Performed in private clinics only The only non-medical condition for oocyte cryopreservation is as follows: An oocyte donor can preserve oocytes for herself if she has more than 5 oocytes retrieved:

Decreased ovarian reserve or risk factors for decreased ovarian reserve is the main condition to be fulfilled with no age upper or lower limit.

#### 78

## 80 A3. Storage of reproductive material

## 81 NARRATIVE QUESTION: HOW LONG SHOULD REPRODUCTIVE MATERIAL (OOCYTES, EMBRYOS, 82 OVARIAN TISSUE) BE STORED?

83

Data on whether fertility preservation is allowed in European countries, for which indications and
under which conditions were collected in an online survey. The details of the survey methodology
are summarized in Annex 6.

87 Through the survey, data were collected from 29 countries (see Table 5).

With data for 29 countries, 11 (37.9%) reported that both storage and use of stored oocytes were limited, 4 (13.8%) reported that storage was limited (without limits for use of stored oocytes), and 6 (20.6%) reported that only the use was limited, but not the storage. With regards to storage, a duration of 5 or 10 years is most often reported, and this is mostly extendable. The age limit for use of the oocytes is reported to be ranging from 42 to 55 years. Eight countries reported that there

- 93 were no limits for duration of storage of oocytes, nor for the use of the stored gametes.
- Similar results were found for embryo cryopreservation: 10 (34.5%) of 29 countries reported limits for both duration of storage and age of use, 6 (20.6%) reported that storage duration is limited, 4

(13.8%) reported use of stored embryos was limited, 6 (20.6%) reported no limits.

97 Oocyte and embryo storage limitations were similar in most countries, except for Poland, Serbia 98 and Sweden, which have limits for storage of embryos, but not for oocytes.

99 Storage of ovarian tissue is less well defined; 6 (23.0%) of 26 countries reported limits for storage, 100 mostly 10 years and extendable. For the use of stored ovarian tissue, 10 (37.0%) of 27 countries

- reported that this was not regulated, or not included in the legislation, 7 (25.9%) countries stated
- that there was no limit, 10 (37.0%) countries apply an age limit between 40 and 50 years.

#### 103 Conclusion

Regulations regarding the duration of storage of reproductive materials are very variable across Europe. Some contries also have different storage regulations for different materials.

While a duration of storage is often applied, this may be supplemented by an upper age limit for use.

Given the young age at which FP may occur, the often short allowable duration of storage (5-10 years in many countries) is inappropriate, and legislation should focus more on a maximum age of use.

## Table 5 Duration of storage and age limit for use of stored material (oocytes, embryos and ovarian tissue) in different countries.

	OOCYTES			EMBRYOS			OVARIAN TISSUE		
	Duration of storage		Age limit for use of stored material	Age limit for use of Duration of stored storage material		Age limit for use of stored material		Age limit for use of stored material	
Austria	Limited	lifetime	No limit	Limited	10 years	No limit	No limit		No limit
Belgium	Limited	10 years (extendable)	< 48 years	Limited	5 years (extendable)	< 48 years	Limited	10 years (extendable)	No legislation - no limit
Bulgaria	Limited	5 years (extendable)	No limit	Limited	5 years (extendable)	No limit	No limit		Not defined
Croatia	Limited	5 -10 years (extendable but paid by patient)	42 years of age	No limit		No limit			Still not being implemented
Cyprus	Limited	10 years (extendable)	50 years	Limited	10 years (extendable)	50 years	Limited	10 years (extendable)	50 years
Czech Republic	No limit		No limit	No limit		No limit	No limit	7.	No limit
Denmark	Limited	5 years	35 years	Limited	5 years	45 years			
Finland	Limited	Defined by clinic	Defined by clinic - 40 to 50 years.						
France	No limit		Current practice is 40 - 45 years	No limit		Current practice is 43 to 45 years, or 51 years	No limit		Current practice is 42- 43 years
Georgia	No limit		Not regulated	No limit		Not regulated	No limit		Not regulated
Germany									
Hungary	Limited	10 years	< 50 years	Limited	10 years	< 50 years	No limit		Not regulated (< 50 years)
Ireland	No limit		No limit	No limit		No limit	No limit		No limit
Italy	No limit		50 years	No limit		50 years	No limit		50 years
Lithuania	No limit		No limit	No limit		No limit	No limit		No limit
Montenegro	Limited	Defined by clinic, current practice is 2 times 3-5 years	Defined by clinic - 48 years	Limited	Current practice is 3+3 years	Not regulated, current practice is 45- 47 years	No limit		Not regulated
Netherlands	No limit		49 years	No limit		49 years	No limit		49 years
Norway	Limited	Not defined, extending natural fertility is not allowed	Defined by clinic - 45 years	Limited	5 years	Current practice is age at OPU + 5 years.	No limit		No limit, current practice is 45 years.
Poland	No limit		Not defined	Limited	20 years1	Not defined	No limit		Not defined
Portugal	Limited	5 years (extendable)	< 50 years	Limited	3 years (extendable for 3 years)	< 50 years	Limited	5 years (extendable)	< 50 years
Romania	No limit		Not regulated, current practice is 50 years	No limit		Not regulated, current practice is 50 years	No limit		Not specified

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> After which it is transferred to the tissue bank for obligatory anonymous donation

	OOCYTES				EMBRYOS	5	OVARIAN TISSUE			
	Dura sto	tion of orage	Age limit for use of stored material	Dura sto	ation of prage	Age limit for use of stored material	Duration	of storage	Age limit for use of stored material	
Russian Federation	No limit		No limit	No limit		No limit	No limit		No limit	
Serbia	No limit		Not specified in legislation	Limited	5 years (extendable to 10 years )	Not specified in legislation	No limit		Not specified in legislation	
Slovenia	Limited	10 years	Women of reproductive age.	Limited	10 years	Women of reproductive age.	Limited	10 years	Women of reproductive age.	
Spain	No limit		40 years			40 years	No limit		40 years	
Sweden	No limit		45–50 years	Limited	10 years	45–50 years	No limit	X	45–50 years	
Switzerland	Limited	10 years, unless stored for medical reasons.	No limit	Limited	10 years	No limit	Limited	10 years, unless stored for medical reasons.	No limit	
Turkey	Limited	5 + 5 years (extendable)	No limit	Limited	10 years	No limit	Limited	10 years	Not regulated	
Ukraine	No limit		Defined by infertility specialist	No limit		No limit	No limit		Defined by infertility specialist	
United Kingdom	Limited	10 years (extendable under conditions)	No limit, current practice is 50 – 55 years	Limited	10 years (extendable)	No limit, current practice is 50 – 55 years	No limit		No limit	

## <sup>1</sup> PART B: Patient information

## <sup>2</sup> B1. Information needs and provision

Receiving information about the effect of cancer treatment or other treatments in future fertility is 3 essential in supporting decision-making to undergo fertility preservation. Nevertheless, there is still 4 5 lack of information provision in patients facing infertility risk (Baram et al., 2019, Patel et al., 2020). In 6 a recent study about provision of information in men and women facing cancer treatments, only 74.5% recalled having this discussion with their physician, and about 17% of them had the discussion 7 after starting chemotherapy (Patel et al., 2020). Of those patients who did not recall having FP 8 discussion, 83.3% would have liked to have it. Of the patients who did not pursue fertility treatments, 9 41.6% reported that they were not aware of any options. Therefore, even when patients are 10 informed about the risk of infertility, it is clear that provision of information is not always well 11 performed and very often patients are not informed about FP options (Logan et al., 2019, Patel et 12 <u>al., 2020</u>). 13

Being informed about the possibility to undergo fertility preservation was associated with 14 decreased decisional conflict in a sample of former cancer patients aged 18-45 years old (Muller 15 et al., 2017). Similarly, decreased knowledge was associated with increased decisional conflict 16 about pursuing fertility preservation in women aged 28-40 years by the time of cancer diagnosis 17 18 (Peate et al., 2011). Contradicting findings were reported by a study by Kim et al, where all women had a prior fertility preservation consultation (Kim et al., 2013). In this study the association between 19 knowledge about FP and decisional conflict was non-significant which can suggest that it is not the 20 degree of knowledge but not being informed about FP options that increases decisional conflict. 21 Nevertheless, we cannot exclude a negative reaction to be informed about risk of infertility, as 22 23 some patients might find this information difficult to handle (Crawshaw et al., 2009).

## NARRATIVE QUESTION: WHICH INFORMATION NEEDS TO BE PROVIDED TO WOMEN AT RISK OFINFERTILITY?

#### 26

A systematic review by Peate el al. conducted in 2009 retrieved twenty studies evaluating fertility-27 related information needs, concerns and preferences of young women with breast cancer (Peate 28 29 et al., 2009). Three themes emerged regarding fertility related psychosocial needs and concerns: Needs regarding changes in menstrual cycle and potential infertility; attitudes and decisions 30 regarding pregnancy (effects of pregnancy on cancer recurrence, breastfeeding and 31 contraception); and fertility related information needs. Specifically, regarding fertility related 32 information needs, some studies found that fertility issues affected their cancer treatment decision-33 making. More recently, another systematic review conducted by Goossens et al., in 2014, reviewed 34 27 papers assessing fertility information needs and receipt and provision of information (Goossens 35 36 et al., 2014). Twenty-one of these studies focused on the patient perspective, that is, which information do patients need. Main information needs were about the gonadotoxic impact of 37 malignancy and of cancer treatments on fertility (even in situations where FP options were not 38 available), pre-treatment fertility information and post-treatment reproductive life planning, fertility 39 options, risk of infertility, amenorrhea and premature ovarian insufficiency. 40

In 2018, Silva and colleagues reported a literature research on patients' information needs concerning infertility risks and FP options (<u>Silva *et al.*</u> 2018). Ten published articles were analysed, and several themes emerged, namely menstrual changes after cancer and cancer treatment, impact of cancer treatment in fertility and risk of infertility, infertility options, cryopreservation related issues, infertility treatments and pregnancy planning and pregnancy risks after cancer (see information needs list). Information needs can vary according to the phase of cancer diagnosis and treatment (<u>Goossens *et al.*</u> 2014, Shen *et al.*, 2019).
The information needs regarding fertility preservation in transgender men has been scarcely addressed in the literature, but lack of awareness of FP options in transgender people has been

50 documented in a recent systematic review (Baram et al., 2019). Regarding fertility preservation for

51 non-medical reasons, a study that surveyed 580 young women reported that 28% of them would

like to receive education on their FP options and 36% responded they would like their gynaecologist

53 to discuss FP options (<u>Hickman *et al.*, 2018</u>).

In a study examining decision regret in a sample of 201 women who underwent oocyte cryopreservation for non-medical reasons, 80% of the participants reported having had adequate information when deciding to undergo FP. The perception of having adequate information was associated with reduced risk of regret (<u>Greenwood *et al.*</u>, 2018).

58 In a study examining decision regret in a sample of 201 women who underwent oocyte 59 cryopreservation for non-medical reasons, 80% of the participants reported having had adequate

60 information when deciding to undergo FP. The perception of having adequate information was

61 associated with reduced risk of regret (<u>Greenwood *et al.*, 2018</u>).

#### 62 Recommendations

Clinicians should provide information to patients regarding 1) impact of cancer, other diseases and their treatments on reproductive function; 2) impact of cancer, other diseases and their treatment on fertility, 3) fertility preservation options; 4) cryopreservation related issues after FP, 5) infertility and fertility treatments; 6) pregnancy after cancer; and 7) other childbearing and parenting options.

63

64

Information provided should be specific to the patients' needs.	GPP
Age-specific information and counselling should be provided for adolescents and young adults.	GPP

#### 65 Justification

66 The recommendation on information provision is based on (moderate quality) evidence in cancer patients showing the importance of receiving information about FP and which specific needs patients 67 68 have (Peate et al., 2009, Goossens et al., 2014, Silva et al., 2018). There is no direct evidence on the information needs of patients at risk of infertility due to other medical situations, gender reassignment 69 70 therapy or elective oocyte cryopreservation, but it seemed relevant to expand the recommendations to be also applicable to these patient groups (based on indirect evidence from cancer patients). 71 Although the information can be stressful and difficult to handle by some patients, being informed 72 about the possibility of FP is associated with better outcomes (the benefits seem to outweigh the 73 harms). Patients highlight the importance of having material to support their decision-making. 74

75

76

# PICO QUESTION: HOW SHOULD INFORMATION ON FERTILITY PRESERVATION OPTIONS BE PROVIDED TO PATIENTS?

77 78

Decision-making regarding fertility preservation is stressful. Provision of information and fertility counselling are of great importance to allow for high-quality decision-making. There is great variability across studies regarding the proportion of patients receiving information about cancer

82 related infertility (between 0% and 85%) and regarding the satisfaction with information received by

- cancer patients undergoing fertility preservation, with percentage of patients evaluating the
   information received as sufficient ranging from 11% to 90% (Goossens *et al.*, 2014).
- Transgender patients often felt that information, even when provided, was incomplete, which affected patients' satisfaction with decision-making (<u>Chen *et al.*, 2019</u>).
- 87 An evaluation of gynaecologists' and obstetricians' knowledge and practices regarding counselling
- and provision of information of women with childbearing plans and delaying pregnancy for social
- 89 reasons showed that only 27.6% of participants counselled women about age related fertility
- 90 decline, although 58.1% were asked about elective freezing by their patients (Fritz et al., 2018).

## 91 Patient preferences

A systematic review on fertility related concerns in young women with breast cancer evaluated preferences for provision of fertility-related information (<u>Peate *et al.*</u>, 2009</u>). One of the studies reported that the most preferred method for obtaining fertility related information was a consultation with a fertility specialist followed by a decision aid early in the treatment plan.

Another systematic review using a mixed methods approach retrieved 27 papers reporting fertility information needs and provision preferences, 21 of them focusing on the patients' perspective (<u>Goossens *et al.*, 2014</u>). Results highlighted that patients preferred to be informed during an individual consultation by a fertility specialist or by an oncologist, ideally about one week after cancer diagnosis, after recovering from the shock of the cancer diagnosis, and prior to cancer treatment. Similarly, Anazodo's systematic scoping identified that patients and patients' parents preferred to receive FP information by the time of the cancer diagnosis (<u>Anazodo *et al.*, 2019</u>).

- Written information was considered as a supplement to oral information (Goossens et al., 2014, 103 Shen et al., 2019). Studies have documented that patients valued the possibility of written 104 105 information that they could take home and be able to read again, before or after receiving the information regarding FP (Garvelink et al., 2015, Ehrbar et al., 2016, Kelvin et al., 2016, Vogt et al., 106 2018) or a website with information available (Garvelink et al., 2012, Muller et al., 2017). A study by 107 Tam et al. (2018) with cancer patients and their partners reported that 93% of female patients found 108 the use of brochures useful (Tam et al., 2018). Participants preferred to receive FP information 109 verbally (73%), in writing (66%) or in a website (57%). Videos (21%) and education (11%) were the least 110 preferred methods. These results are in line with preferences reported by Speller et al. (2019), who 111 reported that 88% of study participants (patients and health care providers) preferred paper and/or 112 online resources over other formats (audio guided booklet or videos) (Speller et al., 2019c). 113 Borgmann-Staudt and colleagues developed an educational intervention study with cancer 114 patients and their parents (Borgmann-Staudt et al., 2019). In this study, a control group received 115 standard patient education and the intervention group received an additional information flyer at 116 initial diagnosis. Results showed an increase in knowledge and in feelings of empowerment in the 117 intervention group, and effects were higher in female patients, older patients and the highly 118 educated. 119
- 120 A narrative review by Jones and colleagues examined the factors that hindered the decision making of women with cancer contemplating FP (Jones et al., 2017a). External and internal factors 121 were found to affect decision-making, underlining the importance of considering patients 122 subjective factors. Indeed, this review highlighted that the decision-making to pursue FP was 123 affected by fears related to the FP treatment, which evoked the dilemma of which treatment should 124 be prioritized. In line with this, in the qualitative study by Srikanthan et al. (2019), patients reported 125 126 the importance of having their preferences and personal situations addressed (Srikanthan et al., 2019). Therefore, tools that support FP decision-making should not only inform patients about their 127 128 FP options but also take into consideration their specific values and preferences.

129 Transgender patients' preferences about receiving FP information has received less attention. A 130 mixed methods systematic review included 27 studies on fertility care for transgender men 131 evaluating satisfaction with information provided and preferences regarding methods of 132 information provision (Johnson *et al.*, 2016). Results showed that patients expected to receive 133 information in a consultation and written information was considered supplementary. Although 134 some patients considered written information useful, especially to revisit the information when 135 needed, some patients reported that written information was not concise, and they felt

136 overwhelmed by information.

### 137 Decision aids to support patients' decision-making

Decision aids (DAs) are tools or interventions based on education materials that aim to provide 138 information to patients to support their treatment-related decisions. These materials, like other 139 information tools (e.g. informative sheet), provide information about each of the available options 140 141 and about potential harms and benefits. DAs differ from informative sheet by explicitly eliciting patient's preferences and/or values regarding each option and asking the patients about their final 142 choice or preferred choice, therefore improving congruence between decisions and personal 143 values. Decision aids can be used by clinicians and by patients and either in preparation, during or 144 after the clinical consultation. Decision aids can be printed, or web based, but there are no studies 145 146 comparing the effectiveness and patient's satisfaction between these types of DAs in FP decision.

147 In the last years several DAs to support FP decision in women of reproductive age with cancer have 148 been developed. Speller et al. (2019) examined the quality of 31 DAs and other support resource 149 materials (Speller *et al.*, 2019b). Specifically, the quality of DAs was evaluated using the International 150 Patient Decision Aid Standard Collaboration Checklist, with several of the DAs included in the 151 review (Decte et al., 2014). Decte at al., 2019, Canvalink et al., 2019) reted as high guality.

151 review (<u>Peate et al., 2011</u>, <u>Peate et al., 2012</u>, <u>Garvelink et al., 2013</u>) rated as high quality.

The effectiveness of the use of DAs in FP decision was examined, evaluating improvement in 152 knowledge, decisional conflict, satisfaction and acceptability and regret (Wang et al., 2019). 153 Decision aids proved to be effective in improving knowledge in three studies and, in one sample, 154 knowledge was retained for 6 months. Specifically, the use of DA in addition to standard care or 155 fertility counselling was associated with increased knowledge. Decisional conflict decreased after 156 the use of a DA, as reported by two studies in female cancer patients. However, when compared 157 158 to the use of a brochure only or counselling only, there were no differences between these two 159 interventions or the use of the DA. The authors concluded that existing studies did not provide clear 160 evidence on the benefit of DAs for decreasing decisional conflict (Wang et al., 2019). Satisfaction with the use of DAs and acceptability were also assessed in the review, and results are indicative 161 162 of positive assessment after the use of DAs. Patients and clinicians reported that DAs were easy to read, well organized and contained relevant information and more than 88% would recommend 163 164 their use (Wang et al., 2019). Nevertheless, some negative feeling after the use of the DAs were also 165 reported.

Finally, decisional regret was also evaluated in this review (<u>Wang *et al.*</u> 2019</u>). There were no differences in regret at the time of the decision or 6 months after, but at 12 months after the decision, regret was significantly lower in the group who used the DA when compared with standard care.

Similar results were found in a study of the effect of the use of an online DA (FERTIONCO) in addition to standard counselling by a FP specialist (<u>Ehrbar *et al.*</u>, 2019</u>). Women who used the DA reported lower decisional conflict after counselling and one month later. Additionally, more women had decided for or against FP in the group using the DA compared to the control group at the first assessment (i.e. immediately after consultation or use of the DA). Satisfaction with the use of the DA was positive and more than 80% of the participants would recommend the use of the DA.

#### 176 Recommendation

It is recommended to provide decision aids to patients who are considering FP. STRONG  $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ 

#### 177 Justification

178 Making general conclusions on the efficacy of DAs is troubled by the limited studies that examined the

- efficacy of the DAs, the quality of the studies (although summarized in systematic reviews) and by each
- 180 assessing different interventions and outcomes. Overall, available evidence was felt to show some

[39]

benefit of DAs, while the risks are minimal and, when existing, limited to an increase in negative emotions reported in some patients (<u>Wang et al., 2019</u>). Patients report the need of a tool that includes more information on FP options and helps them in the decision-making process. Decision aids can be long documents, which can diminish its use, but overall, providing patients with DAs is considered acceptable and feasible.

- 186
- 187 Examples of published DAs are listed in Table 6.
- 188

# Table 6 Decision aids that are currently available to patients and/or which have been shown to be effective in supporting the FP decision making

Decision aid /Reference	Online version	Langu age	Tool modality	Components included	Effectiveness
Decision aids with e	effective	eness st	udies pu	ıblished	
Fertility related choices ( <u>Peate <i>et al.,</i> 2011,</u> <u>Peate <i>et al.,</i> 2012</u> )	Available <u>here</u>	English	Booklet available online	<ul> <li>Information about cancer, fertility and FP options;</li> <li>Value clarification exercises</li> <li>Includes a balance sheet to weight and compare options</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Decrease in decisional conflict</li> <li>Decrease in decisional regret</li> <li>No change in anxiety or depression symptoms</li> <li>Increase in knowledge</li> <li>Satisfaction with information received</li> </ul>
( <u>Garvelink <i>et al.,</i> 2013,</u> Garvelink <i>et al.,</i> 2017)	Not available	Dutch	Online tool	<ul> <li>Information about cancer, fertility and FP options;</li> <li>Value clarification exercises</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increase in knowledge</li> <li>Slightly higher Decisional conflict compared to use of brochures</li> </ul>
Fertionco ( <u>Ehrbar <i>et al.,</i> 2018,</u> Ehrbar <i>et al.,</i> 2019)	Available <u>here</u>	German French	Online tool	<ul> <li>Information about cancer, fertility and FP options;</li> <li>Value clarification exercises</li> <li>Includes a weighting and deciding tool that allows for a sum of arguments in favour and against each option.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lower decisional conflict</li> <li>Less time to take the decision</li> <li>Higher Satisfaction</li> </ul>
Decision aids witho	out effec	tivenes	s studies	s published (ongoing stu	ıdies)
Cancer, Fertility & Me	Available here	English	Website and printable version	<ul><li>Information about cancer and FP options</li><li>Decision-making exercises</li></ul>	Effectiveness results not published yet
Pathways patient decision aid website (Woodard <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	Not available	English	Online tool	<ul> <li>Information about cancer, fertility and FP options;</li> <li>Value clarification exercises</li> </ul>	Effectiveness results not published yet Acceptability studies
The "Begin Exploring Fertility Options, Risks and Expectations" (BEFORE)	Available here	English	Website and printable version	<ul> <li>Information about cancer, fertility and FP options;</li> <li>Fertility options exercise to help patients making to decision</li> </ul>	Effectiveness results not published yet
DA for parents with Children and Adolescents with cancer (Allingham <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	Not available	English	Online tool	<ul> <li>Information about cancer, fertility and FP options;</li> <li>Information on how parents can talk with their children about fertility and fertility preservation</li> <li>Value clarification exercises</li> </ul>	Acceptability studies

[40]

#### 191 Research recommendation

192 Studies are needed comparing the effectiveness and patients' satisfaction with written compared 193 to online DAs. The relevance of the DAs in supporting patients' decision making and reducing 194 emotional distress at the time of the decision should be further clarified.

## 195 Tools to support clinicians in providing FP information to patients

Several studies also have documented that healthcare professionals have difficulties in discussing 196 cancer-related infertility risks and FP options. In a study by Kemertzis et al., 66% of healthcare 197 providers (nurses, clinicians and allied health professionals) reported dissatisfaction with existing 198 FP system and 59.6% were not confident in providing up-to-date FP information (Kemertzis et al. 199 2018). In the same study, 34.5% of respondents reported providing (often or always) verbal (oral) 200 information and 14% reported providing written information. A mixed methods systematic review of 201 healthcare professionals' views on discussing FP with children, adolescents and young cancer 202 patients (aged 0-24) retrieved 16 papers reporting 14 studies (Vindrola-Padros et al., 2017). In this 203 review, seven studies reported that healthcare professional did not have educational material to 204 support FP discussions and in two of these studies professionals reported to be more likely to 205 206 discuss FP options if they had educational materials. Anazodo's systematic scoping review on models of care examined 30 papers addressing cancer clinician knowledge and training and 20 207 papers addressing knowledge and training in non-cancer clinician. Studies reviewed highlighted 208 that health care professionals wanted more educational materials and education to provide fertility 209 care (Anazodo et al., 2019). Therefore, it seems important to provide patients and clinicians with 210 materials to support FP discussions. 211

One study evaluated the effect of the use of a checklist ("fertility toolkit") for healthcare providers 212 who discuss FP options with children, adolescents and young adult patients and their parents 213 (Kemertzis et al., 2018). A survey was used to assess implementation and impact of the toolkit three 214 time points: baseline, after use and 2 years after the toolkit introduction. After the use of the toolkit, 215 216 healthcare providers reported a significant improvement in confidence levels regarding the provision of information, although satisfaction with FP discussion was not significantly increased. 217 The healthcare providers reported a significant improvement in the provision of verbal and written 218 information. This toolkit was further developed and revised into a clinician decision support system 219 (CDSS), i.e. a computer application design to aid clinicians in supporting decisions in patient care 220 221 (Hand et al., 2018). The authors examined the usability and acceptability of this CDSS in a sample of 39 clinical staff working in an oncofertility care unit. In this study, more than 60% agreed that this 222 CDSS would enable adherence to consistent clinical pathways, policy and standards of care and 223 would improve clinician consistency in provision of information and patient and family decision 224 making. A total of 96,2% reported willingness to lead fertility discussions using the CDSS, indicating 225 high levels of acceptance of the tool. No studies on the effectiveness on patients' outcomes of 226 using these tools have been published. 227

228 One survey study evaluated clinicians' preferences working with transgender people regarding the 229 use of decision aids or a provider assessment tool, with most clinicians (i.e. 67%) reporting a 230 preference for the use of a decision aid (<u>Johnson *et al.*</u>, 2016).

#### 231 Recommendations

Healthcare professionals may consider the use of a checklist for a better provision of information to patients.

#### 232 Justification

- 233 Overall there is very little evidence on the use of tools for clinicians to assist them in providing fertility-
- related information to patients. The study by Kemertzis provides indirect evidence for the current
- 235 guideline as it reports on a paediatric oncology setting (<u>Kemertzis et al., 2018</u>). In addition, there are no
- 236 data on patient's satisfaction or other outcomes after consultation with or without tools for clinicians

[41]

- on fertility issues. However, given the evidence for fears of healthcare professionals to provide FP information and the patient's needs and preferences for information provision on fertility issues, and the lack of risks associated with it, healthcare professionals may consider the use of a checklist or a toolkit to improve the provision of information to patients (due to fears of HCP in providing info).
- 241
- Based on the information needs reported for women undergoing FP due to a cancer diagnosis
- 243 (Goossens et al., 2014, Silva et al., 2018), we have developed a list of information needs of women
- undergoing fertility preservation, to support clinicians in providing all relevant information (seeChecklist 2).

246

#### Checklist 2 Checklist for clinicians to cover the Information needs of patients undergoing fertility 247 248 preservation counselling

Information needs	Cancer patients	Medical (non- cancer) patients	Trans- gender men	Women undergoin g FP for non- medical reasons
1) Impact of disease/treatment on reproductive fur	nction			
Menstrual changes/Amenorrhoea	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	-
Premature ovarian insufficiency	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	-
Information about contraception	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
2) Impact of disease/treatment on fertility				
Effects of disease on fertility	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	-	-
Effects of treatments on fertility / risk of infertility	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	-
Effects of hormonal therapy on fertility	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	_
3) Fertility preservation options				
Effects of hormonal stimulation for FP on disease recurrence	$\checkmark$	V	V	-
Impact of age at the time of FP on success rates	$\checkmark$	V	V	$\checkmark$
<ul> <li>Established and experimental FP techniques</li> </ul>		V	V	$\checkmark$
- Time requirements of each FP option	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
- Success rates of each FP technique	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
- Pregnancy rates after each FP option	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
- Risks of each FP technique	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
- Side effects of each FP technique	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
- Advantages of each FP technique	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
- Disadvantages of each FP technique	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
- Costs of each FP technique	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
Late FP options <sup>1</sup>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Ethical issues associated with embryo cryopreservation	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
4) Cryopreservation and storage of cryopreserved	material			
Maximum time for cryopreservation	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Costs of cryopreservation	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
5) Infertility and fertility treatments				
Infertility and Medically assisted reproduction treatments		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
6) Pregnancy after cancer				
Risk of disease recurrence due to pregnancy	$\checkmark$		-	-
Risks/benefits of having children after cancer/other diseases	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	-	-
Effects of disease/treatments on future children (repeated?)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	-
Obstetric risks	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
7) Childbearing/Parenting options				
Reproductive planning after	1	1	~	2
disease/treatment/other situations	N	N	N I	N
Other options to achieve pregnancy/parenting				$\checkmark$

<sup>1</sup>Including FP after completion of cancer treatment or other treatments for non-malignant diseases. For transgender men, this implies FP options after the start of gender-affirming hormone therapy

249 250

## <sup>251</sup> B2. Support and counselling

Fertility-related concerns and fertility preservation treatment have a significant psychological 252 impact in cancer patients. A study by Takeuchi et al. reported that 14% of participants felt fear and 253 shock when facing the risk of infertility, and frequently endorsed the need for psychological 254 support (Takeuchi et al., 2019). Similarly, in a prospective mixed methods study with women 255 recently diagnosed with breast cancer, 'psychosocial factors' were an emergent theme, with 256 women referring fear as a dominant emotion related with the cancer and fertility related issues 257 (Vogt et al., 2018). A systematic review included 47 papers examining fertility related psychological 258 distress in cancer patients, from diagnosis to survivorship and reported that patients presenting for 259 260 FP at the time of cancer diagnosis and treatment had poorer mental health when compared with infertile patients regarding depression, anxiety and fertility related stress (Logan and Anazodo, 261 2019). Another study included in the review showed that 1/3 of female cancer patients undergoing 262 263 ovarian stimulation reported impairing symptoms of anxiety and depression. A systematic scoping review retrieved 14 papers discussing patients' negative emotional impact of infertility after cancer; 264 this showed that the threat of infertility was associated with psychological distress and that patients 265 want to receive more support (<u>Anazodo et al., 2019</u>). It should be noted some cancer patients may 266 have specific needs. For example, La Rosa and colleagues highlighted that gynaecological patients 267 268 may require special FP and psychological counselling due to the serious impact that gynaecological cancers and its treatment may have on their future sexuality and female identity 269 (La Rosa et al., 2019). 270

Because distress, anxiety and depression can affect decision making, some patients may benefit
from psychological counselling in addition to fertility counselling. While fertility counselling refers
to the provision of information regarding infertility risks and FP options and is usually provided by a
clinician, psychological counselling is targeted at exploring reproductive concerns and promoting
strategies to deal with the stress of the decision in the short and long term (Logan and Anazodo,
2019).

# PICO QUESTION: IS THERE A BENEFIT OF PSYCHOLOGICAL SUPPORT AND COUNSELLING, AND ARE THERE PARTICULAR GROUPS THAT WOULD BENEFIT FROM IT?

## <sup>279</sup> Is there a benefit of psychological support and counselling?

Studies on the effect of psychosocial support and counselling in the decision making of patients 280 281 undergoing fertility preservation procedure are scarce. A systematic review on oncofertility support needs of cancer patients retrieved 30 papers and categorized the needs as information, service, 282 clinician-patient interactions, psychological, and family (Logan et al., 2018). Regarding 283 psychological support needs, one study documented that female patients expressed the desire for 284 additional support, such as specialized psychological service or a post treatment internet group. 285 Another study documented that the presence of a psychologist in a fertility preservation team was 286 considered helpful, although no specific psychological intervention was performed (Logan et al., 287 288 2018). A systematic scoping review retrieved 14 studies discussing needs for emotional support. Of 289 these, two studies highlighted that patients reported that emotional support was important at all 290 stages of treatment and that counselling was useful in different time points due to the complexity 291 of FP decision making (Anazodo et al., 2019).

292 Chiavari and colleagues evaluated the effect of a decision-making support tool (based on decision 293 counselling) on decision-making, decisional conflict and anxiety in cancer patients facing fertility-294 related decisions (<u>Chiavari *et al.*</u>, 2015</u>). This study differentiated between the provision of 295 information, which was provided by the clinician, and decisional support, which was focused in 296 personal aspects that could influence the decision and improve satisfaction with the decision. The 297 Decision Counselling (DeCo) intervention was conducted by health professionals with training in 298 counselling. Results showed a statistically significant increase in stage of decision-making (which reflects patients' readiness to engage in decision-making and progress in decisions) and a reduction in decisional conflict after the intervention. Changes were observed in the subscale of feeling informed and uncertainty of decisional conflict.

There are no studies evaluating effect of psychological counselling in a long-term adjustment for cancer patients referred for FP.

Regarding FP for non-medical reasons, one study assessed decisional regret in a sample of 201 women undergoing oocyte cryopreservation (<u>Greenwood *et al.*</u>, 2018). Decisional regret was found to be associated with perceived adequacy of information when deciding to pursue oocyte cryopreservation and perceived adequacy of emotional support during treatment. Caution should be used regarding these findings, because the participants reported on their perception of information and support in routine care, which was not standardized or described in detail.

#### 310 Recommendation

It is recommended that patients are offered psychological support and counselling when dealing with FP decisions, although the extent of the clinical benefit has not been studied. STRONG  $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ 

#### 311 Justification

- 312 The evidence on the effect of psychological support on FP patients is weak and indirect, as there are
- no specific intervention studies with a control group. Existing studies do not provided evidence for the
- effect of psychological support on psychological (depression, anxiety, quality of life, regret) and FP
- 315 outcomes (e.g. use of material), either short or long term.
- Patients consider psychological support helpful when dealing with FP decisions and in absence of harms with such intervention, the GDG decided to recommend that psychological support is offered.
- 318 Offering psychological support and counselling will depend on the availability of a 319 psychologist/counsellor in the FP team, and this may impact on the feasibility of the recommendation. 320

## 321 Selection of patients for psychological support and counselling

There are no studies providing direct evidence on subgroups of FP patients that would specifically benefit from psychological support. However, some studies examined predictors of emotional distress in cancer patients and reproductive concerns in FP patients (<u>Shah *et al.*</u>, 2016, <u>Logan *et al.*</u>, 2019).

- O'Hea and colleagues showed that history of psychological problems (e.g. previous diagnoses, past 326 327 use of psychotropic medications, or history of counselling or psychotherapy) was related to psychological distress in cancer patients (<u>O'Hea et al., 2016</u>). Additionally, some psychological 328 processes and fertility- or cancer-related variables were also associated with psychological 329 distress. Specifically the odds of being diagnosed with depressive symptoms was related to higher 330 levels of avoidance coping (Lawson et al., 2014). Similarly, the odds of being diagnosed with anxiety 331 symptoms was related to poor insurance coverage, higher sexual concerns and avoidance coping 332 strategies (Lawson et al., 2014). 333
- In a retrospective evaluation of reproductive concerns in 356 female cancer survivorsreproductive
   concerns were higher among women that were i) younger at diagnosis; ii) treated for leukemia; iii)
   treated with chemoradiation or bone marrow transplantation; iv) nulliparous; v) desiring future
   children at the time of diagnosis; vi) infertile after treatment; or vii) had a lower income (<u>Shah et al.</u>,
   2016).
- Independent of FP, transgender men were reported to have a higher risk of depression than gender-congruent people (<u>Witcomb *et al.*, 2018</u>).
- 341

#### 342 Conclusion

The multidisciplinary FP team counselling FP patients should be aware that maladaptive psychological processes and past psychopathology are risk factors for psychological distress during FP decision. It is recommended that patients at risk are referred for psychological support when needed.

Clinicians should be aware of risk factors for psychological distress during FP (e.g. past psychopathology, current exacerbated concerns or distress regarding future fertility)

#### 343 Recommendation

Clinicians may consider referring FP patients who present risk factors for psychological distress for psychological support and counselling. WEAK  $\oplus \circ \circ \circ$ 

#### 344 Justification

345 The key question aimed to identify certain patient subgroups that could have a significant benefit of

- psychological support and counselling. In absence of any direct evidence, information on predictors
   for maladaptation was collected, hypothesizing that such predictors could help selecting patients that
- for maladaptation was collected, hypothesizing that such predictors could help selecting patients that
   have more benefit from psychological support and counselling. Predictors for psychological distress
- 349 include:
- 350 past psychopathology
- 351 maladaptive psychological processes
- 352 current exacerbated concerns
- 353 distress regarding future fertility
- 354

For specific considerations for women attempting oocyte cryopreservation for non-medical reasons see D4. Oocyte cryopreservation for non-medical reasons

#### 357 Research recommendation

358 Studies should investigate the benefit of providing psychological counselling to women 359 undergoing FP decision-making. It should also be investigated which patients would benefit the 360 most from psychological support and counselling. There is a need for more studies examining risk 361 factors for emotional distress in patients undergoing FP.

362 References

Allingham C, Gillam L, McCarthy M, Zacharin M, Jayasuriya S, Heloury Y, Orme L, Sullivan M, Peate M, Jayasinghe Y. Fertility
 Preservation in Children and Adolescents With Cancer: Pilot of a Decision Aid for Parents of Children and Adolescents With
 Cancer. JMIR Pediatr Parent 2018;1: e10463.

- Anazodo A, Laws P, Logan S, Saunders C, Travaglia J, Gerstl B, Bradford N, Cohn R, Birdsall M, Barr R *et al.* How can we
   improve oncofertility care for patients? A systematic scoping review of current international practice and models of care.
   *Human reproduction update* 2019;25: 159-179.
- Baram S, Myers SA, Yee S, Librach CL. Fertility preservation for transgender adolescents and young adults: a systematic review. *Human reproduction update* 2019;25: 694-716.
- Borgmann-Staudt A, Kunstreich M, Schilling R, Balcerek M, Dirksen U, Cario H, Kepakova K, Klco-Brosius S, Korte E, Kruseova
   J et al. Fertility knowledge and associated empowerment following an educational intervention for adolescent cancer
   patients. *Psycho-oncology* 2019.
- Chen D, Kyweluk MA, Sajwani A, Gordon EJ, Johnson EK, Finlayson CA, Woodruff TK. Factors Affecting Fertility Decision Making Among Transgender Adolescents and Young Adults. *LGBT health* 2019;6: 107-115.
- Chiavari L, Gandini S, Feroce I, Guerrieri-Gonzaga A, Russell-Edu W, Bonanni B, Peccatori FA. Difficult choices for young
   patients with cancer: the supportive role of decisional counseling. Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the
   Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer 2015;23: 3555-3562.
- 379 Crawshaw MA, Glaser AW, Hale JP, Sloper P. Male and female experiences of having fertility matters raised alongside a 380 cancer diagnosis during the teenage and young adult years. *European journal of cancer care* 2009;18: 381-390.

[45]

- Bhrbar V, Urech C, Alder J, Harringer K, Zanetti Dallenbach R, Rochlitz C, Tschudin S. Decision-making about fertility
   preservation-qualitative data on young cancer patients' attitudes and needs. Archives of women's mental health 2016;19: 695-699.
- Berbar V, Urech C, Rochlitz C, Dallenbach RZ, Moffat R, Stiller R, Fah M, von Wolff M, Nawroth F, Dangel A *et al.* Fertility
   Preservation in Young Female Cancer Patients: Development and Pilot Testing of an Online Decision Aid. *Journal of adolescent and young adult oncology* 2018;7: 30-36.
- Bhrbar V, Urech C, Rochlitz C, Zanetti Dallenbach R, Moffat R, Stiller R, Germeyer A, Nawroth F, Dangel A, Findeklee S *et al.* Randomized controlled trial on the effect of an online decision aid for young female cancer patients regarding fertility
   preservation. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2019;34: 1726-1734.
- Fritz R, Klugman S, Lieman H, Schulkin J, Taouk L, Castleberry N, Buyuk E. Counseling patients on reproductive aging and
   elective fertility preservation-a survey of obstetricians and gynecologists' experience, approach, and knowledge. *Journal of* assisted reproduction and genetics 2018;35: 1613-1621.
- Garvelink MM, ter Kuile MM, Bakker RM, Geense WJ, Jenninga E, Louwe LA, Hilders CG, Stiggelbout AM. Women's
   experiences with information provision and deciding about fertility preservation in the Netherlands: 'satisfaction in general,
   but unmet needs'. *Health expectations : an international journal of public participation in health care and health policy* 2015;18:
   956-968.
- Garvelink MM, ter Kuile MM, Fischer MJ, Louwe LA, Hilders CG, Kroep JR, Stiggelbout AM. Development of a Decision Aid
   about fertility preservation for women with breast cancer in The Netherlands. *Journal of psychosomatic obstetrics and gynaecology* 2013;34: 170-178.
- 400 Garvelink MM, Ter Kuile MM, Louwe LA, Hilders C, Stiggelbout AM. Feasibility and effects of a decision aid about fertility 401 preservation. *Human fertility (Cambridge, England)* 2017;20: 104-112.
- Garvelink MM, Ter Kuile MM, Louwe LA, Hilders CG, Stiggelbout AM. A Delphi consensus study among patients and clinicians
   in the Netherlands on the procedure of informing young breast cancer patients about Fertility Preservation. *Acta oncologica* (Stockholm, Sweden) 2012;51: 1062-1069.
- Goossens J, Delbaere I, Van Lancker A, Beeckman D, Verhaeghe S, Van Hecke A. Cancer patients' and professional caregivers' needs, preferences and factors associated with receiving and providing fertility-related information: a mixedmethods systematic review. *International journal of nursing studies* 2014;51: 300-319.
- 408 Greenwood EA, Pasch LA, Hastie J, Cedars MI, Huddleston HG. To freeze or not to freeze: decision regret and satisfaction 409 following elective oocyte cryopreservation. *Fertility and sterility* 2018;109: 1097-1104.e1091.
- Hand M, Kemertzis MA, Peate M, Gillam L, McCarthy M, Orme L, Heloury Y, Sullivan M, Zacharin M, Jayasinghe Y. A Clinical
   Decision Support System to Assist Pediatric Oncofertility: A Short Report. *Journal of adolescent and young adult oncology* 2018.
- Hickman LC, Fortin C, Goodman L, Liu X, Flyckt R. Fertility and fertility preservation: knowledge, awareness and attitudes of
   female graduate students. *The European journal of contraception & reproductive health care : the official journal of the European Society of Contraception* 2018;23: 130-138.
- 416 Johnson EK, Chen D, Gordon EJ, Rosoklija I, Holl JL, Finlayson C. Fertility-Related Care for Gender and Sex Diverse 417 Individuals: A Provider Needs-Assessment Survey. *Transgender health* 2016;1: 197-201.
- 418 Jones G, Hughes J, Mahmoodi N, Smith E, Skull J, Ledger W. What factors hinder the decision-making process for women 419 with cancer and contemplating fertility preservation treatment? *Human reproduction update* 2017a;23: 433-457.
- Jones GL, Hughes J, Mahmoodi N, Greenfield D, Brauten-Smith G, Skull J, Gath J, Yeomanson D, Baskind E, Snowden JA *et al.* Observational study of the development and evaluation of a fertility preservation patient decision aid for teenage and adult women diagnosed with cancer: the Cancer, Fertility and Me research protocol. *BMJ Open* 2017b;7: e013219.
- Kelvin JF, Thom B, Benedict C, Carter J, Corcoran S, Dickler MN, Goodman KA, Margolies A, Matasar MJ, Noy A *et al.* Cancer
   and Fertility Program Improves Patient Satisfaction With Information Received. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal* of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2016;34: 1780-1786.
- Kemertzis MA, Ranjithakumaran H, Hand M, Peate M, Gillam L, McCarthy M, Super L, McQuillan S, Drew S, Jayasinghe Y et
   *al.* Fertility Preservation Toolkit: A Clinician Resource to Assist Clinical Discussion and Decision Making in Pediatric and
   Adolescent Oncology. *Journal of pediatric hematology/oncology* 2018;40: e133-e139.
- 429 Kim J, Deal AM, Balthazar U, Kondapalli LA, Gracia C, Mersereau JE. Fertility preservation consultation for women with 430 cancer: are we helping patients make high-quality decisions? *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2013;27: 96-103.
- La Rosa VL, Shah M, Kahramanoglu I, Cerentini TM, Ciebiera M, Lin LT, Dirnfeld M, Minona P, Tesarik J. Quality of life and fertility preservation counseling for women with gynecological cancer: an integrated psychological and clinical perspective.
   *Journal of psychosomatic obstetrics and gynaecology* 2019: 1-7.
- 434 Lawson AK, Klock SC, Pavone ME, Hirshfeld-Cytron J, Smith KN, Kazer RR. Prospective study of depression and anxiety in 435 female fertility preservation and infertility patients. *Fertility and sterility* 2014;102: 1377-1384.
- Logan S, Anazodo A. The psychological importance of fertility preservation counseling and support for cancer patients. *Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica* 2019;98: 583-597.
- Logan S, Perz J, Ussher JM, Peate M, Anazodo A. A systematic review of patient oncofertility support needs in reproductive cancer patients aged 14 to 45 years of age. *Psycho-oncology* 2018;27: 401-409.
- Logan S, Perz J, Ussher JM, Peate M, Anazodo A. Systematic review of fertility-related psychological distress in cancer patients: Informing on an improved model of care. *Psycho-oncology* 2019;28: 22-30.
- 442 Muller M, Urech C, Boivin J, Ehrbar V, Moffat R, Daellenbach RZ, Rochlitz C, Tschudin S. Addressing decisional conflict about
- fertility preservation: helping young female cancer survivors' family planning decisions. *The journal of family planning and*
- 444 reproductive health care 2017.

- [47]
- O'Hea EL, Monahan BR, Cutillo A, Person SD, Grissom G, Boudreaux ED. Predictors of psychological distress and interest in
   mental health services in individuals with cancer. J Health Psychol 2016;21: 1145-1156.
- Patel V, Jones P, Judd A, Senko V, Altieri G, Pettee D. Recollection of Fertility Discussion in Adolescent and Young Adult
  Oncology Patients: A Single-Institution Study. *Journal of adolescent and young adult oncology* 2020;9: 72-77.
- Peate M, Meiser B, Cheah BC, Saunders C, Butow P, Thewes B, Hart R, Phillips KA, Hickey M, Friedlander M. Making hard
  choices easier: a prospective, multicentre study to assess the efficacy of a fertility-related decision aid in young women
  with early-stage breast cancer. *British journal of cancer* 2012;106: 1053-1061.
- 452 Peate M, Meiser B, Friedlander M, Zorbas H, Rovelli S, Sansom-Daly U, Sangster J, Hadzi-Pavlovic D, Hickey M. It's now or 453 never: fertility-related knowledge, decision-making preferences, and treatment intentions in young women with breast
- 453 never: fertility-related knowledge, decision-making preferences, and treatment intentions in young women with breast
   454 cancer--an Australian fertility decision aid collaborative group study. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the* 455 *American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2011;29: 1670-1677.
- Peate M, Meiser B, Hickey M, Friedlander M. The fertility-related concerns, needs and preferences of younger women with
   breast cancer: a systematic review. *Breast cancer research and treatment* 2009;116: 215-223.
- 458 Shah MS, Letourneau JM, Niemasik EE, Bleil M, McCulloch CE, Rosen MP. The role of in-depth reproductive health counseling in addressing reproductive health concerns in female survivors of nongynecologic cancers. *Journal of psychosocial oncology* 2016;34: 305-317.
- 461 Shen S, Zelkowitz P, Rosberger Z. Cancer and fertility: optimizing communication between patients and healthcare providers. 462 *Current opinion in supportive and palliative care* 2019;13: 53-58.
- 463 Silva C, Almeida-Santos AT, Melo C, Ribeiro Rama AC. Antineoplastic Agents and (In)fertility: Informing Patients to Improve 464 Decisions. *Journal of adolescent and young adult oncology* 2018.
- Speller B, Metcalfe K, Kennedy ED, Facey M, Greenblatt E, Scheer AS, Warner E, Joy AA, Wright FC, Baxter NN. The "Begin
   Exploring Fertility Options, Risks and Expectations" (BEFORE) decision aid: development and alpha testing of a fertility tool
   for premenopausal breast cancer patients. *BMC medical informatics and decision making* 2019a;19: 203.
- 468 Speller B, Micic S, Daly C, Pi L, Little T, Baxter NN. Oncofertility Decision Support Resources for Women of Reproductive 469 Age: Systematic Review. *JMIR cancer* 2019b;5: e12593.
- 470 Speller B, Sissons A, Daly C, Facey M, Kennedy E, Metcalfe K, Baxter NN. An evaluation of oncofertility decision support 471 resources among breast cancer patients and health care providers. *BMC health services research* 2019c;19: 101.
- Srikanthan A, Ethier JL, Amir E. The Voices of Young Women with Breast Cancer: Providing Support and Information for
   Improved Fertility Preservation Discussions. *Journal of adolescent and young adult oncology* 2019;8: 547-553.
- Takeuchi E, Shimizu M, Miyata K, Shimizu R, Matsunaga N, Moroi N, Fujisawa D, Mimura M, Kato M. A Content Analysis of
   Multidimensional Support Needs Regarding Fertility Among Cancer Patients: How Can Nonphysician Health Care Providers
   Support? *Journal of adolescent and young adult oncology* 2019;8: 205-211.
- Tam S, Puri N, Stephens D, Mitchell L, Giuliani M, Papadakos J, Gupta AA. Improving Access to Standardized Fertility
   Preservation Information for Older Adolescents and Young Adults with Cancer: Using a User-Centered Approach with
   Young Adult Patients, Survivors, and Partners to Refine Fertility Knowledge Transfer. *Journal of cancer education : the official journal of the American Association for Cancer Education* 2018;33: 528-535.
- Vindrola-Padros C, Dyer KE, Cyrus J, Lubker IM. Healthcare professionals' views on discussing fertility preservation with young cancer patients: a mixed method systematic review of the literature. *Psycho-oncology* 2017;26: 4-14.
- Vogt KS, Hughes J, Wilkinson A, Mahmoodi N, Skull J, Wood H, McDougall S, Slade P, Greenfield DM, Pacey A *et al.* Preserving fertility in women with cancer (PreFer): Decision-making and patient-reported outcomes in women offered egg
   and embryo freezing prior to cancer treatment. *Psycho-oncology* 2018;27: 2725-2732.
- 486 Wang Y, Anazodo A, Logan S. Systematic review of fertility preservation patient decision aids for cancer patients. *Psycho-*487 *oncology* 2019;28: 459-467.
- Witcomb GL, Bouman WP, Claes L, Brewin N, Crawford JR, Arcelus J. Levels of depression in transgender people and its
   predictors: Results of a large matched control study with transgender people accessing clinical services. *Journal of affective* disorders 2018;235: 308-315.
- 491 Woodard TL, Hoffman AS, Covarrubias LA, Holman D, Schover L, Bradford A, Hoffman DB, Mathur A, Thomas J, Volk RJ. The
- 492 Pathways fertility preservation decision aid website for women with cancer: development and field testing. *Journal of cancer* 493 *survivorship : research and practice* 2018;12: 101-114.
- 494

# PART C: Patient selection and pre <sup>2</sup> FP assessment

# 3 C1. Patient selection

#### 4 NARRATIVE QUESTION: WHICH CRITERIA CAN BE USED TO SELECT PATIENTS FOR FERTILITY 5 PRESERVATION?

6

7 Many, or indeed most, young women treated for cancer will retain their fertility and it is therefore 8 important to attempt to identify the degree of risk to allow informed patient decision-making, and to focus fertility preservation activities on those who are particularly at risk of loss of fertility. The 9 10 factors that are relevant to determining this risk include the age at which the woman is treated, with increasing age associated with increasing risk; the treatment administered, reflecting the diagnosis 11 and staging; and potentially individual factors within the patient that determine individual 12 susceptibility, such as her ovarian reserve. The importance of some specific treatment modalities 13 is well established with alkylating agent chemotherapy and radiotherapy to a field that includes the 14 ovary being of particular dose-dependent high risk. Radiotherapy to a field that includes the uterus 15 is also important in relation to the ability to carry successfully a pregnancy to term. 16

While fertility preservation (FP) is generally considered and conducted in two parts, i.e. the cryopreservation of gametes or gonadal tissue initially, with later attempts to achieve a pregnancy, the latter should always be considered at the time of the former. Thus, implicit in the patient evaluation at initial presentation is consideration of the potential for a successful pregnancy and what risks the patient's health, and the proposed treatment on for example, cardiac function, must be considered.

- 23 Checklist 3 provides a proposed structure for patients' assessment and selection that can be used
- in this regard.

25

#### Checklist 3 Proposed structure for patients' assessment and selection that can be used in this regard (adapted from (<u>Wallace *et al.*</u>, 2012))

#### Intrinsic factors

Health status of patient

- Surgical/anaesthetic risk, including thrombosis and infection
- $\circ \quad \text{Malignant contamination of the ovary}$
- The need to obtain fully informed consent (patient/parent)
- Age (upper and lower limits for safety and efficacy)
- $\checkmark$  Assessment of ovarian reserve

#### Extrinsic factors

- ✓ Nature of predicted treatment
  - High/medium/low/uncertain risk of POI/infertility
  - Other risks relating to pregnancy e.g. cardiac toxicity
  - o Uterine radiotherapy
  - Time, expertise and funding availability

28

- Validation of patients' selection in this field requires long-term studies following-up women to 29 assess the number who achieved pregnancies with and without fertility preservation procedures 30 against the criteria on which patients were selected. This aspect of the underpinning evidence base 31 is very much in its infancy with reports of pregnancies following FP being generally case series with 32 33 obvious difficulties regarding an appropriate control or comparison group. Data are however emerging comparing outcomes of oocyte vitrification and subsequent use in women who have 34 stored oocytes for non-medical compared with oncological indications (Cobo et al., 2018). This has 35 36 also been attempted in relation to children offered ovarian tissue cryopreservation, but with POI as an outcome rather than infertility given the age of the girls included (Wallace et al., 2014). Relevant 37 data in adult women include identification of those who were able to achieve a pregnancy after a 38 FP procedure without further medical intervention, i.e. without the use of their stored gametes or 39 ovarian tissue and such data, albeit often incomplete, has been published by some centres (e.g. 40 (Schmidt et al., 2013)). Clearly, there is a need for accurate analyses of outcomes of women who 41 have chosen to or not to proceed to FP to allow more informed patient decision-making. The 42 analysis of the evidence available at the present time in subsequent sections will, we hope, 43 stimulate high quality research in this aspect. 44 Specifically for FP, the following possible complications of FP procedures should be considered in 45 46 patient assessment and appriate steps taken to prevent them: Anaesthetic complications (including cardiac issues) 47
- 48 Thrombotic risk
- 49 Infection risk, particularly in immunodeficient patients
- 50 Complications from difficult access to ovaries (patient issues and/or disease-related)
- 51 Complications of FP in patients with hormone-sensitive cancers

#### 52 Recommendations

Patients require an individual assessment of the need and gpp suitability of FP.

GPP

53

A multidisciplinary team is recommended to have an accurate assessment of risks

- 54 Justification
- 55 There will always be a balance between providing FP to patients at risk, and not providing when the
- risk is low. This is futher complicated by uncertainty over the risk when applied to an individual, and
- 57 issues around the degree of invasiveness of the planned procedure, what risk it carries for the patient,
- 58 and the likelihood of success-meaning a future successful pregnancy, in relation to that chance
- 59 without the FP intervention.
- 60

#### 61 References

- 62 Cobo A, Garcia-Velasco J, Domingo J, Pellicer A, Remohi J. Elective and Onco-fertility preservation: factors related to IVF 63 outcomes. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 2222-2231.
- 64 Schmidt KT, Nyboe Andersen A, Greve T, Ernst E, Loft A, Yding Andersen C. Fertility in cancer patients after cryopreservation 65 of one ovary. *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2013;26: 272-279.
- Wallace WH, Critchley HO, Anderson RA. Optimizing reproductive outcome in children and young people with cancer.
   Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2012;30: 3-5.
- 68 Wallace WH, Smith AG, Kelsey TW, Edgar AE, Anderson RA. Fertility preservation for girls and young women with cancer:
- 69 population-based validation of criteria for ovarian tissue cryopreservation. *The Lancet Oncology* 2014;15: 1129-1136.
- 70

#### C2. Gonadotoxic treatments 1

2 Treatments for cancer and other medical conditions may cause gonadal damage by directly affecting the growing and non-growing ovarian follicle pool, the ovarian stroma or the blood supply 3 to the ovary. Treatment-induced gonadotoxicity may lead to premature ovarian insufficiency (POI) 4 defined as the absence of menstrual cycles for ≥4 months and elevated FSH levels in adult women 5 6 of 40 years of age or younger (Webber et al., 2016). Applying this definition to patients receiving gonadotoxic treatments may be problematic. On one hand, 4 months is a short timeframe for 7 8 patients exposed to anticancer therapies; if menstrual function returns, it usually occurs within 1 year following treatment completion, but it can happen also more than 2 years following the end 9 of therapy (Jacobson et al., 2016). On the other hand, irrespectively of the development of POI, 10 patients exposed to gonadotoxic therapies who resume menstrual function after treatment may 11 experience other negative treatment-related consequences including infertility and early 12 menopause (Letourneau et al., 2012, Barton et al., 2013). 13 For defining the risk of treatment-induced gonadotoxicity, it is important to highlight that the 14

available studies on this regard have not used homogeneous definitions of POI so that comparisons 15 16 between different treatments or even between studies focusing on the same therapy can be problematic. Treatment-induced gonadotoxicity has been assessed using amenorrhoea at 17 different timepoints following completion of therapy in some studies, while others have applied 18 composite endpoints for its definition (amenorrhoea and post-menopausal hormonal levels) (Lee 19 20 et al., 2006). Only limited evidence exists to estimate treatment-induced gonadotoxicity using other parameters (anti- Müllerian hormone [AMH] levels, antral follicle count [AFC] or, more importantly, 21 post-treatment pregnancy and age at POI/menopause) which may reflect more properly the 22 impact of the treatment on the ovarian reserve and fertility potential of the patients (Gracia et al., 23 2012). Among ovarian reserve markers, AMH is considered more sensitive and relevant than FSH, 24 LH, estradiol or inhibin B; therefore, most of the studies that assessed ovarian reserve markers to 25 estimate treatment-induced gonadotoxicity have focused on AMH during and after treatment 26

- completion. This is reviewed in section C3. Ovarian reserve testing 27
- 28

#### PICO QUESTION: WHICH FACTORS SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT WHEN ESTIMATING THE 29 INDIVIDUAL RISK OF GONADOTOXICITY FOR A CERTAIN PATIENT? 30

31

Although different mechanisms of gonadotoxicity have been proposed for each class of 32 chemotherapy agent (Morgan et al., 2012, Bedoschi et al., 2016, Codacci-Pisanelli et al., 2017), it is 33 the type and dose of chemotherapy that are the major factors determining risk of treatment-34 induced POI. Both oocyte and granulosa cells can be vulnerable to the toxic effect of 35 chemotherapy; moreover, injury to blood vessels and focal ovarian cortical fibrosis are other 36 potential consequences of cytotoxic therapy administration (Meirow et al., 2007, Morgan et al., 37 38 2012).

39 The gonadotoxicity of radiotherapy is dependent on the field of radiation, its dose and fractionation; radiotherapy can directly damage ovarian follicles and other ovarian tissues, but may also cause 40 adverse effects on other reproductive organs, notably the uterus (Wallace et al., 2003, Wallace et 41

al., 2005, Adriaens et al., 2009, Wo and Viswanathan, 2009). 42

Apart from gonadotoxic treatments, the disease itself (e.g. lymphoma) or surgery (e.g. 43 endometriosis) can be associated with gonadal damage and diminished ovarian reserve (Lawrenz 44 et al., 2012, Lekovich et al., 2016, Horton et al., 2019). The impact of surgery is reviewed in section 45 46 C3. Ovarian reserve testing.

In terms of patient characteristics, age is the most important factor affecting the risk of 47 gonadotoxicity (Letourneau et al., 2012). Pre-treatment ovarian reserve, linked with age, is another 48

- 49 crucial factor, for which the evidence has been discussed in section C3. Ovarian reserve testing.
- 50 Other patient-related factors that may potentially influence the risk of treatment-induced POI
- 51 include hereditary factors, with most of the evidence on the impact of germline mutations in the
- 52 BRCA genes (Lambertini et al., 2017b, Peccatori et al., 2018, Turan and Oktay, 2020).

## 53 Cancer

54 Breast cancer

55 Chemotherapy in premenopausal women with early breast cancer has a known gonadotoxic effect 56 as shown in many studies reporting on rates of POI (mostly defined as treatment-induced

- as shown in many studies reporting on rates of POI (mostly defined as treatment-induced amenorrhoea (Zhao *et al.*, 2014)) as well as impact on patients' ovarian reserve (measured by AMH
- 58 levels (<u>Anderson *et al.*, 2006</u>)).
- 59 The highest risk of gonadotoxicity with the use of anticancer systemic therapies in early breast
- 60 cancer patients is associated with the administration of the alkylating agent cyclophosphamide,
- 61 commonly given as part of (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy regimens (see Table 7). Compared to

62 chemotherapy not including this agent, cyclophosphamide-based regimens are associated with a

- 63 significantly higher risk of POI, with more than double the chances of developing treatment-
- 64 induced amenorrhoea (odds ratio [OR] 2.25; 95% Cl 1.26–4.03) (<u>Zhao et al., 2014</u>).
- 65 Anthracyclines and taxanes are two widely used classes of chemotherapy agents administered as
- 66 part of (neo)adjuvant treatment in women with early breast cancer. The use of anthracycline-based
- 67 or taxane-based regimens significantly increased the risk of treatment-induced amenorrhoea (OR
- 68 1.39; 95% Cl 1.15-1.70 and OR 1.24; 95% Cl 1.03-1.50, respectively) compared to regimens without
- 69 anthracyclines or taxanes (<u>Zhao et al., 2014</u>). Administering these agents with a dose-dense
- schedule<sup>2</sup> (i.e. every 2 weeks) versus a standard 3-weekly schedule was not associated with a
   higher risk of treatment-induced amenorrhoea (OR 1.00; 95% CI 0.80-1.25) (Lambertini *et al.*, 2017a).
- 72 With the administration of all these chemotherapy agents, AMH levels fall to undetectable levels
- in most women and generally persist at very low levels after treatment completion, with the extent
- of recovery determined by age and pre-treatment AMH levels (Anderson *et al.*, 2006, Su *et al.*, 2014,
- 75 <u>Freour et al., 2017</u>).
- 76 Currently, the two most common chemotherapy regimens used as (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy in early breast cancer are sequential treatment with an anthracycline plus cyclophosphamide 77 78 followed by a taxane or the combination of cyclophosphamide plus a taxane (i.e. the TC regimen). 79 Regarding the first combination, the addition of a taxane to anthracycline plus cyclophosphamide showed to adversely affect menses recovery (OR 2.04; 95% CI 1.25-3.33)<sup>3</sup> (Silva et al., 2016). 80 81 Consistent with this, a more recent retrospective analysis within a phase III trial reported an 82 increased risk of treatment-induced amenorrhoea with the addition of a taxane to anthracycline-83 based chemotherapy (OR 1.92; 95% Cl 1.44-2.56) (Lambertini et al., 2019a). Sequential use of a taxane following anthracycline plus cyclophosphamide is also associated with reduced AMH levels 1 year 84 85 following treatment completion (Lambertini et al., 2019c). Another study reported similar rates of treatment-induced amenorrhoea with the TC regimen and a sequential regimen with anthracycline 86 plus cyclophosphamide followed by a taxane (81% and 80% of patients reported cessation of 87
- 88 menses after chemotherapy) (<u>Eilertsen *et al.*, 2017</u>).
- 89 Targeted treatments
- Limited evidence exists on the risk of treatment-induced gonadotoxicity associated with the use of
   targeted agents. The two studies reporting rates of treatment-induced amenorrhoea in patients

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> i.e. using the same dose but given at a shorter interval between treatment cycles to increase the efficacy of chemotherapy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The OR for menses recovery was calculated from the OR for treatment-induced amenorrhea presented in the paper by Silva and colleagues.

[52]

92 receiving chemotherapy with anthracycline- and/or taxane-based regimens plus the anti-HER2

- agents trastuzumab and/or lapatinib have suggested likely gonadal safety of these agents (<u>Ruddy</u>
- 94 *et al.*, 2015, Lambertini *et al.*, 2019b).

#### 95 Endocrine treatments

96 The use of endocrine therapy is standard of care for patients with hormone receptor-positive breast 97 cancer. There are three main approaches currently recommended, for a duration of 5 years 98 (possibly prolonged to 10 years) with the choice based on patient individual risk of relapse: 99 tamoxifen alone, GnRH analogue plus tamoxifen and GnRH analogue plus an aromatase inhibitor 100 (<u>Burstein *et al.*, 2016</u>, <u>Cardoso *et al.*, 2019</u>).

101 Although tamoxifen following use of chemotherapy appears to increase the risk of amenorrhoea (OR 1.48; 95% Cl 1.28-1.70) (Zhao et al., 2014), there is no apparent negative effect of these agents 102 on the ovarian reserve. Several studies have shown no difference in AMH levels between patients 103 receiving tamoxifen following chemotherapy or not (Anderson et al., 2017b, Dezellus et al., 2017, 104 Freour et al., 2017, Lambertini et al., 2019c). Nevertheless, GnRH analogue treatment can suppress 105 106 AMH levels (Anderson et al., 2006). Importantly, the ovarian function may recover during the use of an aromatase inhibitor alone in premenopausal women (even those beyond 45 years of age) that 107 developed chemotherapy-induced amenorrhoea with potential negative consequences for 108 treatment efficacy (van Hellemond et al., 2017). 109

#### 110 Patient-related factors

Among patient-related factors, age represents the most important factor influencing the risk of 111 112 treatment-induced gonadotoxicity (Silva et al., 2016). Depending on patients' age at the time of treatment, the same chemotherapy regimen can be associated with a high risk of gonadotoxicity 113 (>80% chances of treatment-induced amenorrhoea) in patients older than 40 years and low risk 114 (<20% chances of treatment-induced amenorrhoea) in patients younger than 30 years (Lee et al., 115 2006, Lambertini et al., 2016). Baseline ovarian reserve measured by AMH levels influences and 116 predicts the risk of developing treatment-induced amenorrhoea (Anderson and Cameron, 2011, 117 Silva et al., 2016, Anderson et al., 2017b, Dezellus et al., 2017, Freour et al., 2017). Hereditary 118 conditions may also have a role; there is evidence suggesting a potential negative effect of carrying 119 120 germline BRCA mutations on baseline ovarian reserve and performance of fertility preservation strategies in young breast cancer patients (<u>Titus et al., 2013</u>, <u>Lambertini et al., 2018</u>, <u>Turan et al.</u>, 121 2018). However, the limited data reporting on chances of treatment-induced POI (defined based on 122 amenorrhoea rates (Valentini et al., 2013) or AMH levels (Lambertini et al., 2019c) following therapy 123 completion) have not shown any apparent increased risk for BRCA-mutated breast cancer patients 124 as compared to those without mutations. The impact of other anthropometric and lifestyle factors 125 (including body mass index and smoking history) and a potential role of genetic variants (single 126 nucleotide polymorphisms) on the risk of treatment-induced gonadotoxicity remains to be clarified 127 128 (Abusief et al., 2012, Ruddy et al., 2019).

129

#### 130 Haematological cancers

The use of chemotherapy in premenopausal women with haematological cancers has a known gonadotoxic effect as reported in several studies assessing POI rates (mostly defined as treatmentinduced amenorrhoea (<u>Overbeek *et al.*</u> 2017</u>)) and impact on patients' ovarian reserve (measured by AMH levels (<u>Peigne and Decanter</u>, 2014)). The largest amount of data is available for patients with lymphoma (<u>Overbeek *et al.*</u> 2017</u>).

136 In Hodgkin lymphoma, chemotherapy regimens can include alkylating agents [MOPP, MOPP/ABV 137 hybrid, RSQB, BEACOPP] or not [ABVD, EBVP], and this is considered the main determinant of 138 gonadotoxic risk (see Table 7). The cumulative POI risk with the use of alkylating-based 139 chemotherapy was 60% while it was only 3% for women exposed to non-alkylating regimens (age-140 adjusted hazard ratio [HR] 12.31; 95% CI 5.90-25.68) (van der Kaaij *et al.*, 2012). A linear dose-response 141 relationship between alkylating chemotherapy and occurrence of POI was observed (HR per cycle

142 of alkylating chemotherapy 1.50; 95% Cl 1.37-1.64). The risk of POI increased by 23% per year of age at the time of treatment; the effect of age was smaller in patients exposed to alkylating 143 chemotherapy than in those treated with non-alkylating regimens (van der Kaaij et al., 2012). 144 Another study assessing ovarian function after early-Hodgkin lymphoma treatment showed 145 recovery of regular menstrual cycles (mostly within 12 months) in more than 90% of women 146 (<u>Behringer et al., 2013</u>). However, in women receiving the BEACOPP regimen aged  $\geq$  30 years, the 147 risk of POI increased significantly with 45% reporting amenorrhoea. In terms of impact on patients' 148 ovarian reserve, a decrease in AMH levels is observed during both ABVD and BEACOPP regimens 149 150 (Anderson et al., 2018b). At one year after ABVD completion, AMH levels had returned to pretreatment concentrations with no changes at longer follow-up. However, age strongly affected the 151 extent of AMH recovery after ABVD: full recovery was observed in women younger than 35 years, 152 with only partial recovery in patients  $\geq$  35 years. In patients treated with BEACOPP, there was very 153 little recovery in AMH levels overall, with further increased risk of POI in patients older than 35 years 154

155 (<u>Anderson *et al.*, 2018b</u>).

More limited evidence exists for patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma. A retrospective analysis 156 conducted within two trials assessed ovarian function and ovarian reserve of patients treated with 157 158 CHOP or CHOEP chemotherapy regimens (see Table 7) (Meissner et al., 2015). As compared to the general population, last menstrual bleeding occurred earlier in patients exposed to CHOP-like 159 chemotherapy (47 years vs. 51 years). In patients without menstrual function and those older than 160 161 42 years, AMH was undetectable. In women younger than 42 years and with active menstrual function, AMH levels were decreased when compared with those expected in the general 162 163 population of similar age (Meissner et al., 2015).

- Patients with haematological cancers treated with stem cell transplantation are likely to receive 164 conditioning regimens with high-dose chemotherapy including alkylating agents with or without 165 166 radiation therapy. Permanent POI and infertility are highly prevalent, even in the absence of total body irradiation (Tauchmanova et al., 2003, Hammond et al., 2007, Akhtar et al., 2015). Women 167 undergoing allogeneic or autologous stem cell transplantation have a high (>80%) risk of POI, with 168 age of the patient at the time of transplantation and number of chemotherapy cycles being 169 important predictors of ovarian function recovery (Tauchmanova et al., 2003, Akhtar et al., 2015). As 170 compared to patients who receive autologous stem cell transplantation, gonadal toxicity may be 171 worsened by an altered immunomodulation in the allogeneic setting (Akhtar et al., 2015). Higher 172 rates of menstrual function recovery (63%) have been recently reported in patients who underwent 173 high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem transplantation for non-Hodgkin and Hodgkin 174 lymphoma with a median age of 25 years at the time of treatment (Akhtar et al., 2015). The high 175 176 gonadotoxicity of these regimens is also confirmed by the significant drop in AMH levels after treatment exposure (Di Paola et al., 2013, Peigne and Decanter, 2014). 177
- Very limited evidence exists on the gonadotoxicity of targeted therapies hence no conclusions can
  be drawn on their gonadotoxic impact (<u>Gharwan *et al.*, 2016</u>).
- 180 It should be noted that, in addition to type of chemotherapy regimen and age at the time of
- treatment, evidence exists on a potential negative effect of the disease itself on baseline ovarian
- reserve and performance of FP strategies in women with lymphoma (Lawrenz et al., 2012, Lekovich
- 183 <u>et al., 2016</u>). However, it is unknown whether and to what extent the disease itself may contribute
- 184 to increasing the risk of treatment-induced gonadotoxicity.

RISK CATEGORY	TYPE OF ANTICANCER TREATMENT
High risk (> 80% risk of treatment- induced amenorrhoea)	<ul> <li>Cyclophosphamide-based regimens (with anthracyclines and/or taxanes: (F)EC/(F)AC alone or followed by T or P, TC) in breast cancer patients aged ≥ 40 years</li> <li>Conditioning regimens for HSC transplantation with cyclophosphamide and/or TBI in patients with haematological cancers</li> <li>Abdominal and pelvic radiotherapy to a field that includes the ovaries</li> </ul>
Intermediate risk (40%-60% risk of treatment- induced amenorrhoea)	<ul> <li>Cyclophosphamide-based regimens (with anthracyclines and/or taxanes: (F)EC/(F)AC alone or followed by T or P, TC) in breast cancer patients aged 30-39 years</li> <li>Alkylating agent-based regimens (e.g. MOPP, RSQB, BEACOPP, CHOP, CHOPE) in lymphoma patients</li> </ul>
Low risk (< 20% risk of treatment- induced amenorrhoea)	<ul> <li>Cyclophosphamide-based regimens (with anthracyclines and/or taxanes: (F)EC/(F)AC alone or followed by T or P, TC) in breast cancer patients aged ≤ 30 years</li> <li>Non-alkylating agent-based regimens (e.g. ABVD or EBVP) in lymphoma patients aged ≥ 32 years</li> <li>BEP / EP in patients with non-epithelial ovarian cancers</li> <li>FOLFOX, XELOX or capecitabine in patients with colorectal cancers</li> <li>Multi-agent chemotherapy (EMA-CO and platinum-based combinations) for gestational trophoblastic tumours</li> <li>Radioactive iodine (I-131) in patients with thyroid cancer</li> </ul>
Very low or no risk	<ul> <li>Targeted agents (trastuzumab, lapatinib and rituximab) ?</li> <li>Tamoxifen and GnRH analogue</li> <li>Non-alkylating agent-based regimens (e.g. ABVD or EBVP) in lymphoma patients aged &lt; 32 years</li> <li>Single-agent methotrexate</li> </ul>
Unknown risk	<ul> <li>Platinum- and taxane-based chemotherapy in patients with gynaecological and lung cancers</li> <li>Majority of targeted therapies (monoclonal antibodies and small molecules like tyrosine kinase inhibitors) and immunotherapeutic agents</li> </ul>

# Table 7 Risk of treatment-induced gonadotoxicity in cancer patients associated with the main systemic anticancer therapies

Abbreviations: (F)EC/(F)AC = 5-fluoruracil, epirubicin, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide; T = docetaxel; P 188 = paclitaxel; GnRH analogue = gonadotropin releasing hormone analogue; HSC = hematopoietic stem 189 cell; TBI = total body irradiation; MOPP = mechlorethamine, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisone; RSQB 190 or MOPP/ABV hybrid, = MOPP/doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine; BEACOPP = cyclophosphamide, 191 doxorubicin, vincristine, bleomycin, etoposide, procarbazine, prednisone; ABVD = doxorubicin, 192 bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine; EBVP = epirubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, prednisone; CHOP = 193 cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone; CHOPE = CHOP plus etoposide; BEP = 194 195 etoposide, cisplatin, bleomycine; EP = etoposide, cisplatin; FOLFOX = 5-fluoruracil, oxaliplatin; XELOX = capecitabine, oxaliplatin; EMA-CO = etoposide, actinomycin D, methotrexate followed by 196 cyclophosphamide and vincristine; 197

198

#### 199 Gynaecological cancers

For gynaecological cancers, surgical procedures (including hysterectomy and bilateral salpingooophorectomy) have a direct effect on female reproductive potential (as discussed in section C3. Ovarian reserve testing). In addition to surgery, these patients are treated with pelvic radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy, which can further increase the risk of gonadotoxicity.

As oocytes are highly sensitive to ionizing radiation, abdominal and pelvic radiotherapy are 204 associated with a significant risk of gonadotoxicity (<u>Chan and Wang, 2017</u>). Age of the patient at the 205 time of treatment and cumulative dose of radiotherapy are the crucial factors, with a smaller 206 sterilizing dose needed for POI development with increasing age at the time of treatment. Other 207 important factors are the number and magnitude of fractions and the size of the radiation field. Due 208 to scatter radiation, it may not be always easy to determine the exact dose reaching the ovaries 209 (Chan and Wang, 2017). According to the dose of radiation, age of the patient and specific site of 210 treatment, pelvic radiotherapy can cause injury to the uterus with subsequent potential risk of 211 pregnancy-related complications (see E2. Obstetric outcomes). 212

The most common chemotherapy regimen used for the treatment of gynaecological cancers 213 (epithelial ovarian cancer and cervical cancer) includes the combination of a platinum agent (e.g. 214 carboplatin) and a taxane (e.g. paclitaxel). There is currently a lack of robust data to counsel patients 215 216 on the risk of gonadotoxicity associated with this combination. A recent study assessed ovarian function and reproductive outcomes in patients with ovarian cancer undergoing fertility-sparing 217 218 treatment and chemotherapy (Ceppi et al., 2019). Among the 73 patients with epithelial ovarian cancer exposed to adjuvant chemotherapy, the majority received single-agent cisplatin or 219 carboplatin with only 4 patients exposed to carboplatin plus paclitaxel. No apparent negative effect 220 of chemotherapy exposure was observed (Ceppi et al., 2019). However, no strong conclusions can 221 be derived specifically on the potential gonadotoxicity of the combination treatment with a 222 platinum agent and a taxane. 223

BEP- or EP-chemotherapy regimens are often used for the treatment of non-epithelial ovarian cancers. A case-control study reported a high likelihood of retaining ovarian function and fertility after treatment in a young population of patients exposed to fertility-sparing surgery and (in most cases) BEP chemotherapy (<u>Gershenson *et al.*</u>, 2007</u>). However, a more recent study has shown a potential increased risk of treatment-induced amenorrhoea and earlier spontaneous menopausal age after this same treatment; nevertheless, high conception rates were reported (<u>Ceppi *et al.*</u>, 2019).

Overall, chemotherapy regimens used for treating young women with gynaecological cancers can be considered associated with a low risk of gonadotoxicity. This risk varies significantly according to the type of chemotherapy agent, to the dose and length of exposure, and to the patient's age at the time of treatment (<u>Chan and Wang, 2017</u>). More data are needed to properly define the risk of gonadotoxicity associated with the combination treatment of a platinum agent and a taxane.

#### 236 Other cancers

Data on the risk of gonadotoxicity with the use of anticancer systemic therapies in patients with malignancies other than breast, haematological and gynaecological cancers are more limited (<u>Overbeek et al., 2017</u>). For all cancer types, the two most important risk factors influencing the risk of treatment-induced gonadotoxicity are use of alkylating agents and older age at the time of treatment (<u>Overbeek et al., 2017</u>).

Osteosarcoma and Ewing sarcoma are rare cancers in adults but more common in paediatric and adolescent patients. The rates of treatment-induced amenorrhoea in survivors of osteosarcoma and Ewing sarcoma treated with anthracycline- and cyclophosphamide-based chemotherapy regimens with or without radiotherapy range between 3% and 25% (Longhi *et al.*, 2012, Overbeek *et al.*, 2017). Predisposing factors for higher risk of permanent amenorrhoea were older age, use of high-dose chemotherapy and radiotherapy (Longhi *et al.*, 2012).

Surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy are important components in the management of patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer, which is increasingly common in young women. Overall,

250 this diagnosis results in reduced chance of subsequent pregnancy (standardized incidence ratio [SIR] 0.53; 95% CI 0.43-0.64) (Anderson et al., 2018a). While no apparent negative effect on female 251 reproductive function and fertility is expected with surgical resection for colon cancer, potential 252 negative consequences cannot be excluded with resections below the peritoneal reflection 253 (Spanos et al., 2008). Neoadjuvant (or adjuvant) chemoradiation is an important part of the treatment 254 in patients with rectal cancer. Although proper evidence is lacking to counsel young women on the 255 256 gonadotoxicity of this approach, pelvic radiotherapy is known to potentially lead to POI and infertility, with its gonadotoxicity risk being strongly influenced by the dose and field of radiation as 257 258 well as the age of the patients at the time of treatment (Spanos et al., 2008). Fluoropyrimidines (5fluoruracil and capecitabine) are the backbone chemotherapy agents for patients with colorectal 259 260 cancer. While these agents are associated with a low risk of gonadotoxicity, their combination with oxaliplatin may be more harmful (Spanos et al., 2008). Two retrospective studies have assessed the 261 gonadotoxicity of the most commonly used regimens in this setting: FOLFOX, XELOX or 262 capecitabine alone (Cercek et al., 2013, Wan et al., 2015). The rate of amenorrhoea ≥ 1 year following 263 chemotherapy completion was low (4%-16%) (Cercek et al., 2013, Wan et al., 2015). A trend for higher 264 risk of amenorrhoea was observed in patients older than 40 years (Cercek et al., 2013). In women 265 266 with rectal cancer exposed to chemoradiotherapy, the rate of amenorrhoea was 94.1% (Wallace et 267 <u>al., 2003</u>).

268 Gestational trophoblastic tumours are a spectrum of rare pregnancy-related disorders that include 269 the malignant disorders choriocarcinoma and placental-site trophoblastic tumour. Low-risk patients receive single-agent chemotherapy, either with methotrexate or actinomycin D; high-risk 270 271 patients receive multi-agent chemotherapy consisting of etoposide, actinomycin D and methotrexate followed by cyclophosphamide and vincristine (EMA-CO regimen), or other 272 platinum-etoposide combinations (EMA-EP, BEP or VIP and ICE including ifosfamide) in resistant 273 patients. In the two studies reporting risk of gonadotoxicity with these regimens, rates of early 274 menopause varied considerably based on chemotherapy regimen and age at the time of treatment 275 (Savage et al., 2015, Cioffi et al., 2018). Single-agent methotrexate had no detectable effect on early 276 menopause (Savage et al., 2015), although 33% of women reported temporary amenorrhoea during 277 treatment (Cioffi et al., 2018). Among women who received multi-agent chemotherapy, rates of 278 279 early menopause were 13% and 36% by age 40 and 45 years (Savage et al., 2015). A total of 57.1% and 36.4% of patients treated with single-agent or multi-agent chemotherapy had a pregnancy 280 281 following treatment completion, respectively (Cioffi et al., 2018).

The treatment of differentiated thyroid carcinoma consists of surgery (total or near-total 282 thyroidectomy) followed by treatment with radioactive iodine (I-131) in high-risk patients and in 283 284 selected low-risk patients. In a systematic review, all women resumed regular menstrual cycles within 1 year following treatment completion with normalization of FSH levels (Clement et al., 2015). 285 Nevertheless, two small studies reported a potential negative effect of I-131 therapy on patients' 286 287 ovarian reserve, with a significant decrease in AMH levels after treatment and only partial subsequent recovery (Evranos et al., 2018, Yaish et al., 2018). A trend for reduced AMH levels after 288 289 I-131 therapy was also shown in another study (Giusti et al., 2018). Younger age at menopause was described for patients with DTC who received I-131 therapy compared to those not exposed to this 290 treatment (49.5 years vs. 51.0 years) (<u>Clement et al., 2015</u>). The pregnancy rate appears not to be 291 292 affected by I-131 therapy administration (Clement et al., 2015, Giusti et al., 2018) although overall, women treated for thyroid cancer have a reduced chance of post-treatment pregnancy (Anderson 293 <u>et al., 2017a</u>). 294

A recent small study has investigated the risk of amenorrhoea in patients with lung cancer (<u>Cathcart-Rake *et al.*, 2019</u>). Among the 182 patients included (with a median age of 43 years), 85 received chemotherapy consisting of platinum salts in all cases, with a taxane in most of them. The majority of patients (64%) developed chemotherapy-induced amenorrhoea; out of the 3 patients exposed to targeted therapy alone, 2 remained premenopausal (<u>Cathcart-Rake *et al.*, 2019</u>). More data are needed to properly define the risk of gonadotoxicity with the therapies currently available for the management of lung cancer. The risk of gonadotoxicity associated with the use of targeted agents and immunotherapy is largely unknown. These treatments are already standard of care (BRAF and MEK inhibitors and immune checkpoint inhibitors in melanoma) or they are currently under investigation in the curative setting for a range of malignancies. Therefore, there is an urgent need to investigate their impact on ovarian function, ovarian reserve and fertility potential of cancer patients to allow accurate counselling on their potential gonadotoxicity risk.

#### 308 Benign diseases

The risk of gonadotoxicity in patients with benign diseases is mainly due to treatments with high cumulative doses of alkylating agents given as immunosuppressive therapy. Fertility preservation may be challenging in these patients due to severe health conditions, long-term therapy (i.e. hydroxyurea), high risk of thrombosis and/or the genetic context (<u>Condorelli and Demeestere</u>, <u>2019</u>)

314

#### 315 Autoimmune diseases

Severe manifestations of autoimmune diseases such as systemic sclerosis, Wegener 316 granulomatosis, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody 317 (ANCA)-associated vasculitis may require immunosuppressive therapy with daily oral doses (0.5-318 2mg/kg/day) or intravenous pulse (0.5-1 g/m2/pulse) of cyclophosphamide. Although the daily 319 dose is low compared to the intravenous dose, oral treatment can be administered for several 320 months, leading to a high cumulative dose. In a study including 67 pre-menopausal patients treated 321 with daily cyclophosphamide for vasculitis, almost 50% of the patients developed treatment-322 induced POI (Tuin et al., 2016). The risk of POI was two times higher when patients received a total 323 dose above 16.6 g compared to those who received a lower dose (OR 2.60; 95% CI 1.38-4.90) (Tuin 324 et al., 2016). In another study of 42 patients diagnosed with granulomatosis before the age of 50 325 years, the decline in the ovarian reserve was inversely correlated with the cumulative dose of 326 cyclophosphamide, with a decrease of 0.74 ng/ml in AMH level for every 10 g of 327 328 cyclophosphamide (<u>Clowse et al., 2011</u>). Modest restoration of AMH levels could be observed after treatment. No difference was reported between intravenous and oral cyclophosphamide therapy 329 in premenopausal patients with SLE; treatment-induced POI was observed in 39% of the patients 330 below the age of 30 years and 59% in those between 30 and 40 years (Manger et al., 2006). 331

Other immunosuppressive treatments such as mitoxantrone have also been associated with gonadotoxicity. In a study including 189 patients treated with mitoxantrone before the age of 45 years for multiple sclerosis, the authors reported 26% incidence of post-treatment amenorrhoea, with an increased risk of 2% per mg/kg of cumulative dose (<u>Cocco *et al.*</u>, 2008). In a large cohort of 371 women treated with mitoxantrone, the rate of treatment-induced permanent amenorrhoea was 17.3%; no cases were reported among patients treated before the age of 25 years (<u>Le Page *et al.*</u>, 2011).

In addition to the effects of treatment, the disease itself may also impact the ovarian reserve. Lower
 AMH levels have been reported in patients with autoimmune diseases such as vasculitis,
 rheumatoid arthritis (RA) or SLE without chemotherapy exposure (Morel et al., 2013, Bermas and

- 342 <u>Sammaritano, 2015</u>, <u>Brouwer *et al.*, 2015</u>). (see also section C3. Ovarian reserve testing)
- 343

#### 344 Benign haematological diseases

Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) remains the only curative option for several benign haematological diseases such as thalassemia, sickle cell disease, aplastic anaemia, Fanconi anaemia or myeloproliferative syndromes. Although it is usually proposed during childhood, adults may also benefit from this treatment. A conditioning regimen for HSCT includes high dose alkylating agents and is associated with high risk of permanent amenorrhoea (see section



- 374 <sup>6</sup>Lymphoma, (<u>Lawrenz et al., 2012</u>, <u>Lekovich et al., 2016</u>)
- 375 <sup>7</sup>Breast cancer (endocrine therapies), (Bernhard et al., 2007, Anderson et al., 2017b, Dezellus et al., 2017, Freour et
- 376 <u>al., 2017, Lambertini et al., 2019c</u>); Breast cancer (anti-Her2), (<u>Ruddy et al., 2015, Lambertini et al., 2019a</u>)

377

[58]

#### 378 Recommendations

The risk of gonadotoxicity should be assessed in all patients undergoing anticancer treatments.

GPP

 $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ 

379

To estimate the individual risk of gonadotoxicity, the characteristics of the proposed treatment, the patient and the disease should be considered.

## 380 Justification

The evidence on the risk of treatment-induced gonadotoxicity relies mostly on retrospective and 381 382 prospective cohort studies or secondary/exploratory analyses of randomized trials. Some of these studies have been summarized in systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Notably, treatment-induced 383 384 gonadotoxicity has not been defined homogeneously in the available studies so that comparisons and strong conclusions are often difficult. While most of the studies have assessed treatment-related 385 gonadotoxicity by using amenorrhoea rates following completion of therapy, there is limited evidence 386 with the use of other markers (like AMH, AFC, age at menopause, pregnancy rates) that reflect more 387 properly the treatment effect on the ovarian reserve and fertility potential of the patients. Nevertheless, 388 consistent results from these studies have shown that age (strongly linked to pre-treatment ovarian 389 reserve) and type/dose of treatment are the crucial factors impacting the risk of treatment-induced 390 gonadotoxicity. Irrespective of the risk, all patients should be counselled about the gonadotoxicity of 391 the proposed therapy to make fully informed decisions on the treatment and the possibility to access 392 the available strategies for ovarian function and/or fertility preservation before its initiation. 393

#### 394 Research recommendation

To investigate the impact of newer anti-cancer treatments (including targeted agents and immunotherapy) on ovarian function, ovarian reserve and fertility potential of cancer patients should be considered a research priority.

#### 398 References

- Abusief ME, Missmer SA, Ginsburg ES, Weeks JC, Partridge AH. Relationship between reproductive history, anthropometrics,
   lifestyle factors, and the likelihood of persistent chemotherapy-related amenorrhea in women with premenopausal breast
   cancer. Fertility and sterility 2012;97: 154-159.
- 402 Adriaens I, Smitz J, Jacquet P. The current knowledge on radiosensitivity of ovarian follicle development stages. *Human* 403 *reproduction update* 2009;15: 359-377.
- Akhtar S, Youssef I, Soudy H, Elhassan TA, Rauf SM, Maghfoor I. Prevalence of menstrual cycles and outcome of 50
   pregnancies after high-dose chemotherapy and auto-SCT in non-Hodgkin and Hodgkin lymphoma patients younger than
   406 40 years. *Bone marrow transplantation* 2015;50: 1551-1556.
- 407 Anderson C, Engel SM, Weaver MA, Zevallos JP, Nichols HB. Birth rates after radioactive iodine treatment for differentiated
   408 thyroid cancer. *International journal of cancer* 2017a;141: 2291-2295.
- 409 Anderson RA, Brewster DH, Wood R, Nowell S, Fischbacher C, Kelsey TW, Wallace WHB. The impact of cancer on subsequent chance of pregnancy: a population-based analysis. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018a;33: 1281-1290.
- Anderson RA, Cameron DA. Pretreatment serum anti-mullerian hormone predicts long-term ovarian function and bone mass
   after chemotherapy for early breast cancer. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2011;96: 1336-1343.
- 413 Anderson RA, Mansi J, Coleman RE, Adamson DJA, Leonard RCF. The utility of anti-Mullerian hormone in the diagnosis and 414 prediction of loss of ovarian function following chemotherapy for early breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 2017b;87: 58-64.
- Anderson RA, Remedios R, Kirkwood AA, Patrick P, Stevens L, Clifton-Hadley L, Roberts T, Hatton C, Kalakonda N, Milligan DW *et al.* Determinants of ovarian function after response-adapted therapy in patients with advanced Hodgkin's lymphoma (RATHL): a secondary analysis of a randomised phase 3 trial. *The Lancet Oncology* 2018b;19: 1328-1337.
- 418 Anderson RA, Themmen AP, Al-Qahtani A, Groome NP, Cameron DA. The effects of chemotherapy and long-term 419 gonadotrophin suppression on the ovarian reserve in premenopausal women with breast cancer. *Human reproduction* 420 (*Oxford, England*) 2006;21: 2583-2592.
- 421 Barton SE, Najita JS, Ginsburg ES, Leisenring WM, Stovall M, Weathers RE, Sklar CA, Robison LL, Diller L. Infertility, infertility 422 treatment, and achievement of pregnancy in female survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer 423 Survivor Study cohort. *The Lancet Oncology* 2013;14: 873-881.
- 424 Bedoschi G, Navarro PA, Oktay K. Chemotherapy-induced damage to ovary: mechanisms and clinical impact. *Future* 425 *oncology (London, England)* 2016;12: 2333-2344.

- Behringer K, Mueller H, Goergen H, Thielen I, Eibl AD, Stumpf V, Wessels C, Wiehlputz M, Rosenbrock J, Halbsguth T *et al.*Gonadal function and fertility in survivors after Hodgkin lymphoma treatment within the German Hodgkin Study Group HD13
  to HD15 trials. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2013;31: 231-239.
- 429 Bermas BL, Sammaritano LR. Fertility and pregnancy in rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Fertility* 430 *research and practice* 2015;1: 13.

Bernhard J, Zahrieh D, Castiglione-Gertsch M, Hurny C, Gelber RD, Forbes JF, Murray E, Collins J, Aebi S, Thurlimann B *et al.*Adjuvant chemotherapy followed by goserelin compared with either modality alone: the impact on amenorrhea, hot flashes, and quality of life in premenopausal patients--the International Breast Cancer Study Group Trial VIII. *Journal of clinical*

- 434 oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2007;25: 263-270.
- 435 Brouwer J, Hazes JM, Laven JS, Dolhain RJ. Fertility in women with rheumatoid arthritis: influence of disease activity and 436 medication. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2015;74: 1836-1841.
- Burstein HJ, Lacchetti C, Anderson H, Buchholz TA, Davidson NE, Gelmon KE, Giordano SH, Hudis CA, Solky AJ, Stearns V et
   al. Adjuvant Endocrine Therapy for Women With Hormone Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer: American Society of Clinical
   Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Update on Ovarian Suppression. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the
   American Society of Clinical Oncology 2016;34: 1689-1701.
- Cardoso F, Kyriakides S, Ohno S, Penault-Llorca F, Poortmans P, Rubio IT, Zackrisson S, Senkus E. Early breast cancer: ESMO
   Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Annals of oncology : official journal of the European* Society for Medical Oncology 2019;30: 1674.
- 444 Cathcart-Rake EJ, Ruddy KJ, Gupta R, Kremers W, Gast K, Su HI, Partridge AH, Stewart EA, Liu H, He Y *et al.* Amenorrhea 445 after lung cancer treatment. *Menopause (New York, NY)* 2019;26: 306-310.
- Ceppi L, Galli F, Lamanna M, Magni S, Dell'Orto F, Verri D, Delle Marchette M, Lissoni AA, Sina F, Giuliani D *et al.* Ovarian
  function, fertility, and menopause occurrence after fertility-sparing surgery and chemotherapy for ovarian neoplasms. *Gynecol Oncol* 2019;152: 346-352.
- 449 Cercek A, Siegel CL, Capanu M, Reidy-Lagunes D, Saltz LB. Incidence of chemotherapy-induced amenorrhea in 450 premenopausal women treated with adjuvant FOLFOX for colorectal cancer. *Clinical colorectal cancer* 2013;12: 163-167.
- 451 Chan JL, Wang ET. Oncofertility for women with gynecologic malignancies. *Gynecol Oncol* 2017;144: 631-636.
- Cioffi R, Bergamini A, Gadducci A, Cormio G, Giorgione V, Petrone M, Rabaiotti E, Pella F, Candiani M, Mangili G. Reproductive
   Outcomes After Gestational Trophoblastic Neoplasia. A Comparison Between Single-Agent and Multiagent Chemotherapy:
   Retrospective Analysis From the MITO-9 Group. International journal of gynecological cancer : official journal of the
   International Gynecological Cancer Society 2018;28: 332-337.
- Clement SC, Peeters RP, Ronckers CM, Links TP, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Nieveen van Dijkum EJ, van Rijn RR, van der
   Pal HJ, Neggers SJ, Kremer LC *et al.* Intermediate and long-term adverse effects of radioiodine therapy for differentiated
   thyroid carcinoma--a systematic review. *Cancer treatment reviews* 2015;41: 925-934.
- Clowse ME, Copland SC, Hsieh TC, Chow SC, Hoffman GS, Merkel PA, Spiera RF, Davis JC, Jr., McCune WJ, Ytterberg SR et
   al. Ovarian reserve diminished by oral cyclophosphamide therapy for granulomatosis with polyangiitis (Wegener's). Arthritis
   care & research 2011;63: 1777-1781.
- 462 Cocco E, Sardu C, Gallo P, Capra R, Amato MP, Trojano M, Uccelli A, Marrosu MG. Frequency and risk factors of mitoxantrone 463 induced amenorrhea in multiple sclerosis: the FEMIMS study. *Multiple sclerosis (Houndmills, Basingstoke, England)* 2008;14:
   464 1225-1233.
- 465 Codacci-Pisanelli G, Del Pup L, Del Grande M, Peccatori FA. Mechanisms of chemotherapy-induced ovarian damage in 466 breast cancer patients. *Critical reviews in oncology/hematology* 2017;113: 90-96.
- 467 Condorelli M, Demeestere I. Challenges of fertility preservation in non-oncological diseases. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica
   468 Scandinavica 2019;98: 638-646.
- 469 Dezellus A, Barriere P, Campone M, Lemanski C, Vanlemmens L, Mignot L, Delozier T, Levy C, Bendavid C, Debled M *et al.* 470 Prospective evaluation of serum anti-Mullerian hormone dynamics in 250 women of reproductive age treated with
   471 chemotherapy for breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 2017;79: 72-80.
- 472 Di Paola R, Costantini C, Tecchio C, Salvagno GL, Montemezzi R, Perandini A, Pizzolo G, Zaffagnini S, Franchi M. Anti-Mullerian
   473 hormone and antral follicle count reveal a late impairment of ovarian reserve in patients undergoing low-gonadotoxic
   474 regimens for hematological malignancies. *The oncologist* 2013;18: 1307-1314.
- 475 Ejlertsen B, Tuxen M, Jakobsen E, Jensen M, Knoop A, Højris I, Ewertz M, Balslev E, Danø H, Vestlev P *et al.* Adjuvant
  476 Cyclophosphamide and Docetaxel With or Without Epirubicin for Early TOP2A-Normal Breast Cancer: DBCG 07-READ, an
  477 Open-Label, Phase III, Randomized Trial *Journal of clinical oncology.* 2017, pp. 2639-2646.
- Elchuri SV. Williamson RS, Clark Brown R, Haight AE, Spencer JB, Buchanan I, Hassen-Schilling L, Brown MR, Mertens AC,
   Meacham LR. The effects of hydroxyurea and bone marrow transplant on Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) levels in females
   with sickle cell anemia. *Blood cells, molecules & diseases* 2015;55: 56-61.
- 481 Evranos B, Faki S, Polat SB, Bestepe N, Ersoy R, Cakir B. Effects of Radioactive Iodine Therapy on Ovarian Reserve: A 482 Prospective Pilot Study. *Thyroid : official journal of the American Thyroid Association* 2018;28: 1702-1707.
- 483Freour T, Barriere P, Masson D. Anti-mullerian hormone levels and evolution in women of reproductive age with breast<br/>cancer treated with chemotherapy. *Eur J Cancer* 2017;74: 1-8.
- Gershenson DM, Miller AM, Champion VL, Monahan PO, Zhao Q, Cella D, Williams SD. Reproductive and sexual function
   after platinum-based chemotherapy in long-term ovarian germ cell tumor survivors: a Gynecologic Oncology Group Study.
   *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2007;25: 2792-2797.
- 488 Gharwan H, Lai C, Grant C, Dunleavy K, Steinberg SM, Shovlin M, Fojo T, Wilson WH. Female fertility following dose-adjusted 489 EPOCH-R chemotherapy in primary mediastinal B-cell lymphomas. *Leukemia & lymphoma* 2016;57: 1616-1624.

- 490 Giusti M, Mittica M, Comite P, Campana C, Gay S, Mussap M. Anti-Mullerian hormone in pre-menopausal females after 491 ablative radioiodine treatment for differentiated thyroid cancer. *Endocrine* 2018;60: 516-523.
- 492 Gracia CR, Sammel MD, Freeman E, Prewitt M, Carlson C, Ray A, Vance A, Ginsberg JP. Impact of cancer therapies on ovarian
   493 reserve. *Fertility and sterility* 2012;97: 134-140.e131.
- Hammond C, Abrams JR, Syrjala KL. Fertility and risk factors for elevated infertility concern in 10-year hematopoietic cell
   transplant survivors and case-matched controls. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical* Oncology 2007;25: 3511-3517.
- Horton J, Sterrenburg M, Lane S, Maheshwari A, Li TC, Cheong Y. Reproductive, obstetric, and perinatal outcomes of women
   with adenomyosis and endometriosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Human reproduction update* 2019;25: 592-632.
- 499 Jacobson MH, Mertens AC, Spencer JB, Manatunga AK, Howards PP. Menses resumption after cancer treatment-induced 500 amenorrhea occurs early or not at all. *Fertility and sterility* 2016;105: 765-772.e764.
- Lambertini M, Campbell C, Bines J, Korde LA, Izquierdo M, Fumagalli D, Del Mastro L, Ignatiadis M, Pritchard K, Wolff AC *et al.* Adjuvant Anti-HER2 Therapy, Treatment-Related Amenorrhea, and Survival in Premenopausal HER2-Positive Early Breast Cancer Patients. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2019a;111: 86-94.
- Lambertini M, Ceppi M, Cognetti F, Cavazzini G, De Laurentiis M, De Placido S, Michelotti A, Bisagni G, Durando A, Valle E *et al.* Dose-dense adjuvant chemotherapy in premenopausal breast cancer patients: A pooled analysis of the MIG1 and GIM2 phase III studies. *Eur J Cancer* 2017a;71: 34-42.
- Lambertini M, Del Mastro L, Pescio MC, Andersen CY, Azim HA, Jr., Peccatori FA, Costa M, Revelli A, Salvagno F, Gennari A et al. Cancer and fertility preservation: international recommendations from an expert meeting. *BMC medicine* 2016;14: 1.
- Lambertini M, Goldrat O, Ferreira AR, Dechene J, Azim HA, Jr., Desir J, Delbaere A, t'Kint de Roodenbeke MD, de Azambuja
   E, Ignatiadis M *et al.* Reproductive potential and performance of fertility preservation strategies in BRCA-mutated breast
   cancer patients. *Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology 2018*;29: 237-243.
- Lambertini M, Goldrat O, Toss A, Azim HA, Jr., Peccatori FA, Ignatiadis M, Del Mastro L, Demeestere I. Fertility and pregnancy issues in BRCA-mutated breast cancer patients. *Cancer treatment reviews* 2017b;59: 61-70.
- Lambertini M, Martel S, Campbell C, Guillaume S, Hilbers FS, Schuehly U, Korde L, Azim HA, Jr., Di Cosimo S, Tenglin RC *et al.* Pregnancies during and after trastuzumab and/or lapatinib in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive early breast cancer: Analysis from the NeoALTTO (BIG 1-06) and ALTTO (BIG 2-06) trials. *Cancer* 2019b;125: 307-316.
- 518 Lambertini M, Olympios N, Lequesne J, Calbrix C, Fontanilles M, Loeb A, Leheurteur M, Demeestere I, Di Fiore F, Perdrix A 519 *et al.* Impact of Taxanes, Endocrine Therapy, and Deleterious Germline BRCA Mutations on Anti-mullerian Hormone Levels
- 619 *et al.* Impact of Taxanes, Endocrine Therapy, and Deleterious Germline BRCA Mutations on Anti-multerian Hormone Levels
   520 in Early Breast Cancer Patients Treated With Anthracycline- and Cyclophosphamide-Based Chemotherapy. *Frontiers in oncology* 2019c;9: 575.
- Lawrenz B, Fehm T, von Wolff M, Soekler M, Huebner S, Henes J, Henes M. Reduced pretreatment ovarian reserve in premenopausal female patients with Hodgkin lymphoma or non-Hodgkin-lymphoma--evaluation by using antimullerian hormone and retrieved oocytes. *Fertility and sterility* 2012;98: 141-144.
- Le Page E, Leray E, Edan G. Long-term safety profile of mitoxantrone in a French cohort of 802 multiple sclerosis patients: a 5-year prospective study. *Multiple sclerosis (Houndmills, Basingstoke, England)* 2011;17: 867-875.
- Lee SJ, Schover LR, Partridge AH, Patrizio P, Wallace WH, Hagerty K, Beck LN, Brennan LV, Oktay K. American Society of Clinical Oncology recommendations on fertility preservation in cancer patients. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2006;24: 2917-2931.
- Lekovich J, Lobel ALS, Stewart JD, Pereira N, Kligman I, Rosenwaks Z. Female patients with lymphoma demonstrate diminished ovarian reserve even before initiation of chemotherapy when compared with healthy controls and patients with other malignancies. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2016;33: 657-662.
- Letourneau JM, Ebbel EE, Katz PP, Oktay KH, McCulloch CE, Ai WZ, Chien AJ, Melisko ME, Cedars MI, Rosen MP. Acute ovarian failure underestimates age-specific reproductive impairment for young women undergoing chemotherapy for cancer. *Cancer* 2012;118:1933-1939.
- Longhi A, Ferrari S, Tamburini A, Luksch R, Fagioli F, Bacci G, Ferrari C. Late effects of chemotherapy and radiotherapy in osteosarcoma and Ewing sarcoma patients: the Italian Sarcoma Group Experience (1983-2006). *Cancer* 2012;118: 5050-5059.
- Manger K, Wildt L, Kalden J, Manger B. Prevention of gonadal toxicity and preservation of gonadal function and fertility in
   young women with systemic lupus erythematosus treated by cyclophosphamide: the PREGO-Study *Autoimmunity reviews*.
   2006, pp. 269-272.
- 541 Meirow D, Dor J, Kaufman B, Shrim A, Rabinovici J, Schiff E, Raanani H, Levron J, Fridman E. Cortical fibrosis and bloodvessels damage in human ovaries exposed to chemotherapy. Potential mechanisms of ovarian injury. *Human reproduction* 543 (*Oxford, England*) 2007;22: 1626-1633.
- Meissner J, Tichy D, Katzke V, Kuhn T, Dietrich S, Schmitt T, Ziepert M, Kuhnt E, Rixecker T, Zorn M *et al.* Long-term ovarian
   function in women treated with CHOP or CHOP plus etoposide for aggressive lymphoma. *Annals of oncology : official journal* of the European Society for Medical Oncology 2015;26: 1771-1776.
- 547 Morel N, Bachelot A, Chakhtoura Z, Ghillani-Dalbin P, Amoura Z, Galicier L, Aumaitre O, Piette J, Pourrat J, Boutin D *et al.* 548 Study of anti-Müllerian hormone and its relation to the subsequent probability of pregnancy in 112 patients with systemic 549 lupus erythematosus, exposed or not to cyclophosphamide *Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism.* 2013, pp. 3785-550 3792.
- 551 Morgan S, Anderson RA, Gourley C, Wallace WH, Spears N. How do chemotherapeutic agents damage the ovary? *Human* 552 *reproduction update* 2012;18: 525-535.

- 553 Overbeek A, van den Berg MH, van Leeuwen FE, Kaspers GJ, Lambalk CB, van Dulmen-den Broeder E. Chemotherapy-554 related late adverse effects on ovarian function in female survivors of childhood and young adult cancer: A systematic 555 review. *Cancer treatment reviews* 2017;53: 10-24.
- Peccatori FA, Mangili G, Bergamini A, Filippi F, Martinelli F, Ferrari F, Noli S, Rabaiotti E, Candiani M, Somigliana E. Fertility
   preservation in women harboring deleterious BRCA mutations: ready for prime time? *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 181-187.
- 559 Peigne M, Decanter C. Serum AMH level as a marker of acute and long-term effects of chemotherapy on the ovarian 560 follicular content: a systematic review. *Reproductive biology and endocrinology : RB&E* 2014;12: 26.
- 561Ruddy KJ, Guo H, Barry W, Dang CT, Yardley DA, Moy B, Marcom PK, Albain KS, Rugo HS, Ellis MJ et al. Chemotherapy-<br/>related amenorrhea after adjuvant paclitaxel-trastuzumab (APT trial). Breast cancer research and treatment 2015;151: 589-<br/>596.
- Ruddy KJ, Schaid DJ, Partridge AH, Larson NB, Batzler A, Haberle L, Dittrich R, Widschwendter P, Fink V, Bauer E *et al.* Genetic predictors of chemotherapy-related amenorrhea in women with breast cancer. *Fertility and sterility* 2019;112: 731-739.e731.
- 567 Savage P, Cooke R, O'Nions J, Krell J, Kwan A, Camarata M, Dancy G, Short D, Seckl MJ, Swerdlow A. Effects of single-agent 568 and combination chemotherapy for gestational trophoblastic tumors on risks of second malignancy and early menopause. 569 *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2015;33: 472-478.
- 570 Silva C, Caramelo O, Almeida-Santos T, Ribeiro Rama AC. Factors associated with ovarian function recovery after 571 chemotherapy for breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2016;31: 572 2737-2749.
- 573 Spanos CP, Mamopoulos A, Tsapas A, Syrakos T, Kiskinis D. Female fertility and colorectal cancer. *International journal of* 574 *colorectal disease* 2008;23: 735-743.
- 575 Su HC, Haunschild C, Chung K, Komrokian S, Boles S, Sammel MD, DeMichele A. Prechemotherapy antimullerian hormone, 576 age, and body size predict timing of return of ovarian function in young breast cancer patients. *Cancer* 2014;120: 3691-3698.
- Tauchmanova L, Selleri C, De Rosa G, Esposito M, Orio F, Jr., Palomba S, Bifulco G, Nappi C, Lombardi G, Rotoli B *et al.*Gonadal status in reproductive age women after haematopoietic stem cell transplantation for haematological malignancies. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2003;18: 1410-1416.
- Titus S, Li F, Stobezki R, Akula K, Unsal E, Jeong K, Dickler M, Robson M, Moy F, Goswami S *et al.* Impairment of BRCA1 related DNA double-strand break repair leads to ovarian aging in mice and humans. *Science translational medicine* 2013;5:
   172ra121.
- 583 Tuin J, Sanders JS, van Beek AP, Hoek A, Stegeman CA. Brief Report: Menopause and Primary Ovarian Insufficiency in 584 Women Treated for Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Vasculitides. *Arthritis & rheumatology (Hoboken, NJ)* 585 2016;68: 986-992.
- 586 Turan V, Bedoschi G, Emirdar V, Moy F, Oktay K. Ovarian Stimulation in Patients With Cancer: Impact of Letrozole and BRCA 587 Mutations on Fertility Preservation Cycle Outcomes. *Reproductive sciences (Thousand Oaks, Calif)* 2018;25: 26-32.
- 588 Turan V, Oktay K. BRCA-related ATM-mediated DNA double-strand break repair and ovarian aging. *Human reproduction* 589 *update* 2020;26: 43-57.
- Valentini A, Finch A, Lubinski J, Byrski T, Ghadirian P, Kim-Sing C, Lynch H, Ainsworth P, Neuhausen S, Greenblatt E *et al.* Chemotherapy-induced amenorrhea in patients with breast cancer with a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation *Journal of clinical oncology.* 2013, pp. 3914-3919.
- van der Kaaij MA, Heutte N, Meijnders P, Abeilard-Lemoisson E, Spina M, Moser EC, Allgeier A, Meulemans B, Simons AH,
   Lugtenburg PJ *et al.* Premature ovarian failure and fertility in long-term survivors of Hodgkin's lymphoma: a European
   Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Lymphoma Group and Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte
   Cohort Study. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2012;30: 291-299.
- van Hellemond IEG, Vriens IJH, Peer PGM, Swinkels ACP, Smorenburg CH, Seynaeve CM, van der Sangen MJC, Kroep JR,
   de Graaf H, Honkoop AH *et al.* Ovarian Function Recovery During Anastrozole in Breast Cancer Patients With Chemotherapy Induced Ovarian Function Failure. J Natl Cancer Inst 2017;109.
- 600 Wallace WH, Anderson RA, Irvine DS. Fertility preservation for young patients with cancer: who is at risk and what can be 601 offered? *The Lancet Oncology* 2005;6: 209-218.
- 602 Wallace WH, Thomson AB, Kelsey TW. The radiosensitivity of the human oocyte. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 603 2003;18: 117-121.
- 604 Wan J, Gai Y, Li G, Tao Z, Zhang Z. Incidence of chemotherapy- and chemoradiotherapy-induced amenorrhea in 605 premenopausal women with stage II/III colorectal cancer. *Clinical colorectal cancer* 2015;14: 31-34.
- Webber L, Davies M, Anderson R, Bartlett J, Braat D, Cartwright B, Cifkova R, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama S, Hogervorst E,
   Janse F *et al.* ESHRE Guideline: management of women with premature ovarian insufficiency. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2016;31: 926-937.
- Wo JY, Viswanathan AN. Impact of radiotherapy on fertility, pregnancy, and neonatal outcomes in female cancer patients.
   Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2009;73: 1304-1312.
- 611 Yaish I, Azem F, Gutfeld O, Silman Z, Serebro M, Sharon O, Shefer G, Limor R, Stern N, Tordjman KM. A Single Radioactive
   612 Iodine Treatment Has a Deleterious Effect on Ovarian Reserve in Women with Thyroid Cancer: Results of a Prospective Pilot
   613 Study. Thyroid : official journal of the American Thyroid Association 2018;28: 522-527.
- 614 Zhao J, Liu J, Chen K, Li S, Wang Y, Yang Y, Deng H, Jia W, Rao N, Liu Q *et al.* What lies behind chemotherapy-induced 615 amenorrhea for breast cancer patients: a meta-analysis. *Breast cancer research and treatment* 2014;145: 113-128.
- 616

# 1 C3. Ovarian reserve testing

2 Ovarian reserve reflects the quantity and quality of follicles in the ovaries and therefore depicts

3 ovarian functionality at a given point in time (<u>lwase *et al.*, 2014</u>). Ovarian reserve status is related to

- 4 response to ovarian stimulation and reduced fertility and can be a useful surrogate marker for 5 fertility potential.
- 6 Ovarian reserve tests include radiological (ultrasound of antral follicle count (AFC) and mean 7 ovarian volume) and biochemical assessments (anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH), estradiol (E2) and
- 8 follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH)). Although many ovarian reserve tests are currently being used
- 9 in the clinic, AFC and serum levels of AMH appear to be the most promising markers mainly due to
- their low intercycle variation and ease of measure (<u>Dewailly *et al.*</u>, 2014</u>). According to the Bologna
- 11 criteria for the definition of "poor ovarian response" (POR), cut-off levels for AFC are less than 5 to
- 12 7 follicles, and AMH levels below 0.5-1.1 ng/ml (<u>Ferraretti *et al.*, 2011</u>).
- 13 Many diseases (such as cancer and autoimmune diseases), treatments (such as chemotherapy) or
- 14 interventions (such as gender reassignment surgery) have been shown to affect the fertility
- potential in premenopausal women (Table 8). As these populations are at higher risk of low ovarian
- 16 reserve at time of diagnosis or after treatment/intervention, ovarian reserve testing could be used
- to guide decisions for fertility preservation either at the time of diagnosis or before treatment orintervention.
- 19 Therefore, the aim of this PICO question is to provide evidence-based recommendations on the 20 relevance of ovarian reserve testing at diagnosis or before treatment for each patient group.

## 21 PICO QUESTION: IS IT RELEVANT TO DO OVARIAN RESERVE TESTING, AND FOR WHOM?

#### 22 Cancer

- 23 Early breast cancer and haematological malignancies
- The most prevalent malignancies affecting post pubertal female patients are breast cancer and haematological malignancies (<u>Hancke *et al.*, 2011</u>, <u>Fidler *et al.*, 2017</u>). Whether these malignancies
- affect ovarian function per se, is still a matter of debate.
- Some studies have shown that patients with haematological malignancies have reduced AMH 27 28 levels in comparison to healthy controls (Lawrenz et al., 2012, Dunlop and Anderson, 2015, Lekovich et al., 2016). Other studies have shown that AMH levels may be lower in breast cancer patients older 29 30 than 37 years of age, compared to healthy controls (Su et al., 2013). The potential role of mutations in the BRCA1/2 genes with regards to the serum levels of AMH is still unclear. Whereas some 31 studies have shown that carriers of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations have lower AMH levels than non-32 carrier controls (Titus et al., 2013), others have not been able to confirm this observation (Van Tilborg 33 et al., 2016). Along these lines, a recent study has found that young breast cancer patients with 34 BRCA1/2 mutations present lower pre-treatment AMH levels than patients with no mutations (Son 35 36 <u>et al., 2019</u>).
- Similarly, ovarian stimulation outcomes for oocyte cryopreservation in cancer patients might be
  weaker than in infertile patients (<u>Domingo et al., 2012</u>), although contrasting studies indicate no
  differences in number of oocytes retrieved in untreated cancer patients in comparison to healthy
  controls (<u>Quintero et al., 2010</u>, <u>Moraes et al., 2019</u>). A more recent study has found that lower AFC
  and AMH levels can be associated to lower primordial follicle density and number of *in vitro*
- 42 matured oocytes in breast cancer patients (<u>Grynberg *et al.*, 2019</u>). Collectively, these data show that
- 43 ovarian function might already be impaired in these patients already before any type of cancer
- 44 treatment and that pre-treatment AMH levels might be correlated to ovarian stimulation response.

45 In addition, these patients undergo gonadotoxic cancer treatments which can result in premature

- 46 ovarian insufficiency, amenorrhoea and infertility (<u>Blumenfeld et al., 2002</u>, <u>Lutchman Singh et al.</u>,
- 47 <u>2005</u>, <u>Ceppi *et al.*, 2019</u>)

48 Several studies have investigated factors that affect ovarian recovery after chemotherapy and 49 concluded that serum AMH levels taken before the start of chemotherapy treatment predict post-

- 50 treatment recovery of ovarian function (Peigne and Decanter, 2014, Silva et al., 2016, Dezellus et al.,
- 51 2017). These results provide a basis to support preservation of ovarian function or fertility prior to
- 52 gonadotoxic treatment. Two studies have shown that pre-treatment AMH levels lower than
- 53 1.9ng/ml lead to long-term (longer than 5 years) loss of ovarian function (Anderson et al., 2013,
- 54 <u>Dillon et al., 2013</u>), whereas another study found that patients with pre-treatment AMH levels lower
- 55 than 0.7ng/mL experienced a significantly longer time to return of ovarian function (as measured
- 56 by recovery of menses) (<u>Su *et al.*, 2014</u>).
- 57 A narrative review concluded that taking into consideration age and body mass index (BMI) 58 together with AMH levels can increase the accuracy of the prediction of cancer-related ovarian
- 59 failure (Dunlop and Anderson, 2015), based on a study reporting that women with high pre-
- 60 treatment AMH and low FSH levels, younger age (<40 years old), or high BMI (>25kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were more 61 likely to regain everyon function (Su at  $\alpha$  2014). Similar studies have callectively lad to the
- 61 likely to regain ovarian function (<u>Su *et al.*, 2014</u>). Similar studies have collectively led to the 62 development of several scoring systems for breast cancer patients that evaluate the risk of ovarian
- 63 insufficiency (<u>Anderson et al., 2013</u>, <u>Su et al., 2014</u>, <u>Barnabei et al., 2015</u>). Further studies are
- 64 necessary to design similar prognostic tools for the other malignancies.
- 65 The interpretation of these studies in breast cancer and haematological malignancies should,
- 66 however, be made with caution, as the primary outcome of those studies has solely been
- 67 amenorrhoea and therefore there is little evidence that pre-treatment AMH levels can be used to 68 predict post-treatment fortility in broast cancer patients. Only one retracted the study with broast
- 68 predict post-treatment fertility in breast cancer patients. Only one retrospective study with breast 69 cancer patients has shown that pre-treatment AMH levels are not associated to the occurrence of
- 70 pregnancy (Hamy *et al.*, 2016).

#### 71 Recommendations

/-			
	Pre-treatment ovarian function, in particular through AMH levels, in premenopausal women with a diagnosis of breast cancer or haematological malignancy is a relevant predictor of post- treatment recovery of ovarian function (evaluated as recovery of menses).	STRONG	⊕⊕⊖⊖
72			
	For patients in whom you want to know fertility status, the value of pre-treatment AMH levels for predicting post-treatment fertility is unclear.	WEAK	⊕000
73			
	Age, pre-treatment AMH levels, as well as proposed gonadotoxic treatment type and dose, should be taken into consideration when estimating the risk of post-treatment POI.	STRONG	⊕000

#### 74 Justification

- 75 There is evidence showing that pre-treatment ovarian reserve (measured by AMH levels) is correlated
- 76 with recovery of ovarian function after gonadotoxic treatment. For prediction of fertility or chance of
- 77 pregnancy, pre-treatment AMH levels seem to be less relevant, although evidence for this is very
- *limited.* Additional studies assessing ovarian reserve and reproductive outcomes after cancer
   treatment are highly warranted.
- 80 Studies have shown that apart from AMH levels, other factors can affect post-treatment ovarian 81 function. This recommendation stresses the importance of considering multiple factors when
- 82 estimating risk of post-treatment POI and/or infertility, rather than making an estimation solely on pre-

treatment AMH levels. This recommendation is based on indirect evidence of other factors affecting
post-treatment ovarian function and the general limitations of AMH assessment.

#### 85 Other malignancies

86 There is no evidence supporting the role of ovarian testing to guide decisions on fertility 87 preservation in patients with other types of malignancies. Studies have provided evidence that levels of serum AMH are affected after chemotherapeutic treatment in several malignancies, 88 including Wilms tumours, Ewing sarcomas, gliomas, osteosarcomas (lwase et al., 2015). One 89 prospective cohort study included in the review, investigated 46 women with varying types of 90 neoplasias (but including 19 breast cancer patients) and reported that those with lower pre-91 treatment AMH levels (<2ng/ml) showed a slower rate of recovery of ovarian function (as measured 92 by post-treatment AMH levels) (Dillon et al., 2013). Whether these post-treatment AMH levels 93 correlate to ovarian insufficiency or infertility is not known, and therefore the relevance of ovarian 94 reserve testing for malignancies, other than breast cancer or haematological malignancies is still 95 uncertain. 96

#### 97 Recommendation

Pre-treatment ovarian reserve testing in women with malignancies (other than breast or haematological cancer) is likely to be of high relevance, based on the indirect evidence from breast and haematological cancers.

WEAK ⊕000

#### 98 Justification

99 This recommendation is based on the same evidence and considerations as for breast cancer and

haematological cancers, although supported by the limited data available specifically for these other cancers (<u>Dillon et al., 2013</u>).

#### 102 Benign diseases

Although two completely different entities, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and endometriosis
 share an important feature: both the disease per se as well as its treatment have a negative impact
 of the fertility of the patients.

#### 106 Systemic lupus erythematosus

Many studies have reported adverse reproductive outcomes in women with SLE (<u>Oktem et al., 2016</u>)
Pre-treatment AMH levels, AFC and ovarian volume are decreased, whereas FSH and LH are increased in comparison to healthy controls (<u>Lawrenz et al., 2011</u>). Although menstrual irregularities are associated with the disease activity (<u>Shabanova et al., 2008</u>), no correlation was found between AMH levels and disease activity (<u>Lawrenz et al., 2011</u>). This suggests that patients with SLE already present poor ovarian reserve and function regardless of the activity of the disease or exposure to SLE therapy.
Cytotoxic immunosuppressive agents such as mycophenolate, azathioprine, methotrexate (MTX),

114 or cyclophosphamide (CP) are indicated in the treatment of serious complications of SLE. Several 115 meta-analyses (based on similar studies) have concluded that exposure to CP exerts an important 116 117 negative impact on ovarian function, as measured by AMH levels (Mak et al., 2009, Liu et al., 2012, Henderson et al., 2013). In fact, several studies summarized in a narrative review have shown that 118 exposure to CP is the most significant risk factor for the development of ovarian insufficiency in 119 SLE patients, with duration of treatment and cumulative dose as most important parameters 120 (Oktem et al., 2016). Although MTX has been historically considered a safer treatment with regards 121 to ovarian function, a study from 2014 has shown an inverse correlation between cumulative MTX 122 dose and AMH levels (de Araujo et al., 2014). High doses of MTX were shown to lead to decreased 123 AMH levels, although the number of patients in this study was limited and therefore, further large-124 125 scale studies need to be performed to validate these results.

126 Surprisingly, the role of ovarian testing (in particular AMH levels) in predicting the probability of subsequent pregnancy in SLE patients is still questionable. A cohort study found that the risk of 127 failure to conceive (natural conception) in SLE patients was not associated with AMH levels but 128

rather to cumulative CP dose and older age (Morel et al., 2013). In this study, a cumulative dose of 129

17 grams and age over 38 years was associated with failure to conceive. 130

#### Recommendation 131

The relevance of ovarian reserve testing to help guide fertility WEAK preservation options or treatment decisions in SLE patients is low.

⊕000

#### Justification 132

SLE and SLE treatment, in particular cyclophosphamide, results in a decrease of AMH levels and a 133

reduced response to ovarian stimulation. Although indicative of ovarian function, ovarian reserve 134 testing in SLE patients does not seem to be associated to fertility outcomes (natural conception), and 135 women with SLE and low AMH levels might still become pregnant. 136

137 The impact of these cytotoxic immunosuppressive agents on ovarian reserve is dependent on duration

of treatment and cumulative dose (see also Error! Reference source not found.). For women undergoing t 138

reatment with high doses of CP, fertility preservation could be an option (irrespective of AMH levels). 139

However, patients need to be informed of the limitations of FP and possible contraindications for a 140

future pregnancy. 141

142

#### Endometriosis 143

Ovarian endometriomas are cysts that release potentially toxic compounds which diffuse through 144 the cyst wall and damage the ovarian reserve (Muzii et al., 2018). Several studies (Kasapoglu et al., 145

2018, Ashrafi et al., 2019) and a recent meta-analysis of 17 studies with 968 patients with 146

endometrioma (Muzii et al., 2018), have found that AMH levels are decreased in unoperated patients 147

148 with endometriomas in comparison to healthy controls. Involvement of the ovaries seems to have a role in this, since AMH levels in patients with bilateral endometriomas in comparison to patients 149

with unilateral endometriomas are lower (Karadag et al., 2019, Younis et al., 2019). 150

Similarly, the number of oocytes retrieved during in vitro fertilization procedures are also affected 151

in unoperated endometriomas patients (Inal et al., 2019), an effect that is more relevant in patients 152

with bilateral endometriomas (Reinblatt et al., 2011, Benaglia et al., 2013). Interestingly, AFC seems 153

- to be a better marker of ovarian reserve than AMH levels in serum in women undergoing IVF (Inal 154 et al., 2019), as AFC and not AMH levels was correlated to a reduction in the number of oocytes 155
- 156 retrieved.

Conflicting reports exist regarding the relation between AMH levels and endometrioma size and, 157

thus, doubts of the relevance of endometrioma size on ovarian reserve still remain (Karadag et al., 158 2019, Marcellin et al., 2019). 159

160 Although the impact of endometriosis per se on ovarian reserve as measured by AMH levels and 161 oocytes retrieved is clear, its effect of future fertility is less evident. The chance of pregnancy from

assisted reproductive technology was not lower in women with bilateral endometriomas (without 162

previous surgery) compared to infertile controls (Reinblatt et al., 2011, Benaglia et al., 2013). And a 163

more recent study has found that the existence of endometriomas alone has no effect on the 164

- clinical pregnancy and live birth rates after IVF; however, the presence of deep endometriosis was 165 166 associated with reduced clinical pregnancy and the live birth rates (Ashrafi et al., 2019).
- In contrast, a recent study has found that endometriosis patients with high AMH levels have a 167 168 significantly higher cumulative pregnancy rate than those patients with low AMH levels, suggesting 169 that pre-treatment AMH levels might be a useful marker to predict the occurrence of natural
- pregnancy (Zhou et al., 2019). Nevertheless, more studies are needed to confirm the usefulness of 170
- 171 ovarian reserve testing in order to support FP decisions in women with endometriosis.

172

have an important impact on ovarian reserve and function, with studies showing a decrease in AMH 173 levels and number of oocytes responsive to ovarian stimulation, compromised ovarian function 174 tests, and decrease in age at menopause in women after laparoscopic stripping of ovarian 175 endometriomas (Coccia et al., 2011, Somigliana et al., 2011, Raffi et al., 2012, Somigliana et al., 2012, 176 Turkcuoglu and Melekoglu, 2018). Other techniques, however, may be less detrimental (Zaitoun et 177 al., 2013, Candiani et al., 2018, Sweed et al., 2018). A recent study has found that the long-term 178 effects of endometriomas cystectomy decreasing AMH levels, might be more significant in patients 179 180 with larger and bilateral cysts, whereas only short-term effects are seen in patients with smaller and unilateral cysts (Wang et al., 2019). 181 182 Recommendation The relevance of ovarian testing to help guide fertility preservation options or treatment decisions in endometriosis patients remains WEAK ⊕000 inconclusive. 183

Endometriosis treatment and, specifically, surgical removal of the cysts has also been proven to

In patients with endometriosis, the involvement of the ovaries and the radicality of surgery influence ovarian reserve as measured by AMH levels, however their effect on future fertility is unclear.

#### 184 Justification

- 185 Patients with severe endometriosis, particularly bilateral endometriomas, are at high risk of POI and
- 186 lower AMH levels. Surgical treatment can further impact on ovarian reserve and AMH levels. The
- 187 relevance of pre-treatment AMH levels to predict the chance of future pregnancy or the need for
- 188 fertility preservation is unclear, as studies reporting on this have made conflicting conclusions.
- 189 If AMH levels are measured, the GDG suggests doing so after surgery based on the significant negative
   190 impact surgery may have.

#### 191 Other diseases and interventions

The reproductive function of women has been shown to be affected in many other conditions (Table 8). Substantial evidence from several studies demonstrates that low AMH levels and other biomarkers of ovarian reserve are affected in many diseases (either by the disease itself or due to the gonadotoxic effects of their treatment) or by medical interventions (such as gender reassignment surgery). In these instances, the relevance of ovarian reserve testing for predicting long-term ovarian failure or fertility issues remains inconclusive.

A list of indications in which ovarian reserve testing has been performed is available in Table 8. However, the relevance of the ovarian reserve test to guide decisions of fertility preservation in these diseases remains inconclusive.

201	Recon	nmendati	on							
	For	women	with	overt	POI,	fertility	preservation	is	not	CDD
	reco	mmended	d.							GFF
202										
	For v	vomen w	ith rec	luced o	varian	reserve	(Bologna crite	ria, <i>I</i>	AMH	
	0.5-1	.1ng/ml),	advise	needs	to be i	ndividuali	ized and the val	ue c	of FP	GPP
	is un	clear.								
203										

204

#### 205 206 Table 8 Overview of benign medical diseases for which ovarian reserve testing has been performed

Disorder/Disease	Observations of ovarian reserve tests	Reference
Autoimmune diseases		
Autoimmune thyroid disease	Lower pre-treatment AMH levels than HC	( <u>Magri <i>et al.</i>, 2015</u> , <u>Saglam e</u> <u>al., 2015</u> )
Rheumatoid arthritis	Lower pre-treatment AMH levels than HC	( <u>Henes <i>et al.,</i> 2015</u> )
Early rheumatoid arthritis	Same AMH levels than HC	( <u>Brouwer <i>et al.,</i> 2013</u> )
Juvenile Idiopathic arthritis	Lower AMH levels than HC, AMH levels not related to time to pregnancy	( <u>Ferreira <i>et al.</i>, 2019</u> )
Spondyloarthritis	Lower pre-treatment AMH levels than HC	( <u>Henes <i>et al.,</i> 2015</u> )
Poheot's dispaso	Lower pre-treatment AMH levels than HC	( <u>Henes <i>et al.,</i> 2015</u> )
beliçet s disease	No difference in AMH, AFC, FSH or LH levels with HC	( <u>sahln et al., 2017</u> )
Antiphospholipid	More patients with low AFC count and AMH levels	( <u>Yamakami <i>et al.,</i> 2014</u> )
syndrome	Antiphospholipid levels in blood were correlated to AMH levels in infertile women	( <u>Vega <i>et al.</i>, 2016</u> )
Takayasu arteritis	More patients with low AFC count and AMH levels	(Mont'Alverne <i>et al.,</i> 2015)
-	Lower AMH levels in >30 years old	( <u>Freour <i>et al.</i>, 2012</u> )
	Lower AMH levels if disease is restricted to colon	( <u>Freour <i>et al.,</i> 2012</u> )
Crohn's disease	Lower AMH levels in patients. AMH levels inversely correlate to disease activity index.	( <u>Senates <i>et al.,</i> 2013</u> )
IBD patients treated with	Treatment with thalidomide decreases AMH levels	( <u>Peng et al., 2017</u> )
Granulomatosis with		(Cloves et al. 2011)
	Treatment with CP decreases AMA levels	(Clowse et dl., 2011)
Wegener's syndrome	CP docroasos AMH lovals in patients	(Clowse et al 2011)
wegeners syndrome	Lower AMH AEC and ovarian volume in high disease	(Sepulveda <i>et al.</i> 2016)
Multiple sclerosis	activity index patients	(Cil <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
Sjogren's syndrome	immunomodulatory drugs compared to HC Lower AMH, AFC and higher LH in patients compared	(Karakus <i>et al.,</i> 2017)
Fragile X and Turner Syndro	Lewer AMH AEC and OV in carriers versus non	(Tsafrir <i>et al.</i> 2010)
	carriers	
Fragile X syndrome	Lower AMH levels in longer sequence repeats than shorter sequence repeats	( <u>Rohr et al., 2008</u> )
	Higher FSH in carriers	( <u>Welt <i>et al.,</i> 2004</u> )
	No correlation between FSH and the number of CGG repeats in fragile X premutation carriers,	( <u>Welt <i>et al.,</i> 2004</u> )
	AMH correlates to ovarian function	( <u>Hagen <i>et al.,</i> 2010</u> )
Turner Syndrome	AMH levels associate to spontaneous pubertal	( <u>Hamza <i>et al.,</i> 2018</u> )
	development	
Other diseases		(Sandara at al. 2000)
Galactosemia	Lower AMH than HC	( <u>Sanders et al., 2009</u> )
Eanooni Anaomia		( <u>Frederick et al., 2018</u> )
		(Kopeika et al. 2014)
Sickle cell disease	Lower AMH and AEC in woman with transfusion	(Talaulikar et al. 2019)
Beta Thalassemia	dependent beta thalassemia than HC	
Diabetes I	Lower AMH and Inhibin B, than HC (Specially at later reproductive ages)	( <u>Kım et al., 2016</u> , <u>Wellons e</u> <u>al., 2017</u> )
Bone Marrow Syndrome	Lower AMH than HC	( <u>Sklavos et al., 2015</u> )
Interventions		

207 Abbreviations: AFC, antral follicle count; AMH, anti-Müllerian hormone; CP, cyclophosphamide; FSH,

follicle-stimulating hormone; GnRH, gonadotropin-releasing hormone; HC, healthy controls; IBD,

#### inflammatory bowel disease; LH, luteinizing hormone; MS, Multiple sclerosis; OV, ovarian volume.

#### 210 Elective oocyte cryopreservation

Oocyte cryopreservation is an increasingly common method for women to guard against the natural age-related fertility decline (<u>Saumet *et al.*</u>, 2018). Both ovarian reserve and age are the important patient features that determine the ovarian response to stimulation. There is a clear correlation between AFC and serum AMH levels with oocyte yield to stimulation (<u>Nelson *et al.*</u>, 2013, <u>Saumet *et al.*</u>, 2018, <u>Sonigo *et al.*</u>, 2019). Therefore, ovarian reserve testing is commonly used to tailor ovarian stimulation strategies and maximize follicular recruitment if a poor response is anticipated (The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation *et al.*, 2020).

However the ability of ovarian testing using AMH levels to predict embryo quality and chances to conceive has not been demonstrated (<u>Dewailly and Laven, 2019</u>, <u>Sonigo *et al.*, 2019</u>). Therefore,

ovarian reserve testing should not be measured for making FP decisions.

221 Recommendation (as in (<u>The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation et al., 2020</u>)) For predicting high and low response to ovarian stimulation, use of either antral follicle count (AFC) or anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) STRONG ⊕⊕○○ is recommended over other ovarian reserve tests.

#### 222 References

- Anderson RA, Rosendahl M, Kelsey TW, Cameron DA. Pretreatment anti-Mullerian hormone predicts for loss of ovarian function after chemotherapy for early breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 2013;49: 3404-3411.
- Ashrafi M, Arabipoor A, Hemat M, Salman-Yazdi R. The impact of the localisation of endometriosis lesions on ovarian reserve and assisted reproduction techniques outcomes. *Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology : the journal of the Institute of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2019;39: 91-97.
- Barnabei A, Strigari L, Marchetti P, Sini V, De Vecchis L, Corsello SM, Torino F. Predicting Ovarian Activity in Women Affected by Early Breast Cancer: A Meta-Analysis-Based Nomogram. *The oncologist* 2015;20: 1111-1118.
- Benaglia L, Bermejo A, Somigliana E, Faulisi S, Ragni G, Fedele L, Garcia-Velasco JA. In vitro fertilization outcome in women
   with unoperated bilateral endometriomas. *Fertility and sterility* 2013;99: 1714-1719.
- Blumenfeld Z, Dann E, Avivi I, Epelbaum R, Rowe JM. Fertility after treatment for Hodgkin's disease. *Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology* 2002;13 Suppl 1: 138-147.
- Brouwer J, Laven JS, Hazes JM, Schipper I, Dolhain RJ. Levels of serum anti-Mullerian hormone, a marker for ovarian reserve,
   in women with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis care & research* 2013;65: 1534-1538.
- Caanen MR, Soleman RS, Kuijper EA, Kreukels BP, De Roo C, Tilleman K, De Sutter P, van Trotsenburg MA, Broekmans FJ,
   Lambalk CB. Antimullerian hormone levels decrease in female-to-male transsexuals using testosterone as cross-sex
   therapy. *Fertility and sterility* 2015;103: 1340-1345.
- Candiani M, Ottolina J, Posadzka E, Ferrari S, Castellano LM, Tandoi I, Pagliardini L, Nocun A, Jach R. Assessment of ovarian reserve after cystectomy versus 'one-step' laser vaporization in the treatment of ovarian endometrioma: a small randomized clinical trial. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 2205-2211.
- Ceppi L, Galli F, Lamanna M, Magni S, Dell'Orto F, Verri D, Delle Marchette M, Lissoni AA, Sina F, Giuliani D *et al.* Ovarian
   function, fertility, and menopause occurrence after fertility-sparing surgery and chemotherapy for ovarian neoplasms.
   *Gynecol Oncol* 2019;152: 346-352.
- Cil AP, Leventoglu A, Sonmezer M, Soylukoc R, Oktay K. Assessment of ovarian reserve and Doppler characteristics in patients with multiple sclerosis using immunomodulating drugs. *Journal of the Turkish German Gynecological Association* 2009;10: 213-219.
- Clowse ME, Copland SC, Hsieh TC, Chow SC, Hoffman GS, Merkel PA, Spiera RF, Davis JC, Jr., McCune WJ, Ytterberg SR et
   al. Ovarian reserve diminished by oral cyclophosphamide therapy for granulomatosis with polyangiitis (Wegener's). Arthritis
   care & research 2011;63: 1777-1781.
- 251 Coccia ME, Rizzello F, Mariani G, Bulletti C, Palagiano A, Scarselli G. Ovarian surgery for bilateral endometriomas influences 252 age at menopause. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2011;26: 3000-3007.
- de Araujo DB, Yamakami LY, Aikawa NE, Bonfa E, Viana VS, Pasoto SG, Pereira RM, Serafin PC, Borba EF, Silva CA. Ovarian
   reserve in adult patients with childhood-onset lupus: a possible deleterious effect of methotrexate? *Scand J Rheumatol* 2014;43: 503-511.
- 256 Dewailly D, Andersen CY, Balen A, Broekmans F, Dilaver N, Fanchin R, Griesinger G, Kelsey TW, La Marca A, Lambalk C *et al.* The physiology and clinical utility of anti-Mullerian hormone in women. *Human reproduction update* 2014;20: 370-385.

- 258 Dewailly D, Laven J. AMH as the primary marker for fertility. *European journal of endocrinology* 2019;181: D45-D51.
- Dezellus A, Barriere P, Campone M, Lemanski C, Vanlemmens L, Mignot L, Delozier T, Levy C, Bendavid C, Debled M *et al.* Prospective evaluation of serum anti-Mullerian hormone dynamics in 250 women of reproductive age treated with
   chemotherapy for breast cancer. *European journal of cancer* 2017;79: 72-80.
- 262 Dillon KE, Sammel MD, Prewitt M, Ginsberg JP, Walker D, Mersereau JE, Gosiengfiao Y, Gracia CR. Pretreatment antimullerian
   263 hormone levels determine rate of posttherapy ovarian reserve recovery: acute changes in ovarian reserve during and after
   264 chemotherapy. *Fertility and sterility* 2013;99: 477-483.
- 265 Domingo J, Guillen V, Ayllon Y, Martinez M, Munoz E, Pellicer A, Garcia-Velasco JA. Ovarian response to controlled ovarian 266 hyperstimulation in cancer patients is diminished even before oncological treatment. *Fertility and sterility* 2012;97: 930-934.
- Dunlop CE, Anderson RA. Uses of anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) measurement before and after cancer treatment in women.
   *Maturitas* 2015;80: 245-250.
- Ferraretti AP, La Marca A, Fauser BC, Tarlatzis B, Nargund G, Gianaroli L, Definition EwgoPOR. ESHRE consensus on the
   definition of 'poor response' to ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization: the Bologna criteria. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2011;26: 1616-1624.
- Ferreira GRV, Tomioka RB, Aikawa NE, Leon EP, Maciel GAR, Serafini PC, Baracat EC, Goldenstein-Schainberg C, Pereira
   RMR, Bonfa E *et al.* Ovarian reserve in young juvenile idiopathic arthritis patients. *Modern rheumatology* 2019;29: 447-451.
- Fidler MM, Gupta S, Soerjomataram I, Ferlay J, Steliarova-Foucher E, Bray F. Cancer incidence and mortality among young
   adults aged 20-39 years worldwide in 2012: a population-based study. *The Lancet Oncology* 2017;18: 1579-1589.
- Frederick AB, Zinsli AM, Carlock G, Conneely K, Fridovich-Keil JL. Presentation, progression, and predictors of ovarian
   insufficiency in classic galactosemia. *Journal of inherited metabolic disease* 2018;41: 785-790.
- 278 Freour T, Miossec C, Bach-Ngohou K, Dejoie T, Flamant M, Maillard O, Denis MG, Barriere P, Bruley des Varannes S, Bourreille
- A et al. Ovarian reserve in young women of reproductive age with Crohn's disease. Inflammatory bowel diseases 2012;18:
   1515-1522.
- Grynberg M, Dagher Hayeck B, Papanikolaou EG, Sifer C, Sermondade N, Sonigo C. BRCA1/2 gene mutations do not affect
   the capacity of oocytes from breast cancer candidates for fertility preservation to mature in vitro. *Human reproduction* (*Oxford, England*) 2019;34: 374-379.
- Hagen CP, Aksglaede L, Sorensen K, Main KM, Boas M, Cleemann L, Holm K, Gravholt CH, Andersson AM, Pedersen AT *et al.* Serum levels of anti-Mullerian hormone as a marker of ovarian function in 926 healthy females from birth to adulthood and in 172 Turner syndrome patients. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2010;95: 5003-5010.
- Hamy AS, Porcher R, Eskenazi S, Cuvier C, Giacchetti S, Coussy F, Hocini H, Tournant B, Perret F, Bonfils S *et al.* Anti-Mullerian
   hormone in breast cancer patients treated with chemotherapy: a retrospective evaluation of subsequent pregnancies.
   *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2016;32: 299-307.
- Hamza RT, Mira MF, Hamed AI, Ezzat T, Sallam MT. Anti-Mullerian hormone levels in patients with turner syndrome: Relation
   to karyotype, spontaneous puberty, and replacement therapy. *American journal of medical genetics Part A* 2018;176: 1929 1934.
- Hancke K, Isachenko V, Isachenko E, Weiss JM. Prevention of ovarian damage and infertility in young female cancer patients
   awaiting chemotherapy--clinical approach and unsolved issues. Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational
   Association of Supportive Care in Cancer 2011;19: 1909-1919.
- Henderson LK, Masson P, Craig JC, Roberts MA, Flanc RS, Strippoli GF, Webster AC. Induction and maintenance treatment of proliferative lupus nephritis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2013;61: 74-87.
- Henes M, Froeschlin J, Taran FA, Brucker S, Rall KK, Xenitidis T, Igney-Oertel A, Lawrenz B, Henes JC. Ovarian reserve alterations in premenopausal women with chronic inflammatory rheumatic diseases: impact of rheumatoid arthritis, Behcet's disease and spondyloarthritis on anti-Mullerian hormone levels. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2015;54: 1709-1712.
- Inal ZO, Engin Ustun Y, Yilmaz N, Aktulay A, Bardakci Y, Gulerman C. Does the anti-Mullerian hormone truly reflect ovarian
   response in women with endometrioma? *Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology : the journal of the Institute of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2019;39: 516-521.
- 304 Iwase A, Nakamura T, Nakahara T, Goto M, Kikkawa F. Assessment of ovarian reserve using anti-Mullerian hormone levels
   305 in benign gynecologic conditions and surgical interventions: a systematic narrative review. *Reproductive biology and* 306 *endocrinology : RB&E* 2014;12: 125.
- 307 Iwase A, Nakamura T, Nakahara T, Goto M, Kikkawa F. Anti-Mullerian hormone and assessment of ovarian reserve after 308 ovarian toxic treatment: a systematic narrative review. *Reproductive sciences (Thousand Oaks, Calif)* 2015;22: 519-526.
- Karadag C, Yoldemir T, Demircan Karadag S, Turgut A. The effects of endometrioma size and bilaterality on ovarian reserve.
   *Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology : the journal of the Institute of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2019: 1-6.
- Karakus S, Sahin A, Durmaz Y, Aydin H, Yildiz C, Akkar O, Dogan M, Cengiz A, Cetin M, Cetin A. Evaluation of ovarian reserve
   using anti-mullerian hormone and antral follicle count in Sjogren's syndrome: Preliminary study. *The journal of obstetrics and* gynaecology research 2017;43: 303-307.
- Kasapoglu I, Ata B, Uyaniklar O, Seyhan A, Orhan A, Yildiz Oguz S, Uncu G. Endometrioma-related reduction in ovarian
   reserve (ERROR): a prospective longitudinal study. *Fertility and sterility* 2018;110: 122-127.
- Kim C, Dunn RL, Braffett B, Cleary PA, Arends V, Steffes M, Lanham MS, Randolph JF, Wessells H, Wellons MF *et al.* Ovarian
   reserve in women with Type 1 diabetes in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes
   Interventions and Complications Study. *Diabetic medicine : a journal of the British Diabetic Association* 2016;33: 691-692.
- 319 Kopeika J, Oyewo A, Punnialingam S, Reddy N, Khalaf Y, Howard J, Mononen S, Oteng-Ntim E. Ovarian reserve in women 320 with sickle cell disease. *PloS one* 2019;14: e0213024.

- Lawrenz B, Fehm T, von Wolff M, Soekler M, Huebner S, Henes J, Henes M. Reduced pretreatment ovarian reserve in
   premenopausal female patients with Hodgkin lymphoma or non-Hodgkin-lymphoma--evaluation by using antimullerian
   hormone and retrieved oocytes. *Fertility and sterility* 2012;98: 141-144.
- Lawrenz B, Henes J, Henes M, Neunhoeffer E, Schmalzing M, Fehm T, Kitter I. Impact of systemic lupus erythematosus on ovarian reserve in premenopausal women: evaluation by using anti-Muellerian hormone. *Lupus* 2011;20: 1193-1197.

Lekovich J, Lobel ALS, Stewart JD, Pereira N, Kligman I, Rosenwaks Z. Female patients with lymphoma demonstrate
 diminished ovarian reserve even before initiation of chemotherapy when compared with healthy controls and patients with
 other malignancies. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2016;33: 657-662.

Liu LL, Jiang Y, Wang LN, Yao L, Li ZL. Efficacy and safety of mycophenolate mofetil versus cyclophosphamide for induction therapy of lupus nephritis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Drugs* 2012;72: 1521-1533.

- Lutchman Singh K, Davies M, Chatterjee R. Fertility in female cancer survivors: pathophysiology, preservation and the role
   of ovarian reserve testing. *Human reproduction update* 2005;11: 69-89.
- Magri F, Schena L, Capelli V, Gaiti M, Zerbini F, Brambilla E, Rotondi M, De Amici M, Spinillo A, Nappi RE *et al.* Anti-Mullerian
   hormone as a predictor of ovarian reserve in ART protocols: the hidden role of thyroid autoimmunity. *Reproductive biology and endocrinology : RB&E* 2015;13: 106.
- Mak A, Cheak AA, Tan JY, Su HC, Ho RC, Lau CS. Mycophenolate mofetil is as efficacious as, but safer than,
   cyclophosphamide in the treatment of proliferative lupus nephritis: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Rheumatology* (*Oxford*) 2009;48: 944-952.
- 339 Marcellin L, Santulli P, Bourdon M, Comte C, Maignien C, Just PA, Streuli I, Borghese B, Chapron C. Serum antimullerian 340 hormone concentration increases with ovarian endometrioma size. *Fertility and sterility* 2019;111: 944-952.e941.
- Mont'Alverne AR, Yamakami LY, Goncalves CR, Baracat EC, Bonfa E, Silva CA. Diminished ovarian reserve in Behcet's disease
   patients. *Clinical rheumatology* 2015;34: 179-183.
- 343 Moraes CC, Marinho VFW, Campos ALM, Guedes JS, Xavier EBS, Caetano JPJ, Marinho RM. Oocyte cryopreservation for 344 future fertility: comparison of ovarian response between cancer and non-cancer patients. *JBRA Assist Reprod* 2019;23: 91-345 98.
- 346 Morel N, Bachelot A, Chakhtoura Z, Ghillani-Dalbin P, Amoura Z, Galicier L, Aumaitre O, Piette JC, Pourrat J, Boutin D et al.
- Study of anti-Müllerian hormone and its relation to the subsequent probability of pregnancy in 112 patients with systemic lupus erythematosus, exposed or not to cyclophosphamide. *Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2013;98: 3785-3792.
- Muzii L, Di Tucci C, Di Feliciantonio M, Galati G, Di Donato V, Musella A, Palaia I, Panici PB. Antimullerian hormone is reduced in the presence of ovarian endometriomas: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Fertility and sterility* 2018;110: 932-
- 352 940.e931.
  353 Nelson SM, Telfer EE, Anderson RA. The ageing ovary and uterus: new biological insights. *Human reproduction update*
- 353 Nelson SM, Terrer EE, Anderson RA. The ageing ovary and uterus: new biological insights. *Human reproduction update* 354 2013;19: 67-83.
- Oktem O, Yagmur H, Bengisu H, Urman B. Reproductive aspects of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Journal of reproductive immunology* 2016;117: 57-65.
- Peigne M, Decanter C. Serum AMH level as a marker of acute and long-term effects of chemotherapy on the ovarian follicular content: a systematic review. *Reproductive biology and endocrinology : RB&E* 2014;12: 26.
- Peng X, Zhi M, Wei M, Li TT, Zhang M, Zhang YQ, He H, Su M, Wang W, Chen JR *et al.* Thalidomide results in diminished
   ovarian reserve in reproductive age female IBD patients. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2017;96: e6540.
- 361 Quintero RB, Helmer A, Huang JQ, Westphal LM. Ovarian stimulation for fertility preservation in patients with cancer. *Fertility* 362 and sterility 2010;93: 865-868.
- Raffi F, Metwally M, Amer S. The impact of excision of ovarian endometrioma on ovarian reserve: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2012;97: 3146-3154.
- Reinblatt SL, Ishai L, Shehata F, Son WY, Tulandi T, Almog B. Effects of ovarian endometrioma on embryo quality. *Fertility* and sterility 2011;95: 2700-2702.
- 367Rohr J, Allen EG, Charen K, Giles J, He W, Dominguez C, Sherman SL. Anti-Mullerian hormone indicates early ovarian decline368in fragile X mental retardation (FMR1) premutation carriers: a preliminary study. Human reproduction (Oxford, England)3692008;23: 1220-1225.
- Saglam F, Onal ED, Ersoy R, Koca C, Ergin M, Erel O, Cakir B. Anti-Mullerian hormone as a marker of premature ovarian aging
   in autoimmune thyroid disease. *Gynecological endocrinology : the official journal of the International Society of Gynecological Endocrinology* 2015;31: 165-168.
- sahln A, Karakus S, Durmaz Y, Yildiz C, Aydin H, Cenglz AK. Ovarian reserve is preserved in Behcet's disease. International
   *journal of rheumatic diseases* 2017;20: 2070-2076.
- Sanders RD, Spencer JB, Epstein MP, Pollak SV, Vardhana PA, Lustbader JW, Fridovich-Keil JL. Biomarkers of ovarian
   function in girls and women with classic galactosemia. *Fertility and sterility* 2009;92: 344-351.
- Saumet J, Petropanagos A, Buzaglo K, McMahon E, Warraich G, Mahutte N. No. 356-Egg Freezing for Age-Related Fertility
   Decline. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology Canada : JOGC = Journal d'obstetrique et gynecologie du Canada : JOGC
   2018;40: 356-368.
- 380 Senates E, Colak Y, Erdem ED, Yesil A, Coskunpinar E, Sahin O, Altunoz ME, Tuncer I, Kurdas Ovunc AO. Serum anti-Mullerian
- hormone levels are lower in reproductive-age women with Crohn's disease compared to healthy control women. *Journal of Crohn's & colitis* 2013;7: e29-34.
- Sepulveda M, Ros C, Martinez-Lapiscina EH, Sola-Valls N, Hervas M, Llufriu S, La Puma D, Casals E, Blanco Y, Villoslada P et
   *al.* Pituitary-ovary axis and ovarian reserve in fertile women with multiple sclerosis: A pilot study. *Multiple sclerosis* (Houndmills, Basingstoke, England) 2016;22: 564-568.
- 386 Shabanova SS, Ananieva LP, Alekberova ZS, Guzov, II. Ovarian function and disease activity in patients with systemic lupus 387 erythematosus. *Clinical and experimental rheumatology* 2008;26: 436-441.
- Silva C, Caramelo O, Almeida-Santos T, Ribeiro Rama AC. Factors associated with ovarian function recovery after
   chemotherapy for breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2016;31:
   2737-2749.
- 391 Sklavos MM, Giri N, Stratton P, Alter BP, Pinto LA. Anti-Mullerian hormone deficiency in females with Fanconi anemia. *The* 392 *Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2014;99: 1608-1614.
- 393 Sklavos MM, Stratton P, Giri N, Alter BP, Savage SA, Pinto LA. Reduced serum levels of anti-Mullerian hormone in females 394 with inherited bone marrow failure syndromes. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2015;100: E197-203.
- Somigliana E, Benaglia L, Vigano P, Candiani M, Vercellini P, Fedele L. Surgical measures for endometriosis-related infertility:
   a plea for research. *Placenta* 2011;32 Suppl 3: S238-242.
- Somigliana E, Berlanda N, Benaglia L, Vigano P, Vercellini P, Fedele L. Surgical excision of endometriomas and ovarian
   reserve: a systematic review on serum antimullerian hormone level modifications (Provisional abstract) *Fertility and sterility*.
   2012, pp. 1531-1538.
- Son KA, Lee DY, Choi D. Association of BRCA Mutations and Anti-mullerian Hormone Level in Young Breast Cancer Patients.
   *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2019;10: 235.
- 402 Sonigo C, Beau I, Binart N, Grynberg M. Anti-Mullerian Hormone in Fertility Preservation: Clinical and Therapeutic 403 Applications. *Clinical medicine insights Reproductive health* 2019;13: 1179558119854755.
- 404 Su HC, Haunschild C, Chung K, Komrokian S, Boles S, Sammel MD, DeMichele A. Prechemotherapy antimullerian hormone, 405 age, and body size predict timing of return of ovarian function in young breast cancer patients. *Cancer* 2014;120: 3691-3698.
- 406 Su HI, Flatt SW, Natarajan L, DeMichele A, Steiner AZ. Impact of breast cancer on anti-mullerian hormone levels in young 407 women. *Breast cancer research and treatment* 2013;137: 571-577.
- Sweed MS, Makled AK, El-Sayed MA, Shawky ME, Abd-Elhady HA, Mansour AM, Mohamed RM, Hemeda H, Nasr-Eldin EA,
   Attia NS *et al.* Ovarian Reserve Following Laparoscopic Ovarian Cystectomy vs Cyst Deroofing for Endometriomas. *Journal of minimally invasive gynecology* 2018.
- Talaulikar VS, Bajoria R, Ehidiamhen AJ, Mujawar E, Chatterjee R. A 10-year longitudinal study of evaluation of ovarian reserve
   in women with transfusion-dependent beta thalassaemia major. *European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology* 2019;238: 38-43.
- The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation, Bosch E, Broer S, Griesinger G, Grynberg M, Humaidan P, Kolibianakis6
  E, Kunicki M, La Marca A, Lainas G *et al.* ESHRE guideline: ovarian stimulation for IVF/ICSI. *Human reproduction open* 2020: and <u>www.eshre.eu/guidelines</u>.
- Titus S, Li F, Stobezki R, Akula K, Unsal E, Jeong K, Dickler M, Robson M, Moy F, Goswami S *et al.* Impairment of BRCA1related DNA double-strand break repair leads to ovarian aging in mice and humans. *Science translational medicine* 2013;5:
  172ra121.
- Tsafrir A, Altarescu G, Margalioth E, Brooks B, Renbaum P, Levy-Lahad E, Rabinowitz R, Varshaver I, Eldar-Geva T. PGD for
   fragile X syndrome: ovarian function is the main determinant of success. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2010;25:
   2629-2636.
- Turkcuoglu I, Melekoglu R. The long-term effects of endometrioma surgery on ovarian reserve: a prospective case-control
   study. *Gynecological endocrinology : the official journal of the International Society of Gynecological Endocrinology* 2018;34:
   612-615.
- Van Tilborg TC, Derks-Smeets IAP, Bos AME, Oosterwijk JC, Van Golde RJ, De Die-Smulders CE, Van Der Kolk LE, Van Zelst Stams WAG, Velthuizen ME, Hoek A *et al.* Serum AMH levels in healthy women from BRCA1/2 mutated families: are they
   reduced? *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2016;31: 2651-2659.
- 429 Vega M, Barad DH, Yu Y, Darmon SK, Weghofer A, Kushnir VA, Gleicher N. Anti-mullerian hormone levels decline with the 430 presence of antiphospholipid antibodies. *Am J Reprod Immunol* 2016;76: 333-337.
- Wang Y, Ruan X, Lu D, Sheng J, Mueck AO. Effect of laparoscopic endometrioma cystectomy on anti-Mullerian hormone
   (AMH) levels. *Gynecological endocrinology : the official journal of the International Society of Gynecological Endocrinology* 2019;35: 494-497.
- 434 Wellons MF, Matthews JJ, Kim C. Ovarian aging in women with diabetes: An overview. Maturitas 2017;96: 109-113.
- 435 Welt CK, Smith PC, Taylor AE. Evidence of early ovarian aging in fragile X premutation carriers. *The Journal of clinical* 436 *endocrinology and metabolism* 2004;89: 4569-4574.
- Yamakami LY, Serafini PC, de Araujo DB, Bonfa E, Leon EP, Baracat EC, Silva CA. Ovarian reserve in women with primary
   antiphospholipid syndrome. *Lupus* 2014;23: 862-867.
- 439 Younis JS, Shapso N, Fleming R, Ben-Shlomo I, Izhaki I. Impact of unilateral versus bilateral ovarian endometriotic 440 cystectomy on ovarian reserve: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Human reproduction update* 2019;25: 375-391.
- 441Zaitoun MM, Zaitoun MM, El Behery MM. Comparing long term impact on ovarian reserve between laparoscopic ovarian442cystectomy and open laprotomy for ovarian endometrioma. Journal of ovarian research 2013;6: 76.
- 443Zhou Y, Chen C, Hu C, Wang Y, Zhang X, Wu R. Predictive value of the serum anti-Mullerian level for spontaneous pregnancy444in women after endometriosis surgery. The Journal of international medical research 2019;47: 5643-5649.
- 445

# PART D: Fertility preservation interventions

<sup>3</sup> D1. Options for FP

# 4 NARRATIVE QUESTION: WHICH OPTIONS ARE AVAILABLE FOR FERTILITY PRESERVATION IN 5 WOMEN – EMERGENCY AND NON-EMERGENCY?

6

7 Fertility can be preserved through several procedures, including cryopreservation of oocytes, 8 embryos or ovarian tissue, and potentially medical and surgical methods of protection (see overview Figure 4). Since the development of vitrification, oocyte cryopreservation (section D3. 9 Oocyte cryopreservation) is the method of choice for women undergoing elective freezing, and for 10 most women undergoing fertility preservation for medical indications. Embryo cryopreservation 11 (section D5. Embryo cryopreservation) is even more widely available and long-established part of 12 assisted reproduction, but the necessity for joint legal ownership with the male partner is an 13 important consideration that may result in difficulties later on. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation 14 (section D6. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation) is an important option either through choice, or if there 15 16 is insufficient time for ovarian stimulation. Its use in prepubertal girls is outwith the remit of this Guideline. In vitro oocyte maturation (section D7 In vitro maturation ) can also be considered, and in 17 some cases, there may be a possibility of combining different approaches. The application of these 18 techniques for transgender men is also discussed. 19 Protection of the ovary against the effects of treatment remains an ideal option, though far from 20

achievable. The use of GnRH agonists (section D8. GnRH agonists ) in this regard has a long history,
 but only recently have more robust data from RCTs become available, and even then, the great

majority of the evidence is in women with breast cancer. Ovarian transposition (section Dg. Ovarian

- transposition) in women scheduled for pelvic radiotherapy is also discussed.
- 25
- 26

[74]

#### Figure 4 Schematic overview of the options for female fertility preservation. Adapted from 27

#### 28 (Anderson et al., 2015)

29



30

31

33

#### References 34

35 36 37 Anderson RA, Mitchell RT, Kelsey TW, Spears N, Telfer EE, Wallace WH. Cancer treatment and gonadal function: experimental and established strategies for fertility preservation in children and young adults. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2015;3: 556-567.

- 38

# <sup>1</sup> D2. Ovarian Stimulation in treatments aimed at FP

Oocyte vitrification and embryo cryopreservation are both well-established fertility preservation (FP)
methods in widespread clinical practice. Both methods require ovarian stimulation, a clinical
procedure widely applied in treatments for infertility and recently discussed in the ESHRE Ovarian
Stimulation Guideline (<u>The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation et al., 2020</u>). For the purpose
of this guideline on Fertility Preservation, only aspects of ovarian stimulation relevant for patients
undergoing FP will be discussed.
Ovarian stimulation for FP is usually an urgent procedure and evidence on feasibility, efficacy and

safety of the methods is needed. The collection of a sufficient number of oocytes within a limited
time frame may be challenging; safety issues include both the prevention of complications such as
OHSS, and potentially increased risks relating to the impact of FP in an underlying malign or benign
disease. Novel approaches have been suggested for specific cases or patient groups, such as
random-start ovarian stimulation, and ovarian stimulation in the context of estrogen-sensitive
cancer.

For the current chapter, we include the evidence collected in the recent ESHRE Guideline on Ovarian Stimulation (<u>The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation *et al.*, 2020</u>). When considered relevant, additional information was added from studies published after the publication of the Ovarian Stimulation guideline. A discussion of recommendations that were updated is also presented.

PICO QUESTION: HOW SHOULD OVARIAN STIMULATION BE PERFORMED IN CANCER PATIENTS
 UNDERGOING FP TREATMENT?

# 22 Preferred protocol

Evidence as in the ESHRE Guideline on Ovarian stimulation (<u>The ESHRE Guideline Group on</u>
 <u>Ovarian Stimulation et al., 2020</u>) (section 10.1)

Two systematic reviews including a total of 33 studies (Boots et al., 2016, Rodgers et al., 2017) and 25 26 14 other investigations (Lawrenz et al., 2010, Lee et al., 2010, Das et al., 2011, Garcia-Velasco et al., 2013, Johnson et al., 2013, Devesa et al., 2014, Cardozo et al., 2015, Chan et al., 2015, 27 Shapira et al., 2015, Druckenmiller et al., 2016, Pereira et al., 2016, Alvarez and Ramanathan, 28 2018, Muteshi et al., 2018) reported data on cancer patients having ovarian stimulation for oocyte 29 30 and/or embryo cryopreservation. More than 2200 cycles were described, most of them (>90%) with GnRH antagonist protocols. Among them, random-start ovarian stimulation or protocols were 31 included, as well as the use of aromatase inhibitors or tamoxifen in women with breast cancer. In 32 addition, different trigger types aiming at the final oocyte maturation were used. The main 33 outcome measure across studies was usually the overall number of oocytes recovered 34 35 and the number of mature oocytes obtained, as data on embryo replacement and live birth are 36 scarce.

37 Evidence (published since (The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation et al., 2020))

Subsequently to the publication of the ESHRE Guideline on ovarian stimulation, a prospective study of fertility preservation in women with breast cancer reported on 380 cycles using a GnRH antagonist regimen. The use of letrozole or random start (each in approximately half of all cycles) was not associated with differences in the number of oocytes or embryos cryopreserved compared to conventional approaches (Marklund, 2020).

43 Recommendation

For ovarian stimulation in women seeking fertility preservation for medical reasons the GnRH antagonist protocol is recommended for **STRONG BOOO** its feasibility in urgent situations, short time and safety reasons.

44

For patients requiring ovarian stimulation where there is a lack of urgency, the use of a long protocol may be appropriate. WEAK  $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ 

### 45 Justification

The GnRH antagonist protocol has advantages due to a shortened duration of stimulation and allowing triggering of oocyte maturation with a GnRH agonist in high responder women, which further reduces the risk of OHSS. The GDG judged that a strong recommendation for the use of protocols with GnRH antagonists would be appropriate for emergency FP, especially with regards to safety reasons and time constraints and this was added as such in the recommendation. For non-urgent ovarian stimulation, the planning of cycles using GnRH agonist protocols is feasible and could be used if preferred, as a good practice point (GPP).

53

# 54 Random-start protocol

Evidence as in the ESHRE Guideline on Ovarian stimulation (<u>The ESHRE Guideline Group on</u>
 <u>Ovarian Stimulation et al., 2020</u>) (section 10.2)

A systematic review of 8 non-randomized studies including 6 in a context of fertility preservation, 57 58 showed in 251 women, that ovarian stimulation cycles initiated in the luteal were slightly longer (Weighted Mean Differences (WMD) 1.3 days, 95% Cl 0.37-2.1) and required higher gonadotropin 59 doses (WMD 683 IU, 95% CI 369-997), when compared with stimulation started in the follicular 60 61 phase (Boots et al., 2016). Peak serum estradiol (WMD -337 pg/mL, 95% CI -849 to -175) and number of oocytes recovered (WMD -0.6 oocytes, 95% Cl -2.8 to 1.6) did not differ between phases 62 of the cycle at which OS was started. Oocytes obtained in cycles initiated in the luteal phase 63 64 fertilized more efficiently (WMD 0.16, 95% Cl 0.13 to 0.19). No conclusion can be drawn on pregnancy and live birth rates regarding the very small number of patients and the extremely low utilization 65 66 rates of cryopreserved oocytes and embryos in cancer patients (Boots et al., 2016).

67 Two retrospective cohort studies, including 347 cancer patients undergoing ovarian stimulation for 68 FP, also compared conventional vs random-start ovarian stimulation (Pereira et al., 2016, Muteshi et 69 al., 2018). Muteshi et al. reported no significant differences in number of oocytes retrieved (11.9 (95% Cl 10.3–13.5) vs. 12.9 (95% Cl 9.6–16.2)), total gonadotropin dose used (mean 2543.4 (2328.3–2758.5) 70 71 vs. 2811.9 (2090.8–3533.1) IU), total duration of stimulation (11.5 (11.2–12.0) vs. 12.2 (10.7–13.7) days) or peak serum estradiol (5426.3 (4682.9-6169.7) vs. 4423.1 (2866.9-5979.3) pmol/L) (Muteshi et al., 72 2018). Similarly, Pereira et al. reported no significant difference in number of oocytes retrieved 73 (12.1±5.78 vs. (12.6±6.23); OR 1.05, 95% Cl 0.45-2.45), total gonadotropin dose used (3498.3±1563.1 vs. 74 3527.4±1668.9 IU), or peak serum estradiol (473.3 (262.4-615.7) vs. 443.8 (285.2-603.5) pg/ml)(Pereira 75 76 et al., 2016). However, duration of stimulation was significantly longer when ovarian stimulation was started in the luteal phase compared to the follicular phase (11.8 (±2.41) vs. 10.7 (±2.71) days) (Pereira 77 78 <u>et al., 2016</u>).

79 Evidence (published since (<u>The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation et al., 2020</u>))

80 In a prospective cohort study of 26 women with cancer, the outcome of 13 FP cycles initiated in the

follicular phase was compared with 13 cycles started in the luteal phase. No significant differences

82 were observed regarding to numbers of oocytes collected, maturity rate, nor gonadotropin dose or

days of stimulation (<u>Campos et al., 2018</u>). In a larger cohort of 109 women with breast cancer,
 Cavagna et al., reported outcomes of random-start protocols in early follicular phase (n=41), late

follicular phase (n=21), and luteal phase (n=47). Similar numbers of oocytes retrieved, and maturity

[76]

- 86 rates were reported, but a significant higher FSH or hMG dose were required in the cycles initiated
- 87 in the luteal phase (<u>Cavagna *et al.,* 2018</u>).
- 88 A prospective study compared random start ovarian stimulation in 201 cycles with 179 cases of
- 89 conventional start in women with breast cancer. Random-start required higher total gonadotropin
- dose, but the number of retrieved oocytes and the number of cryopreserved oocytes (9.0 [range
- 91 0-24] vs 10.6 [range 0-40]) and embryos (4.8 [range 0-29] vs 4.8 [range 0-16]) were similar between
- 92 the groups (<u>Marklund, 2020</u>).

# 93 Recommendation

In urgent fertility preservation cycles, random-start ovarian stimulation is an important option

AK ⊕⊕⊖O

# 94 Justification

- 95 While the evidence indicates that oocyte competence is probably not impacted when ovarian
- stimulation is started in the luteal phase compared to the follicular phase, there are insufficient data
- 97 on live birth rates to allow conclusions as to its role in ovarian stimulation for fertility preservation. The
- 98 quality of evidence is still low given the few studies available. The drug marketing approval for
- 99 gonadotropin use in luteal phase needs to be considered.

# 100 Double stimulation

Evidence as in the ESHRE Guideline on Ovarian stimulation (<u>The ESHRE Guideline Group on</u>
 <u>Ovarian Stimulation et al., 2020</u>) (section 9.3)

Double stimulation, also called "dual stimulation", "duostim" (Vaiarelli et al., 2018) or the "Shanghai 103 104 protocol" (Kuang et al., 2014), is used experimentally in poor responder patients or cases for urgent fertility preservation. It involves 2 stimulation protocols within the same menstrual cycle: the first 105 starting in the follicular phase, then second immediately after the oocyte pick up, in the luteal phase 106 of the same cycle. Two oocyte pick-ups are therefore performed approximately 2 weeks apart, 107 thus theoretically allows recovery of more oocytes in a shorter time period. As shown in luteal 108 phase stimulation protocols, the quality of oocytes retrieved in the second stimulation appears to 109 be as good as those retrieved in the first stimulation (same euploid embryo rate) (Vaiarelli et al., 110 2018). Since there are no studies performing the direct comparison of double stimulation with 2 111 consecutive conventional stimulations, there are no relevant data to present in this guideline. 112 However current evidence shows that double stimulation is feasible and provides oocytes with 113

- sufficient quality for IVF/ICSI. The advantages/disadvantages of double stimulation compared to
- 115 conventional stimulation need to be addressed in randomised controlled studies.
- 116 Evidence (published since (The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation et al., 2020))
- A study in women with poor ovarian response (defined according to the Bologna criteria with mean age 42 years) investigated dual stimulation with PGT-A. In the study, 100 patients, undergoing dual stimulation, were compared to 197 that underwent a single conventional cycle. The cumulative LBR was higher (15% vs 7%) as was the proportion of euploid blastocysts (31% vs 14%) in the group that
- 121 underwent dual stimulation (Vaiarelli *et al.*, 2020). The high age of the women included and the lack
- 122 of randomization limit generalisability of these data to FP patients.

# 123 Recommendation

Double stimulation can be considered for urgent fertility preservation cycles  ${\tt WEAK \oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc}$ 

# 125 Justification

126 Although not recommended in poor responders (except in the context of a clinical trial), the recent

127 guideline on ovarian stimulation (<u>The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation et al., 2020</u>),

suggested that double stimulation can be considered in urgent FP cycles. This is based on studies that

have reported more oocytes with double stimulation compared to follicular phase stimulation and

comparable pregnancy rates from oocytes obtained in the luteal or follicular phase. The disadvantage
 of mandatory freeze-all of oocytes or embryos resulting from luteal start stimulation is irrelevant in the

131 of mandatory fi 132 context of FP.

# Ovarian stimulation with potentially safer protocols aiming at reducing estrogenic effects and risks

Fertility preservation in breast cancer represents a complex issue since the tumors are in many cases estrogen-sensitive. Ovarian stimulation results in supra-physiological serum estradiol levels, albeit temporary, which could theoretically result in the proliferation of malignant cells, although there are no data demonstrating an adverse effect of ovarian stimulation for FP in women with breast cancer.

140 Innovative stimulation protocols have been developed in an effort to reduce potential harm 141 associated with high estradiol levels. Co-administration of either aromatase inhibitors or selective 142 estrogen receptor modulators during ovarian stimulation is used frequently.

# Evidence as in the ESHRE Guideline on Ovarian stimulation (<u>The ESHRE Guideline Group on</u> <u>Ovarian Stimulation et al., 2020</u>) (section 10.3)

A systematic review analysed the results of 12 prospective and retrospective cohort studies with 145 aromatase inhibitor protocols for fertility preservation (Rodgers et al., 2017). Peak estradiol 146 concentrations were 337-829 pg/mL (1237-3044 pmol/L) when letrozole was commenced on Day 147 2-3, higher than that observed in natural cycle IVF. Two studies reported no difference in oocyte 148 yield between aromatase inhibitor protocols and conventional stimulation (Oktav et al., 2006, Checa 149 <u>Vizcaino et al., 2012</u>) while 2 others observed a small but significant decrease with letrozole 150 administration (Domingo et al., 2012, Revelli et al., 2013). However, the amount of FSH administration 151 in Revelli's study was lower in the aromatase inhibitor group, which may have biased the results. 152

Rodgers et al. also reviewed the 4 prospective and retrospective cohort studies having used 153 tamoxifen administration during ovarian stimulation (Rodgers et al., 2017). Peak estradiol levels in 154 women stimulated with tamoxifen co-administration were higher than observed in natural cycle 155 IVF (Oktav et al., 2003), however, remained comparable in women undergoing ovarian stimulation 156 without tamoxifen (Meirow et al., 2014). One study in the systematic review compared ovarian 157 stimulation with letrozole to that with tamoxifen (Oktay et al., 2005). The numbers of oocytes 158 159 retrieved of mature oocytes were lower when stimulation was performed with tamoxifen than with 160 letrozole (6.9±1.1 vs. 12.3±2.5) and (5.1±1.1 vs. 8.5±2.6), respectively. However, the small number of 161 patients included (7 women and 9 cycles in the tamoxifen group and 11 women with 11 cycles in 162 letrozole group) means that making conclusions should be cautious.

A retrospective cohort study including 639 women compared ovarian stimulation with letrozole in 163 164 breast cancer patients versus ovarian stimulatiion without letrozole in women presenting for elective cryopreservation (Pereira et al., 2016). There was no significant difference in the duration 165 of stimulation (10.9±3.46 vs. 10.4±3.69 days), total amount of gonadotropins administered 166 167 (3502.4±1372.1 vs. 3607.8±1848.6 IU). However, peak serum estradiol was significantly lower in women receiving letrozole (464.5 (315.5-673.8) vs. 1696 (1058-2393) pg/ml). Furthermore, 168 significantly more oocytes were retrieved in women receiving letrozole (12.3±3.99 vs. 10.9±3.86) 169 170 (<u>Pereira *et al.*, 2016</u>).

171 The use of GnRH agonist trigger to an antagonist protocol with addition of aromatase inhibitor 172 protocols contributes to further reducing estradiol levels around the time of OPU (<u>Oktav *et al.*</u>, 2010,

172 protocols contributes to rather reducing estradiot levels around the time of or o (<u>oktay et al.</u>, 2014), and progesterone levels during the luteal phase (<u>Goldrat et al.</u>, 2015).

[79]

- 174 Data on relapse-free survival and mortality were available in only 4 studies of the systematic review,
- encompassing 464 women with a maximum of 5-year follow-up.

176 Evidence (published since (The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation et al., 2020))

In a prospective study, cycles including letrozole for FP in women with breast cancer resulted in a 177 similar number of oocytes (10.4 versus 9.1) and embryos (5.5 vs 3.0) cryopreserved compared with 178 cycles with a conventional antagonist protocol (Marklund, 2020). The safety of ovarian stimulation 179 in women with breast cancer was also investigated with median follow up of 5.1 years (range: 3 180 months-23,6 years). Comparing women who underwent FP with ovarian stimulation to women who 181 did not undergo FP or had FP treatment without ovarian stimulation, the five-year survival was 0.95 182 (95% CI:0.92-0.97) and 0.92 (95% CI:0.87-0.95), respectively, with no difference in survival across the 183 entire follow-up. Letrozole treatment was also not associated with differences in survival, thus no 184 benefit has been established. A study aiming to compare the short- and long-term effects of 185 ovarian stimulation with or without letrozole co-administration is currently ongoing (STIM-Trial, The 186

187 Netherlands).

# 188 Recommendation

In ovarian stimulation for fertility preservation in estrogen-sensitive diseases the concomitant use of anti-estrogen therapy, such as letrozole, is probably recommended

GPP

# 189 Justification

190 The existing literature concerning ovarian stimulation for FP in women with estrogen sensitive cancer 191 is limited by its observational nature, small patient numbers and relatively short duration of follow-

192 up. Definitive statements regarding the safety of ovarian stimulation in women with a recent diagnosis

193 of breast cancer would require long-term and large-scale studies, and these do not yet exist. The

data on use of tamoxifen for FP of women with breast cancer are even more limited than data on

195 letrozole. The FP GDG decided that tamoxifen should not be included in the recommendation.

# <sup>196</sup> Ovarian stimulation for FP in transgender men<sup>4</sup>

197 The procedures required for FP aiming at oocyte cryopreservation, such as hormonal ovarian 198 stimulation and transvaginal ultrasound (TVS), can have a negative impact on gender dysphoria. 199 Successful management requires sensitivity and awareness of these issues (<u>Armuand *et al.*, 2017</u>).

199 Successful management requires sensitivity and dwareness of mese issues (Armadia et al., 2017). 200 It is undoubtedly preferable for transgender males to undergo procedures for FP aimed at store

201 oocytes before starting gender-affirming hormone treatment (GAHT). In some cases, the patients 202 may agree to temporary discontinue their GATH to undergo ovarian stimulation aiming at oocyte 203 vitrification. The use of long-term testosterone treatment, in certain cases with treatment with a 204 GnRHa, may result in the patients being severely downregulated and hypogonadotrophic, 205 comparable to women on long-term GnRHa treatment for endometriosis.

Discontinuation of testosterone treatment prior to ovarian stimulation for FP in transgender men has been reported, using antagonist protocols (<u>Adeleye *et al.*</u>, 2019, <u>Leung *et al.*</u>, 2019). Seven transgender men who had discontinued treatment with testosterone were compared with 6 transgender men without previous treatment with testosterone. Time from stopping testosterone was not reported. Fewer oocytes were retrieved in patients with previous testosterone use (12 IQR [4-26]) vs. 25.5 [18-28]) (<u>Adeleye *et al.*</u>, 2019</u>). In another report, 19 transgender men underwent

cycles for oocyte or embryo cryopreservation, and 7 underwent cycles with embryos transferred

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> this topic was not included in The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation, Bosch E, Broer S, Griesinger G, Grynberg M, Humaidan P, Kolibianakis6 E, Kunicki M, La Marca A, Lainas G *et al.* ESHRE guideline: ovarian stimulation for IVF/ICSI. *Human reproduction open* 2020: and www.eshre.eu/guidelines.

213 (Leung et al., 2019). Over 60% of the patients had been on treatment with testosterone (range 3

- 214 months 17 years). All patients stopped testosterone before cycle start (average 4 months, range
   215 1-12 months) and almost all resumed resumption of menses and had normal baseline FSH, AMH
- and E2 levels at cycle start. A similar number of oocytes were retrieved and peak E2 levels were
- found compared to cisgender women undergoing treatment for infertility (Leung et al., 2019).
- The addition of aromatase inhibitors has been proposed to further reduce systemic estrogen levels
- and estrogenic symptoms (<u>Armuand et al., 2017</u>).

# 220 Recommendation

For ovarian stimulation in transgender men aiming at oocyte cryopreservation, GnRH antagonist protocols have been reported as feasible and with numbers of oocytes retrieved comparable to those obtained in cisgender women when individuals have stopped previous treatment with testosterone

221

Addition of letrozole to the antagonist protocol may enhance treatment adherence for transgender men by reducing estrogenic symptoms.

GPP

WEAK

000⊕

### 222 Justification

- Published data on ovarian stimulation in transgender men are limited to small case series, but show
- feasibility of ovarian stimulation, even in patients that have previously used testosterone treatments.
- 225 Ovarian stimulation can impact negatively on gender dysphoria, and hence sensitivity and awareness,
- and protocal adaptation can be considered.

### 227 References

- Adeleye AJ, Cedars MI, Smith J, Mok-Lin E. Ovarian stimulation for fertility preservation or family building in a cohort of transgender men. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2019;36: 2155-2161.
- Alvarez RM, Ramanathan P. Fertility preservation in female oncology patients: the influence of the type of cancer on ovarian
   stimulation response. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 2051-2059.
- Armuand G, Dhejne C, Olofsson JI, Rodriguez-Wallberg KA. Transgender men's experiences of fertility preservation: a qualitative study. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2017;32: 383-390.
- Boots CE, Meister M, Cooper AR, Hardi A, Jungheim ES. Ovarian stimulation in the luteal phase: systematic review and meta analysis. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2016;33: 971-980.
- Campos APC, Geber GP, Hurtado R, Sampaio M, Geber S. Ovarian response after random-start controlled ovarian stimulation
   to cryopreserve oocytes in cancer patients. *JBRA Assist Reprod* 2018;22: 352-354.
- Cardozo ER, Thomson AP, Karmon AE, Dickinson KA, Wright DL, Sabatini ME. Ovarian stimulation and in-vitro fertilization
   outcomes of cancer patients undergoing fertility preservation compared to age matched controls: a 17-year experience.
   *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2015;32: 587-596.
- 241 Cavagna F, Pontes A, Cavagna M, Dzik A, Donadio NF, Portela R, Nagai MT, Gebrim LH. Specific protocols of controlled 242 ovarian stimulation for oocyte cryopreservation in breast cancer patients. *Curr Oncol* 2018;25: e527-e532.
- 243 Chan JL, Johnson LN, Efymow BL, Sammel MD, Gracia CR. Outcomes of ovarian stimulation after treatment with 244 chemotherapy. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2015;32: 1537-1545.
- 245 Checa Vizcaino MA, Corchado AR, Cuadri ME, Comadran MG, Brassesco M, Carreras R. The effects of letrozole on ovarian 246 stimulation for fertility preservation in cancer-affected women. *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2012;24: 606-610.
- 247 Das M, Shehata F, Moria A, Holzer H, Son WY, Tulandi T. Ovarian reserve, response to gonadotropins, and oocyte maturity 248 in women with malignancy. *Fertility and sterility* 2011;96: 122-125.
- Devesa M, Martinez F, Coroleu B, Rodriguez I, Gonzalez C, Barri PN. Ovarian response to controlled ovarian hyperstimulation
   in women with cancer is as expected according to an age-specific nomogram. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2014;31: 583-588.
- Domingo J, Guillen V, Ayllon Y, Martinez M, Munoz E, Pellicer A, Garcia-Velasco JA. Ovarian response to controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in cancer patients is diminished even before oncological treatment. *Fertility and sterility* 2012;97: 930-934.
- 254 Druckenmiller S, Goldman KN, Labella PA, Fino ME, Bazzocchi A, Noyes N. Successful Oocyte Cryopreservation in 255 Reproductive-Aged Cancer Survivors. *Obstetrics and gynecology* 2016;127: 474-480.

- 256Garcia-Velasco JA, Domingo J, Cobo A, Martinez M, Carmona L, Pellicer A. Five years' experience using oocyte vitrification257to preserve fertility for medical and nonmedical indications. Fertility and sterility 2013;99: 1994-1999.
- Goldrat O, Gervy C, Englert Y, Delbaere A, Demeestere I. Progesterone levels in letrozole associated controlled ovarian stimulation for fertility preservation in breast cancer patients. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2015;30: 2184-2189.
- Johnson LN, Dillon KE, Sammel MD, Efymow BL, Mainigi MA, Dokras A, Gracia CR. Response to ovarian stimulation in patients
   facing gonadotoxic therapy. *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2013;26: 337-344.
- 262Kuang Y, Chen Q, Hong Q, Lyu Q, Ai A, Fu Y, Shoham Z. Double stimulations during the follicular and luteal phases of poor263responders in IVF/ICSI programmes (Shanghai protocol). *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2014;29: 684-691.
- Lawrenz B, Jauckus J, Kupka M, Strowitzki T, von Wolff M. Efficacy and safety of ovarian stimulation before chemotherapy in 205 cases. *Fertility and sterility* 2010;94: 2871-2873.
- Lee S, Ozkavukcu S, Heytens E, Moy F, Oktay K. Value of early referral to fertility preservation in young women with breast cancer. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2010;28: 4683-4686.
- Leung A, Sakkas D, Pang S, Thornton K, Resetkova N. Assisted reproductive technology outcomes in female-to-male transgender patients compared with cisgender patients: a new frontier in reproductive medicine. *Fertility and sterility* 270 2019;112: 858-865.
- 271 Marklund A. Efficacy and safety of controlled ovarian stimulation using GnRH antagonist protocols for emergency fertility 272 preservation in young women with breast cancer—a prospective nationwide Swedish multicenter study. *Human* 273 *reproduction* 2020;article in press.
- Meirow D, Raanani H, Maman E, Paluch-Shimon S, Shapira M, Cohen Y, Kuchuk I, Hourvitz A, Levron J, Mozer-Mendel M et
   al. Tamoxifen co-administration during controlled ovarian hyperstimulation for in vitro fertilization in breast cancer patients
   increases the safety of fertility-preservation treatment strategies. *Fertility and sterility* 2014;102: 488-495 e483.
- Muteshi C, Child T, Ohuma E, Fatum M. Ovarian response and follow-up outcomes in women diagnosed with cancer having
   fertility preservation: Comparison of random start and early follicular phase stimulation cohort study. *European journal of* obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology 2018;230: 10-14.
- 280 Oktay K, Buyuk E, Davis O, Yermakova I, Veeck L, Rosenwaks Z. Fertility preservation in breast cancer patients: IVF and 281 embryo cryopreservation after ovarian stimulation with tamoxifen. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2003;18: 90-95.
- Oktay K, Buyuk E, Libertella N, Akar M, Rosenwaks Z. Fertility preservation in breast cancer patients: a prospective controlled
   comparison of ovarian stimulation with tamoxifen and letrozole for embryo cryopreservation. *Journal of clinical oncology :* official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2005;23: 4347-4353.
- Oktay K, Hourvitz A, Sahin G, Oktem O, Safro B, Cil A, Bang H. Letrozole reduces estrogen and gonadotropin exposure in women with breast cancer undergoing ovarian stimulation before chemotherapy. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2006;91: 3885-3890.
- 288 Oktay K, Turkcuoglu I, Rodriguez-Wallberg KA. GnRH agonist trigger for women with breast cancer undergoing fertility 289 preservation by aromatase inhibitor/FSH stimulation. *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2010;20: 783-788.
- Pereira N, Hancock K, Cordeiro CN, Lekovich JP, Schattman GL, Rosenwaks Z. Comparison of ovarian stimulation response in patients with breast cancer undergoing ovarian stimulation with letrozole and gonadotropins to patients undergoing ovarian stimulation with gonadotropins alone for elective cryopreservation of oocytesdagger. *Gynecological endocrinology : the official journal of the International Society of Gynecological Endocrinology* 2016;32: 823-826.
- Reddy J, Turan V, Bedoschi G, Moy F, Oktay K. Triggering final oocyte maturation with gonadotropin-releasing hormone
   agonist (GnRHa) versus human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) in breast cancer patients undergoing fertility preservation: an
   extended experience. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2014;31: 927-932.
- Revelli A, Porcu E, Levi Setti PE, Delle Piane L, Merlo DF, Anserini P. Is letrozole needed for controlled ovarian stimulation in
   patients with estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer? *Gynecological endocrinology : the official journal of the International* Society of Gynecological Endocrinology 2013;29: 993-996.
- Rodgers RJ, Reid GD, Koch J, Deans R, Ledger WL, Friedlander M, Gilchrist RB, Walters KA, Abbott JA. The safety and
   efficacy of controlled ovarian hyperstimulation for fertility preservation in women with early breast cancer: a systematic
   review. Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 2017;32: 1033-1045.
- Shapira M, Raanani H, Feldman B, Srebnik N, Dereck-Haim S, Manela D, Brenghausen M, Geva-Lerner L, Friedman E, Levi Lahad E *et al.* BRCA mutation carriers show normal ovarian response in in vitro fertilization cycles. *Fertility and sterility* 2015;104: 1162-1167.
- The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation, Bosch E, Broer S, Griesinger G, Grynberg M, Humaidan P, Kolibianakis6
   E, Kunicki M, La Marca A, Lainas G *et al.* ESHRE guideline: ovarian stimulation for IVF/ICSI. *Human reproduction open* 2020:
   and www.eshre.eu/guidelines.
- Vaiarelli A, Cimadomo D, Conforti A, Schimberni M, Giuliani M, D'Alessandro P, Colamaria S, Alviggi C, Rienzi L, Ubaldi FM.
   Luteal phase after conventional stimulation in the same ovarian cycle might improve the management of poor responder
   patients fulfilling the Bologna criteria: a case series. *Fertility and sterility* 2020;113: 121-130.
- 312 Vaiarelli A, Cimadomo D, Trabucco E, Vallefuoco R, Buffo L, Dusi L, Fiorini F, Barnocchi N, Bulletti FM, Rienzi L *et al.* Double
- Stimulation in the Same Ovarian Cycle (DuoStim) to Maximize the Number of Oocytes Retrieved From Poor Prognosis
   Patients: A Multicenter Experience and SWOT Analysis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2018;9: 317.
- 315

# 1 D3. Oocyte cryopreservation

2 Cryopreservation of mature oocytes through vitrification has shown proof of its efficacy in egg banking programs and in elective oocyte cryopreservation. These facts contributed to an 3 international consensus in 2013 to recognize oocyte cryopreservation as a clinically established 4 method for female fertility preservation (Loren et al., 2013, 2013, Yasmin et al., 2018). Although large 5 studies on oocyte cryopreservation are available, most still report on healthy women undergoing 6 7 elective oocyte cryopreservation or on oocytes used in donor cycles. The number of women who 8 have returned to use frozen oocytes after FP indicated for malignant or benign medical indications is still low 9

10

11

# PICO QUESTION: IS OOCYTE CRYOPRESERVATION EFFECTIVE AND SAFE FOR FERTILITY PRESERVATION?

12 13

Several studies have confirmed the feasibility of oocyte cryopreservation for FP of adult women 14 and young teenagers (Rudick et al., 2010, Rienzi et al., 2012, Druckenmiller et al., 2016, Mangili et al., 15 2017, Rodriguez-Wallberg et al., 2019b) and the reported number of oocytes collected among 16 studies is similar. Druckenmiller et al. reported an average of 10 mature oocytes collected per cycle 17 18 in a cohort of 176 women with cancer undergoing 182 cryopreservation cycles (Druckenmiller et al., 2016). Mangli et al also reported a retrospective analysis of 125 women with cancer undergoing FP 19 in two different study periods and found that a mean of 8 and 10 oocytes were cryopreserved, 20 respectively (Mangili et al., 2017). In a prospective study, Rodriguez-Wallberg et al. reported on 180 21 women with benign diseases and 382 women with malignant diseases and found a mean of 12 22 23 oocytes retrieved in each of the groups(Rodriguez-Wallberg et al., 2019a). However, there was a significantly higher number of mature oocytes obtained in the group of patients with benign versus 24 malignant indications, resulting in greater numbers of mature oocytes cryopreserved for women 25 26 with benign indications (12.3 ± 7.1 vs. 9.8 ± 6.9) (Rodriguez-Wallberg et al., 2019a).

A large study conducted in the USA during 2009 reported data collected from 282 centers. The oocyte cryopreservation cycles were indicated by cancer in 18% of cases whereas 66% were elective. Fertilization rates after warming were about 67%, and 337 live births from 857 warming cycles were reported for all indications combined, with a pregnancy rate of 39.3% (<u>Rudick *et al.*</u>, <u>2010</u>).

# 32 Effect of age and/or previous cancer treatment

It can be assumed, as in infertile patients or women undergoing elective oocyte cryopreservation, that in women undergoing FP, increasing age at time of cryopreservation has a negative effect on the outcome of oocyte cryopreservation. Furthermore, it was shown that women who are older require higher gonadotropin doses for ovarian stimulation, as well as women who undergo cryopreservation cycles after chemotherapy treatment (<u>Rodriguez-Wallberg *et al.*, 2019a</u>).

# 38 Effect of type of malignancy

39 It has been difficult to establish if there are patient groups that are disadvantaged as regards to the

40 ovarian response to ovarian stimulation due to their oncologic disease. Studies of large size are

- lacking. In a retrospective study comparing ovarian stimulation outcomes of 191 women with breast
- 42 cancer vs 398 women undergoing elective oocyte cryopreservation, Quinn et al. reported a similar
- number of mature oocytes collected in analysis adjusted for age, BMI and total gonadotropin dose
   (Quinn *et al.*, 2017). In another retrospective study, data on 306 women who had ovarian stimulation
- (Quinn et al., 2017). In another retrospective study, data on 306 women who had ovarian stimulation
   for FP for several indications were analyzed (<u>Lekovich et al., 2016</u>). The most common diseases
- 46 were breast cancer (n=145, 47.4%), haematological malignancies (n=42, 13.7%), gynaecological (n=20,

- 47 6.5%) and gastrointestinal cancer (n=20, 6.5%). Patients with haematological malignancies had more
- 48 mature oocytes retrieved, while patients with gynaecological malignancy had smaller numbers of 49 oocytes retrieved. These data are in contrast to a study which found that patients with lymphoma
- 50 had lower AMH levels, and had significantly fewer oocytes retrieved and vitrified after ovarian
- 51 stimulation (8.1±5.5 versus 9.6±6.4) than women with other malignancies (<u>Lekovich *et al.*</u>, 2016).
- 52 Recent studies have not found any conclusive data to indicate an effect of the type of cancer in
- the outcome of ovarian stimulation aimed at FP (<u>Lefebvre *et al.*, 2018</u>). In women with breast cancer,
- carriers of a BRCA mutation have also presented with similar ovarian reserve and response to
- 55 stimulation as noncarriers (<u>Gunnala *et al.*, 2019</u>).

# 56 Oocyte cryopreservation in adolescents

57 The feasibility of ovarian stimulation and oocyte cryopreservation in adolescent girls has been

- reported from several centers that have large programs for FP (<u>Rodriguez-Wallberg *et al.*, 2019a</u>, Manual *et al.*, 2020). Using the detabase of the Society for Assisted Depreductive Technology
- 59 <u>Manuel et al., 2020</u>), Using the database of the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology
- 60 (SART) Clinic Outcome Reporting System (SART CORS) in the USA, cycles aimed at oocyte 61 cryopreservation in adolescents younger than 20 years of age accounted for 1.5% of all oocyte
- 62 cryopreservation cycles between 2007-2018 (Hipp *et al.*, 2019).

# 63 Efficacy of cryopreserved oocytes for fertility preservation

64 Although a large number of reports are available on oocyte cryopreservation, studies reporting on the efficacy of cryopreserved oocytes are substantially fewer and smaller than those reporting on 65 the use of fresh oocytes. This is even more the case for women who have used oocytes 66 cryopreserved for FP for medical indications. In the study of Druckenmiller et al, only 10 of 176 67 68 women returned to thaw their oocytes, and embryos for transfer were obtained in 9 of 11 cycles (Druckenmiller et al., 2016). The implantation rate was 27% and the LBR was 44% (95% CI 12-77%) 69 per ET (Druckenmiller et al., 2016). A larger retrospective multicentric study from Cobo et al. 70 reported on 1073 women who underwent oocyte cryopreservation indicated by an oncologic 71 disease and 5289 healthy women who attempted elective oocyte cryopreservation(Cobo et al., 72 2018). Both age and indication for oocyte cryopreservation were found to have a marked impact on 73 the cumulative live birth rate (CLBR). Eighty women with previous oncologic indication and 641 from 74 75 the elective group attempted pregnancy, resulting in CLBR of 41.1 vs 68.8%, respectively. Increasing 76 age from 36 years onwards was associated with lower CLBR. In the group of women younger than 36 years, differences such a lower oocyte survival, fewer embryos obtained and transferred and 77 78 lower PR and CLBR were found in women with an oncologic indication for FP compared to healthy

- 79 women who underwent elective oocyte cryopreservation (<u>Cobo *et al.*, 2018</u>).
- 80 Effect of oncologic disease vs non-oncologic disease in reproductive outcome
- 81 of oocyte vitrification cycles

A prospective study of 562 adult women who had undergone oocyte or embryo cryopreservation for medical indications found a similar return rate of 27% regardless of benign or malignant indication (<u>Rodriguez-Wallberg *et al.*</u>, 2019a). A significantly lower CLBR was found after warming cycles in women with oncologic versus benign indications (LBR 21% vs 47%)(<u>Rodriguez-Wallberg</u> *et al.*, 2019a).

87 Effect of type of cancer diagnosis on outcome of oocyte cryopreservation

88 In the study of Lekovich et al , including 306 women undergoing FP for several malignant 89 indications, fertilization rate and the number of cancelled cycles were comparable among all

diagnosis groups. Thirty-two embryo transfer cycles in 22 patients resulted in a PR per ET of 43.75%,

91 and cumulative PR per patient 54.5%. Live birth rate per patient was 22.72% (<u>Lekovich *et al.*, 2016</u>).

#### Safety and risks 93

94 In studies of FP for cancer patients, a period of about 2-weeks has been needed in general to obtain

oocytes (Druckenmiller et al., 2016, Mangili et al., 2017, Rodriguez-Wallberg et al., 2019a). That 95

seems to be an acceptable time span between diagnosis and initiation of cancer therapy in most 96

97 cases (Loren et al., 2013).

#### General risks of ovarian stimulation and oocyte pick-up 98

Fertility preservation cycles should be considered only in women with no obvious contraindication 99 for ovarian stimulation and/or oocyte pick-up. 100

Specific risks of ovarian stimulation for fertility preservation in women with cancer or benign 101 diseases may be related to the altered endocrine environment, and risks for thrombosis, 102 103 haemorrhage and infection should be considered in all cases. In women with estrogen-sensitive cancer, the potentially deleterious role of supra-physiological estradiol levels during ovarian 104 stimulation may be reduced by the addition of aromatase inhibitors alongside gonadotropin 105 stimulation (Oktay et al., 2018). The risks of thrombotic complications may be increased in women 106 with certain diseases including malignant conditions in general, and autoimmune or rare diseases, 107

108 as reported in women with GATA2 deficiency (Zolton et al., 2018).

Patients suffering from diseases featuring low platelet counts or lymphopenia may present with 109 inherent higher risks of bleeding and/or infection following transvaginal puncture procedures for

- 110
- oocyte pick-up. 111

In all patients, the potential risk of OHSS should be considered, in particular if they are young or 112

113 expected to be high responders. OHSS should be avoided in women undergoing FP for medical reasons due to theoretically increased risks of complications such as thrombosis, in addition to 114

potentially delaying a planned cancer treatment. It has been established in large studies that the 115

risk of OHSS increases when >15 oocytes are collected (Steward et al., 2014, The ESHRE Guideline 116

Group on Ovarian Stimulation et al., 2020). No increased risks have been reported in women with 117

either benign or malignant indications undergoing ovarian stimulation aiming at cryopreservation 118

cycles when a mean of 10-12 oocytes have been retrieved (Mangili et al., 2017, Rodriguez-Wallberg 119

et al., 2019a) although case series of sufficient size to give accurate risk estimates are missing, and 120

121 will require multicentric international data collection.

#### Use of aromatase inhibitors for FP in women with hormone-sensitive cancer 122

This topic is discussed in more detail in section D2. Ovarian Stimulation . Protocols using letrozole 123 124 have been specifically recommended for women with hormone-sensitive tumours such as estrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast cancer undergoing ovarian stimulation for fertility 125 preservation (Loren et al., 2013). Prospective studies with long-term follow-up of women with breast 126 cancer that have undergone ovarian stimulation for fertility preservation are reassuring and no 127 increased risk of relapse has been found (Azim et al., 2008, Rodriguez-Wallberg et al., 2018). Cycles 128 using letrozole may be also potentially safer for women with endometrial hyperplasia or borderline 129 ovarian tumours (Mangili et al., 2017). The addition of letrozole to ovarian stimulation has also been 130 proposed for patient groups where systemic estradiol increase is not desirable, such as 131 transgender men, to reduce estrogenic effects and the worsening of gender dysphoria (Armuand 132 et al., 2017) and the case of patients with increased inherent thrombosis risk (Zolton et al., 2018). A 133 further improvement proposed to further minimize the risk of OHSS with letrozole is the use of 134

GnRH agonist for oocyte trigger instead of hCG (Oktay et al., 2010, Goldrat et al., 2015). 135

The use of letrozole in cycles for fertility preservation, as well as within fertility treatments, is widely 136 accepted, however, still off-label. 137

#### Potential risks to offspring associated with oocyte cryopreservation 138

Observational data indicate that children conceived using cryopreserved oocytes do not have an 139

- increased risk of congenital anomalies, but the data are too limited for definitive analysis. A review 140
- of 936 live-born babies from 58 cryopreservation studies 1986-2008 indicated an incidence of 1.3% 141

of congenital anomalies, a rate comparable to the 3% rate of major structural or genetic birth defects found in live births in the USA (<u>Noyes *et al.*</u>, 2009</u>). Long-term cryopreservation does not increase embryonic aneuploidy when compared to fresh oocytes (<u>Goldman *et al.*</u>, 2015</u>). Studies with long-term follow up of children are lacking. While children conceived from assisted reproduction have an elevated risk of adverse birth outcomes (<u>Goisis *et al.*</u>, 2019</u>), it is likely that the increased risks are related to the subfertility of the couple; there are currently insufficient data to assess these risks after FP.

# <sup>149</sup> Choice of cryopreservation of oocytes versus embryos.

Cryopreserved oocytes will always belong to the woman. If a couple is being treated, resulting in 150 embryo storage, the embryos belong to the couple. If the couple separates in the future, or if the 151 man does not consent to using the embryos, the woman is not be able to use the embryos for 152 attempting pregnancy. A recent prospective study of FP investigating trends in patients' choices 153 found that more than half of the women with a partner chose either not to fertilize their oocytes 154 aiming at cryopreservation of oocytes only or to share obtained oocytes attempting both 155 cryopreservation of oocytes and cryopreservation of embryos (Rodriguez-Wallberg et al., 2019a). 156 157 Women should receive information on the relevant legal issues and should have the possibility to elect to cryopreserve embryos or oocytes, or to split the oocytes aiming at both methods. More 158 accurate data on CLBR after oocyte and embryo vitrification for FP for medical indications would 159 160 also be of value to inform patients who have a choice as to what to cryopreserve.

### 161 Recommendations

Oocyte cryopreservation should be offered for fertility preservation.	d as an established option	STRONG	⊕⊕⊖⊖

162

Women with a partner should be offered the option to cryopreserve unfertilized oocytes or to split the oocytes to attempt both embryo and oocyte cryopreservation.

GPP

GPP

### 163

Women should be informed of accurate, centre-specific expertise and live birth rates. They should also be informed that success rates after cryopreservation of oocytes at the time of a cancer diagnosis may be lower than in women without cancer.

### 164 Justification.

165 The majority of studies are retrospective (Cobo et al., 2013, Druckenmiller et al., 2016, Massarotti et al., 166 2017, Cobo et al., 2018) and only a few prospective studies are available on women undergoing cycles for FP due to malignant diseases or benign conditions (Rienzi et al., 2012, Rodriguez-Wallberg et al., 167 168 2019a). Overall, evidence suggests that oocyte cryopreservation is effective and safe for patients undergoing FP, even though long-term follow-up of the children born after treatment are not available. 169 It is expected that the number of publications on the outcome of warming cycles in these patients will 170 increase in the coming years. Evidence on safety and efficacy of ovarian stimulation and oocyte pick-171 up, as necessary preceding steps to oocyte cryopreservation, as summarized in the previous section, 172 is also considered in this recommendation. 173 For women without a partner, oocyte cryopreservation is probably the most straightforward option, 174

but also for women with a partner, this is probably appropriate. Embryo cryopreservation as the
 alternative can be associated with possible ethical and legal consequences. Patients should receive

information on both choices and elect their preferred option. There may be specific situations where

the use of donor sperm and embryo cryopreservation can be considered, for instance genetic siblings.

- 179 Furthermore, local legislation should be considered.
- 180 The GDG decided that this recommendation for information provision is necessary and defendable.

#### Research recommendation 181

Studies reporting on birth outcomes, prevalence of genetic syndromes and long-term follow-up of 182

children conceived using cryopreserved oocytes are needed in order to assess the overall safety 183 of oocyte cryopreservation.

- 184
- 185

#### References 186

187 Armuand G, Dhejne C, Olofsson JI, Rodriguez-Wallberg KA. Transgender men's experiences of fertility preservation: a 188 qualitative study. Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 2017;32: 383-390.

- 189 Azim AA, Costantini-Ferrando M, Oktay K. Safety of fertility preservation by ovarian stimulation with letrozole and 190 gonadotropins in patients with breast cancer: a prospective controlled study. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of 191 the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2008;26: 2630-2635.
- Cobo A, Garcia-Velasco J, Domingo J, Pellicer A, Remohi J. Elective and Onco-fertility preservation: factors related to IVF 192 outcomes. Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 2018;33: 2222-2231. 193
- 194 Cobo A, Garcia-Velasco JA, Domingo J, Remohi J, Pellicer A. Is vitrification of oocytes useful for fertility preservation for age-195 related fertility decline and in cancer patients? Fertility and sterility 2013;99: 1485-1495.
- 196 Druckenmiller S, Goldman KN, Labella PA, Fino ME, Bazzocchi A, Noyes N. Successful Oocyte Cryopreservation in 197 Reproductive-Aged Cancer Survivors. Obstetrics and gynecology 2016;127: 474-480.
- 198 Goisis A, Remes H, Martikainen P, Klemetti R, Myrskyla M. Medically assisted reproduction and birth outcomes: a withinfamily analysis using Finnish population registers. Lancet 2019;393: 1225-1232. 199
- 200 Goldman KN, Kramer Y, Hodes-Wertz B, Noyes N, McCaffrey C, Grifo JA. Long-term cryopreservation of human oocytes 201 does not increase embryonic aneuploidy. Fertility and sterility 2015;103: 662-668.
- 202 Goldrat O, Gervy C, Englert Y, Delbaere A, Demeestere I. Progesterone levels in letrozole associated controlled ovarian 203 stimulation for fertility preservation in breast cancer patients. Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 2015;30: 2184-2189.
- Gunnala V, Fields J, Irani M, D'Angelo D, Xu K, Schattman G, Rosenwaks Z. BRCA carriers have similar reproductive potential 204 205 at baseline to noncarriers: comparisons in cancer and cancer-free cohorts undergoing fertility preservation. Fertility and 206 sterility 2019;111: 363-371.
- Hipp HS, Shandley LM, Schirmer DA, McKenzie L, Kawwass JF. Oocyte Cryopreservation in Adolescent Women. J Pediatr 207 208 Adolesc Gynecol 2019;32: 377-382.
- 209 Lefebvre T, Mirallie S, Leperlier F, Reignier A, Barriere P, Freour T. Ovarian reserve and response to stimulation in women 210 undergoing fertility preservation according to malignancy type. Reproductive biomedicine online 2018;37: 201-207.
- 211 Lekovich J, Lobel ALS, Stewart JD, Pereira N, Kligman I, Rosenwaks Z. Female patients with lymphoma demonstrate diminished ovarian reserve even before initiation of chemotherapy when compared with healthy controls and patients with 212 other malignancies. Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics 2016;33: 657-662. 213
- 214 Loren AW, Mangu PB, Beck LN, Brennan L, Magdalinski AJ, Partridge AH, Quinn G, Wallace WH, Oktay K. Fertility 215 216 preservation for patients with cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2013;31: 2500-2510.
- Mangili G, Papaleo E, Sigismondi C, Masciangelo R, Sarais V, Giorgione V, Nale R, Privitera L, Carnelli L, Di Mattei V et al. 217 218 Timing should no longer be an obstacle to oocyte cryopreservation in patients with cancer. Tumori 2017;103: 182-186.
- 219 Manuel SL, Moravek MB, Confino R, Smith KN, Lawson AK, Klock SC, Pavone ME. Ovarian stimulation is a safe and effective 22Õ fertility preservation option in the adolescent and young adult population. Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics 221 2020;37: 699-708.
- 222 Massarotti C, Scaruffi P, Lambertini M, Remorgida V, Del Mastro L, Anserini P. State of the art on oocyte cryopreservation in 223 female cancer patients: A critical review of the literature. Cancer treatment reviews 2017;57: 50-57.
- Noyes N, Porcu E, Borini A. Over 900 oocyte cryopreservation babies born with no apparent increase in congenital 224 225 anomalies. Reproductive biomedicine online 2009;18: 769-776.
- Oktay K, Harvey BE, Partridge AH, Quinn GP, Reinecke J, Taylor HS, Wallace WH, Wang ET, Loren AW. Fertility Preservation 226 227 228 in Patients With Cancer: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Update. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2018;36: 1994-2001.
- 229 Oktay K, Turkcuoglu I, Rodriguez-Wallberg KA. GnRH agonist trigger for women with breast cancer undergoing fertility preservation by aromatase inhibitor/FSH stimulation. Reproductive biomedicine online 2010;20: 783-788. 230
- 231 Practice Committee of American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Fertility preservation in patients undergoing 232 gonadotoxic therapy or gonadectomy: a committee opinion. Fertility and sterility 2013;100: 1214-1223.
- 233 Quinn MM, Cakmak H, Letourneau JM, Cedars MI, Rosen MP. Response to ovarian stimulation is not impacted by a breast 234 cancer diagnosis. Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 2017;32: 568-574.
- Rienzi L, Cobo A, Paffoni A, Scarduelli C, Capalbo A, Vajta G, Remohi J, Ragni G, Ubaldi FM. Consistent and predictable 235 236 delivery rates after oocyte vitrification: an observational longitudinal cohort multicentric study. Human reproduction (Oxford, 237 England) 2012;27: 1606-1612.
- 238 Rodriguez-Wallberg KA, Eloranta S, Krawiec K, Lissmats A, Bergh J, Liljegren A. Safety of fertility preservation in breast 239 cancer patients in a register-based matched cohort study. Breast cancer research and treatment 2018;167: 761-769.

- Rodriguez-Wallberg KA, Marklund A, Lundberg F, Wikander I, Milenkovic M, Anastacio A, Sergouniotis F, Wanggren K,
   Ekengren J, Lind T *et al.* A prospective study of women and girls undergoing fertility preservation due to oncologic and non oncologic indications in Sweden-Trends in patients' choices and benefit of the chosen methods after long-term follow up.
- 243 Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica 2019a;98: 604-615.
- Rodriguez-Wallberg KA, Waterstone M, Anastácio A. Ice age: Cryopreservation in assisted reproduction An update.
   *Reproductive biology* 2019b;19: 119-126.
- Rudick B, Opper N, Paulson R, Bendikson K, Chung K. The status of oocyte cryopreservation in the United States. *Fertility* and sterility 2010;94: 2642-2646.
- 248Steward RG, Lan L, Shah AA, Yeh JS, Price TM, Goldfarb JM, Muasher SJ. Oocyte number as a predictor for ovarian<br/>hyperstimulation syndrome and live birth: an analysis of 256,381 in vitro fertilization cycles. Fertility and sterility 2014;101: 967-<br/>973.
- The ESHRE Guideline Group on Ovarian Stimulation, Bosch E, Broer S, Griesinger G, Grynberg M, Humaidan P, Kolibianakis6
   E, Kunicki M, La Marca A, Lainas G *et al.* ESHRE guideline: ovarian stimulation for IVF/ICSI. *Human reproduction open* 2020:
   and www.eshre.eu/guidelines.
- 254 Yasmin E, Balachandren N, Davies MC, Jones GL, Lane S, Mathur R, Webber L, Anderson RA. Fertility preservation for
- medical reasons in girls and women: British fertility society policy and practice guideline. *Human fertility (Cambridge, England)* 2018;21: 3-26.
- 257 Zolton JR, Parikh TP, Hickstein DD, Holland SM, Hill MJ, DeCherney AH, Wolff EF. Oocyte cryopreservation for women with 258 GATA2 deficiency. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2018.
- 259

# D4. Oocyte cryopreservation for non-medical reasons

Elective oocyte cryopreservation or egg freezing, i.e. choosing to cryopreserve their oocytes with 3 no medical indication, is increasing and has largely replaced embryo cryopreservation as a fertility 4 preservation option for women without a male partner (see also section on embryo 5 6 cryopreservation). Women opting for such elective preservation are usually young and healthy, they do not, generally, have pre-existing medical problems. Therefore, the clinical issues are 7 8 arguably more straightforward for this group. The motivations are different however, as they are not freezing to ameliorate a medical condition but for other, often complex reasons. Women in the 9 10 developed world are delaying the age at which they have their first child . The reasons for this demographic trend have been extensively debated, with several cultural and socio-economic 11 reasons advanced (Baldwin et al., 2018). 12

# 13 Current guidelines on elective oocyte cryopreservation

International guidelines generally support elective oocyte cryopreservation as a technique but 14 recommend that it should be used with caution. The ESHRE Ethics taskforce paper on oocyte 15 16 cryopreservation for age related fertility loss states: 'It is concluded that the arguments against 17 allowing this application of the technology are not convincing' (Dondorp et al., 2012).' However, they stress the need, 'for adequate information of women interested in oocyte cryopreservation, to avoid 18 19 raising false hopes. The message is that a women's best chances of having a healthy child are through natural reproduction at a relative early age.' Recent guidance from the American Society 20 for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) states: 'The Committee concludes that planned oocyte 21 22 cryopreservation may allow women who, in earlier times, would have faced infertility and childlessness to potentially have a child to whom they are genetically linked. Planned oocyte 23 cryopreservation is an ethically permissible medical treatment that may enhance women's 24 reproductive autonomy and promote social equality' (Ethics Committee of the American Society 25 for Reproductive Medicine, 2018). A recent RCOG Scientific Opinion piece on the topic stated that 26 27 elective oocyte cryopreservation provided an opportunity for women to mitigate the decline in their fertility with age, but highlighted that women undertaking oocyte cryopreservation should only do 28 so with a full understanding of the likelihood of success, as well as costs and risks (Anderson et al., 29 30 2020**)**.

# 31 Debates over elective oocyte cryopreservation

Elective oocyte cryopreservation has caused some controversy and the ethical acceptability of the 32 practice has been discussed. It can be seen as a useful procedure that can extend women's fertility 33 options and in doing so enhance the individual's reproductive autonomy. The level of evidence of 34 harm needed to justify restricting reproductive choices should be higher than the level needed to 35 justify the restriction of less important choices. Further, reproductive choices are a very important, 36 central aspect of peoples' lives and allowing people to exercise them is a good in itself (Jackson, 37 38 <u>2006</u>). It has been argued that non-medical oocyte cryopreservation can alleviate the gender inequality 39

created by women and men having different age-related biological fertility decline, by allowing women to extend their reproductive years. In their summary of the arguments for elective oocyte cryopreservation the ASRM state: 'Planned oocyte cryopreservation may also promote social justice by reducing the obstacles women currently face because their reproductive window is smaller than men's.' The cost of elective oocyte cryopreservation may conversely increase social inequality as it is only available to women who can afford the significant financial outlay. It is possible that oocyte cryopreservation could be better for any future child, as this technology
 gives people more time to prepare, become financially secure, and women will not rush into

reproducing when they are not ready or they have not met the 'right' partner (<u>Goold and Savulescu</u>,

49 2009). It could reduce the incidence of aneuploidy associated with older motherhood.

As oocyte cryopreservation is acceptable for women who have iatrogenic fertility loss i.e. due to cancer treatment, or other medical fertility problems then, arguably, there are no good reasons for making a distinction between these two groups and any unequal treatment is unfair (<u>Dondorp and</u>

53 <u>De Wert, 2009</u>).

73

74

75

76

77

78

79 80

81

There have been a number of objections to elective oocyte cryopreservation. A key objection is 54 that it further medicalises reproduction and could lead to greater commercialisation of 55 56 reproduction, with the use of inappropriate high-pressure sales practices. However, both ESHRE and ASRM have concluded that elective oocyte cryopreservation does not produce substantial 57 harms and therefore there are no convincing arguments (with regards to harms) to restrict its use. 58 59 The RCOG highlighted that women undertaking oocyte cryopreservation should only do so with a full understanding of the likelihood of success, as well as costs and risks (Anderson et al., 2020). It 60 61 also highlighted the need for better education of men as well as women on the impact of age-

62 related changes in female fertility.

# 63 Issues with elective oocyte cryopreservation

64 There are a number of issues that need to be considered when offering elective oocyte 65 cryopreservation services:

- Success rates, i.e. the likelihood of achieving a pregnancy after thawing and the effects of
   the woman's age when using the oocytes; Elective oocyte cryopreservation had a very high
   cumulative live birth rate (CLBR) for those who froze before they were 35 years old
   approaching 95% provided sufficient oocytes were obtained (with a 43% CLBR from 10
   oocytes) (<u>Cobo et al., 2018</u>). However, a maximum CLBR of 50% was achieved by those who
   froze when they were over 35 (with CLBR of 25% with 10 oocytes frozen). Thus, age at
   cryopreservation is key and patients should be made aware of this.
  - Likelihood of using the oocytes; There are limited data on this (<u>Alteri *et al.*, 2019</u>). Cobo et al (2018) reported that 12.1% of women returned to use their oocytes, with a mean storage time of 2.1 years (<u>Cobo *et al.*, 2018</u>). Stoop et al (2015) found that 29.2% of women indicated that they currently consider the use of frozen oocytes less likely than anticipated at time of oocyte pick up (<u>Stoop *et al.*, 2015</u>).
    - **Medical harms**; Oocyte pick-up is not without risks to the woman. These risks will be low as women electively cryopreserving oocytes are likely to be healthy.
    - **Obstetric risks;** Importantly, there are risks of delaying childbirth due to older age at time of pregnancy. These risks particularly increase after the age of 45 (<u>Aoyama *et al.*, 2019</u>).
- Long-term data: Studies on the long-term effects on both safety and efficacy of
   cryopreserved oocytes are lacking due to the relative novelty of these techniques. Safety
   is unclear (add more details from the oocyte cryopreservation section) no long-term
   studies.
- Patient perception; Elective oocyte cryopreservation is often perceived (and marketed) as
   a form of insurance, and this could give women a false sense of security and alter behaviour
   (i.e. encourage women to delay childbearing in the belief they will be able to have children
   from their stored oocytes).
- 90 **Risks to the future child**; There could be long-term consequences of oocyte 91 cryopreservation on health of the child and possible, as yet unspecified, psychological 92 effects.
- Funding of these procedures; It is unlikely that these 'elective' techniques for healthy women will be funded by state health provision/insurance. Funding availability will depend on the healthcare system, but it is unlikely that any system will provide adequate funding for all those who might want to access elective oocyte cryopreservation. Women should

97 be informed of all costs involved, including for ongoing storage and later use of their 98 oocytes.

Ethics; There are also ethical issues raised by companies offering to pay for women to cryopreserve their oocytes, such as coercion and manipulation, that might make women may feel that they are not able to take time off to have children (<u>Goldman and Grifo, 2016</u>).

# 102 Consent and counselling procedures

The ASRM (2018) stresses that women should be told about the novelty of the procedure and uncertainties surrounding it (2018). Psychological counselling (in addition to counselling specific to FP) is usually offered to fertility patients and should be routinely offered to those considering elective oocyte cryopreservation.

- 107 There is a clear need to make sure consent processes are robust, so women are aware of:
- Success rates in general and for each stage of the procedure (e.g. success rates for successful oocyte pick-up, storage, thawing and pregnancy rates)
- Novelty of the procedure
- Long-term storage (cost, regulations, usage of stored material, continuation of storage)
- Psychological aspects of the process
- What the procedure involves
- Possible obstetric complications of delayed pregnancy
- Information on the destiny of remaining stored material

# 116 Conclusion

Elective oocyte cryopreservation is recognised internationally as an acceptable option to offer women with appropriate cautions and safeguards

### 117 Recommendation

Women considering elective oocyte cryopreservation should be		
fully informed regarding the success rates, risks, benefits, costs and	STRONG	<b>~</b> ~~~~
the possible long-term consequences, both in terms of physical and	STRUNG	<b>#000</b>
psychological health.		

### 118

Suitability should be determined on a case-by-case basis. GPP

### 119 Justification

Although several organizations have published statements on the acceptability of elective oocyte cryopreservation, controversy still exist on whether it should be offered and to whom. The GDG felt there is insufficient data and arguments for strong statements on the latter and decided to recommend determining suitability on a case-by-case basis. Regarding counselling and information provision for women considering elective oocyte cryopreservation, there seems to be a wide acceptance, even though only supported by consensus statements.

# 126 Research recommendation

Future research: data should be collected on numbers of women who return to use their frozen oocytes and pregnancy and live birth rates. The psychological benefits of having frozen oocytes should also be explored, as it could be argued that fertility is preserved even if the oocytes are never used. It could also be explored if better education of both men and women about reproductive lifespan would affect the usage or perceptions of elective pocyte coveres eviction.

131 reproductive lifespan would affect the usage or perceptions of elective oocyte cryopreservation

### 133 References

- 134Alteri A, Pisaturo V, Nogueira D, D'Angelo A. Elective egg freezing without medical indications. Acta obstetricia et135gynecologica Scandinavica 2019;98: 647-652.
- Anderson RA, Davies MC, Lavery SA, Royal College of O, Gynaecologists. Elective Egg Freezing for Non-Medical Reasons:
   Scientific Impact Paper No. 63. *BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology* 2020.
- Aoyama K, Pinto R, Ray JG, Hill AD, Scales DC, Lapinsky SE, Hladunewich MA, Seaward GR, Fowler RA. Association of Maternal Age With Severe Maternal Morbidity and Mortality in Canada. *JAMA network open* 2019;2: e199875.
- Baldwin K, Culley L, Hudson N, Mitchell H. Running out of time: exploring women's motivations for social egg freezing.
   *Journal of psychosomatic obstetrics and gynaecology* 2018: 1-8.
- 142 Cobo A, Garcia-Velasco J, Domingo J, Pellicer A, Remohi J. Elective and Onco-fertility preservation: factors related to IVF 143 outcomes. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 2222-2231.
- 144 Dondorp W, de Wert G, Pennings G, Shenfield F, Devroey P, Tarlatzis B, Barri P, Diedrich K. Oocyte cryopreservation for age-145 related fertility loss. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2012;27: 1231-1237.
- 146Dondorp WJ, De Wert GM. Fertility preservation for healthy women: ethical aspects. Human reproduction (Oxford, England)1472009;24: 1779-1785.
- Ethics Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Planned oocyte cryopreservation for women seeking
   to preserve future reproductive potential: an Ethics Committee opinion. *Fertility and sterility* 2018;110: 1022-1028.
- Goldman KN, Grifo JA. Elective oocyte cryopreservation for deferred childbearing. *Current opinion in endocrinology, diabetes, and obesity* 2016;23: 458-464.
- 152 Goold I, Savulescu J. In favour of freezing eggs for non-medical reasons. *Bioethics* 2009;23: 47-58.
- 153Jackson E. Rethinking the preconception welfare principle. In Horsey K and Biggs H (eds) Human Fertilisation and154Embryology: Reproducing Regulation. 2006. Routledge-Cavendish, London.
- 155 OECD Family Database. <u>http://www.oecd.org/els/family/database.htm</u>.
- 156 Stoop D, Maes E, Polyzos NP, Verheyen G, Tournaye H, Nekkebroeck J. Does oocyte banking for anticipated gamete
- 157 exhaustion influence future relational and reproductive choices? A follow-up of bankers and non-bankers. *Human*
- 158 reproduction (Oxford, England) 2015;30: 338-344.
- 159

# <sup>1</sup> D5. Embryo cryopreservation

Embryo cryopreservation is an established clinical method in medically assisted reproduction 2 (MAR), and it was the only clinical method for fertility preservation (FP) of adult women for many 3 years (Lee et al., 2006). A paradigm shift occurred in 2013 with the recognition that oocyte 4 cryopreservation through vitrification was an additional clinical option for FP and has become the 5 dominant approach for adult women (Loren et al., 2013, 2013, Yasmin et al., 2018). A key aspect is 6 7 that as the embryos belong to the couple contributing the oocyte and sperm, a woman who 8 separates from her previous partner may not be able to use the embryos later for attempting pregnancy. Counseling for FP should therefore include a discussion on those specific aspects, 9 hence women with partner may have the option to undergo oocyte cryopreservation or to split their 10 oocytes between cryopreservation of oocytes and cryopreservation of embryos (Rodriguez-11 Wallberg et al., 2019). 12

The worldwide increasing use of embryo cryopreservation procedures indicate robust safety and 13 efficacy of this procedure in women undergoing treatment for infertility (De Geyter et al., 2018). 14 Several large studies of the health of the children born after transfer of cryopreserved embryos 15 16 have been conducted. In general, studies of children conceived through MAR indicate that the children may have elevated risks for adverse birth outcomes, although the absolute risk is small 17 (Goisis et al., 2019). It is also likely that some of the increased risks identified are related to the 18 subfertility of the couple rather than the medical interventions (Goisis et al., 2019). The most recent 19 20 meta-analysis including data on nearly 300,000 children born through MAR treatments collected from 26 studies indicates reduced risks of prematurity, low birth weight and small for gestational 21 age in children born after transfer of cryopreserved embryos, compared to children born after fresh 22 embryo transfers (Maheshwari et al., 2018). However, increased risks of being large for gestational 23 age, having a birth weight >4000 g and also a higher risk of hypertensive disorders during 24 pregnancy were present in the cryopreservation group (Maheshwari et al., 2018). Two recent 25 studies using Danish and Swedish population-based registries have reported excess risks for 26 children born after transfer of frozen embryos, but not after the transfer of fresh embryos 27 28 (Hargreave et al., 2019, Rodriguez-Wallberg et al., 2020).

Whereas data on reproductive outcomes of embryo cryopreservation in infertile couples are extensive, data on outcomes after embryo cryopreservation for FP are still scarce. The population of women undergoing FP is also more complex than the infertile population, who are otherwise generally healthy, due to the wide range of indications for FP from oncologic indications when a gonadotoxic treatment is needed, to a broad spectrum of benign diseases or genetic conditions predisposing to premature ovarian insufficiency (POI).

Current international consensus recommends attempting embryo cryopreservation before gonadotoxic treatment starts. A few reports of embryo banking after chemotherapy initiation indicate good embryo morphology and kinetics (<u>Dolmans *et al.*</u>, 2005, <u>Rossi *et al.*</u>, 2011</u>), however data on usage of such embryos in pregnancy attempts are minimal (<u>Nakajima *et al.*</u>, 2015).

# 39 PICO QUESTION: IS EMBRYO CRYOPRESERVATION EFFECTIVE AND SAFE FOR FERTILITY40 PRESERVATION?

# 41 Embryo stage at time of cryopreservation and method of 42 cryopreservation

Data on usage and outcomes of embryos cryopreserved for fertility preservation are scarce and
 the available evidence comes exclusively from MAR treatments for infertility.

45 A recent meta-analysis of cryopreservation in MAR evaluated the efficacy of vitrification vs slow-

- 46 freezing for embryo cryopreservation, pooling data from IVF/ICSI studies (<u>Rienzi *et al.*, 2017</u>). The
- 47 data suggest that vitrification/warming may be superior to slow-freeze/thawing, regarding clinical

48 outcomes. The CPR per embryo transfer (N=488), combining data from 3 RCTs, was significantly 49 higher after vitrification than slow-freeze (RR 1.51; 95%Cl 1.03-2.23). Data analyzed per cycle

50 indicated a borderline statistical significance (RR 1.89; 95% Cl 1.00-3.58). However, in the same meta-

analysis, the data compiled from 13 cohort studies and additional sub-analyses of only cleavage

embryos or only blastocysts did not confirm these differences (<u>Rienzi *et al.*, 2017</u>). A significantly higher LBR per cycle has been previously reported in one RCT after transfer of vitrified vs slow-

higher LBR per cycle has been previously reported in one RCT after transfer of vitrified vs slow freeze cleavage stage embryos (RR 2.28; 95% Cl 1.17-4.44), but only of borderline significance when

analyzed per transfer (<u>Debrock *et al.*, 2015</u>).

# 56 Effect of age or previous gonadotoxic treatment

57 In women attempting FP by embryo cryopreservation, a negative effect of increasing age and/or 58 previous cancer treatment in the outcome of FP cycles is expected. Studies of embryo 59 cryopreservation for FP have shown that women who are older require higher gonadotropin doses 60 for ovarian stimulation, as do women who undergo cryopreservation cycles after chemotherapy 61 treatment (Dolmans *et al.* 2005, Pedriauez, Wallborg *et al.* 2010)

61 treatment (Dolmans *et al.*, 2005, Rodriguez-Wallberg *et al.*, 2019).

# Efficacy of cryopreserved embryos for fertility preservation and usage rates

64 The usage of embryos cryopreserved for fertility preservation has been investigated in several

65 studies, nearly all have been retrospective, and some have covered extensive periods of time. A 66 common feature in these studies is the small number of women who have returned to attempt

67 pregnancy.

68 An American cohort study compared embryo usage by 222 women with a cancer diagnosis but no

69 diagnosis of infertility who had cryopreserved embryos between 2004 and 2009 vs 48 women who

had cancer and infertility and 68 infertile controls without cancer. The usage rates reported were
10.8%, 31.3% and 85.3%, respectively (<u>Luke *et al.*, 2016</u>). Women with cancer also waited longer to

return compared to the control group (Luke et al., 2016). Another retrospective study covering 15

- years of FP in the UK reported on 42 women attempting to cryopreserve embryos, of whom 39 women succeeded (<u>Barcroft *et al.*</u>, 2013). Five women returned to undergo FET cycles and 2 live
- 75 births were obtained (LBR 22% per replacement cycle, but only 4.8% per woman initiating

treatment), whereas 3 women conceived naturally (7.1%), 2 couples separated (4.8%) and 14 women
 discarded their embryos (33%). At the time of the report most women still had embryos stored

- 78 (<u>Barcroft *et al.*, 2013</u>).
- In a French multicenter study 14 centers reported 56 cycles aiming at embryo banking between 79 80 1999-2011. Indications included cancer in about 70% of the cases and benign diseases in the 81 remaining (Courbiere et al., 2013). A mean of 4 embryos were frozen per cycle, with 60% of embryos frozen at 2PN zygote stage, 20% at cleavage stage and 2% at blastocyst stage. Ten couples 82 83 returned to use their embryos and 25 embryos were transferred resulting in CPR of 36% and LBR 84 of 27% per couple (Courbiere et al., 2013). A Belgian study of 52 women who cryopreserved 85 embryos for FP between 1997 and 2014 reported that 23% of women returned to use their embryos. 86 Nine women underwent FET and 6 pregnancies were obtained, with a LBR per patient of 44%, or 11.5% per woman in the cohort (Dolmans et al., 2015). 87
- 88 A UK study reported on 22 women that attempted pregnancy using cryopreserved embryos from a cohort of 531 women undergoing FP over a 15-year period. Although the number of retrieved 89 90 oocytes was lower in women with gynecologic malignancies compared with those with hematologic malignancies or breast cancer, the fertilization rate and the number of cycles 91 cancelled was similar between the groups. A mean of 7.5 embryos was cryopreserved per cycle, 92 93 using slow-freeze methods. The PR per transfer cycle was 43.75% and CPR per patient was 54.5% but the miscarriage rate was high resulting in a LBR per patient of 22.7% (Alvarez and Ramanathan, 94 <u>2018</u>). 95

96 A Swedish prospective study of 562 adult women who had undergone embryo or oocyte cryopreservation for medical indications over a 20-year period, found a return rate of 27% of 97 patients, regardless of benign or malignant indication (Rodriguez-Wallberg et al., 2019). The return 98 rate of women who had completed at least one-year follow-up after FP through embryo 99 cryopreservation was 29%, with CPR and CLBR of 66% and 54%, respectively. However, a 100 significantly lower CLBR after warming cycles was found in women with previous oncologic 101 indication vs women that underwent FP for benign indications (LBR 21% vs. 47%) (Rodriguez-102 Wallberg et al., 2019). The age of the women who returned was also significantly higher in the group 103 104 with an oncologic indication vs benign indication at time of attempting pregnancy. These data are consistent with a large retrospective study of usage of vitrified oocytes showing a lower CLBR in 105 80 women with previous oncologic indication vs 641 women wo underwent elective oocyte 106 vitrification (41.1 vs 68.8%, respectively) (Cobo et al., 2018). 107

# 108 Choice of cryopreservation of oocytes versus embryos.

109 The comparison of oocyte versus embryo cryopreservation is discussed in section D3. Oocyte 110 cryopreservation.

# 111 Safety and risks

112 Fertility preservation cycles should be considered only in women with no obvious contraindication

for ovarian stimulation and/or oocyte pick-up. The risks associated with ovarian stimulation are discussed in the section D2. Ovarian Stimulation .

# 115 Recommendation

Embryo cryopreservation	is an	established	option	for	fertility	STRONG	
preservation.						STRONG	

### 116

Women should be informed about the risk of losing reproductive autonomy and possible issues with ownership of stored embryos.

### 117

Women should be informed of accurate, centre-specific expertise and live birth rates. They should also be informed that success rates after cryopreservation of embryos at the time of a cancer diagnosis may be lower than in women without cancer.

### 118 Justification

Embryo cryopreservation is an established technique in infertile couples, and it seems to be effective 119 and safe for women undergoing FP. Regarding efficacy, live births after embryo cryopreservation for 120 FP have been reported, but long-term follow-up data of the children born are not available. The risks 121 associated with embryo cryopreservation are linked to ovarian stimulation and oocyte pick-up, and as 122 such are likely to be similar to the risks of oocyte cryopreservation. The decision on whether to apply 123 embryo or oocyte cryopreservation should be based on considerations of ownership of the resulting 124 embryos and on the success rates of the lab. Furthermore, local legislation will need to be considered, 125 and possible issues with ownership of embryos (as discussed in section D3. Oocyte cryopreservation). 126 The GDG decided to formulate a good practice point recommending women to be informed of the 127

128 latter risks regarding ownership.

### 129 References

- Alvarez RM, Ramanathan P. Fertility preservation in female oncology patients: the influence of the type of cancer on ovarian
- 131 stimulation response. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 2051-2059.

- Barcroft J, Dayoub N, Thong KJ. Fifteen year follow-up of embryos cryopreserved in cancer patients for fertility preservation.
   Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics 2013;30: 1407-1413.
- 134 Cobo A, Garcia-Velasco J, Domingo J, Pellicer A, Remohi J. Elective and Onco-fertility preservation: factors related to IVF 135 outcomes. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 2222-2231.
- Courbiere B, Decanter C, Bringer-Deutsch S, Rives N, Mirallie S, Pech JC, De Ziegler D, Carre-Pigeon F, May-Panloup P, Sifer
   C et al. Emergency IVF for embryo freezing to preserve female fertility: a French multicentre cohort study. Human
   reproduction (Oxford, England) 2013;28: 2381-2388.
- 139 De Geyter C, Calhaz-Jorge C, Kupka MS, Wyns C, Mocanu E, Motrenko T, Scaravelli G, Smeenk J, Vidakovic S, Goossens V
- 140 et al. ART in Europe, 2014: results generated from European registries by ESHRE: The European IVF-monitoring Consortium
- (EIM) for the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE). *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 1586-1601.
- Debrock S, Peeraer K, Fernandez Gallardo E, De Neubourg D, Spiessens C, D'Hooghe TM. Vitrification of cleavage stage day
   3 embryos results in higher live birth rates than conventional slow freezing: a RCT. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2015;30: 1820-1830.
- 146 Dolmans MM, Demylle D, Martinez-Madrid B, Donnez J. Efficacy of in vitro fertilization after chemotherapy. *Fertility and* 147 *sterility* 2005;83: 897-901.
- Dolmans MM, Hollanders de Ouderaen S, Demylle D, Pirard C. Utilization rates and results of long-term embryo cryopreservation before gonadotoxic treatment. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2015;32: 1233-1237.
- 150 Goisis A, Remes H, Martikainen P, Klemetti R, Myrskyla M. Medically assisted reproduction and birth outcomes: a within-151 family analysis using Finnish population registers. *Lancet* 2019;393: 1225-1232.
- Hargreave M, Jensen A, Hansen MK, Dehlendorff C, Winther JF, Schmiegelow K, Kjaer SK. Association Between Fertility
   Treatment and Cancer Risk in Children. JAMA 2019;322: 2203-2210.
- Lee SJ, Schover LR, Partridge AH, Patrizio P, Wallace WH, Hagerty K, Beck LN, Brennan LV, Oktay K, American Society of
   Clinical O. American Society of Clinical Oncology recommendations on fertility preservation in cancer patients. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2006;24: 2917-2931.
- Loren AW, Mangu PB, Beck LN, Brennan L, Magdalinski AJ, Partridge AH, Quinn G, Wallace WH, Oktay K. Fertility preservation for patients with cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2013;31: 2500-2510.
- Luke B, Brown MB, Spector LG, Stern JE, Smith YR, Williams M, Koch L, Schymura MJ. Embryo banking among women
   diagnosed with cancer: a pilot population-based study in New York, Texas, and Illinois. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2016;33: 667-674.
- Maheshwari A, Pandey S, Amalraj Raja E, Shetty A, Hamilton M, Bhattacharya S. Is frozen embryo transfer better for mothers
   and babies? Can cumulative meta-analysis provide a definitive answer? *Human reproduction update* 2018;24: 35-58.
- 165 Nakajima Y, Kuwabara H, Kishimoto K, Numata A, Motohashi K, Tachibana T, Tanaka M, Yamashita N, Ishigatsubo Y, Fujisawa
- S. Successful pregnancy and delivery via in vitro fertilization with cryopreserved and thawed embryo transfer in an acute
- myeloid leukemia patient after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. International journal of hematology 2015;101: 417 420.
- 169 Practice Committee of American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Fertility preservation in patients undergoing 170 gonadotoxic therapy or gonadectomy: a committee opinion. *Fertility and sterility* 2013;100: 1214-1223.
- Rienzi L, Gracia C, Maggiulli R, LaBarbera AR, Kaser DJ, Ubaldi FM, Vanderpoel S, Racowsky C. Oocyte, embryo and
   blastocyst cryopreservation in ART: systematic review and meta-analysis comparing slow-freezing versus vitrification to
   produce evidence for the development of global guidance. *Human reproduction update* 2017;23: 139-155.
- Rodriguez-Wallberg KA, Lundberg FE, Ekberg S, Johansson ALV, Ludvigsson JF, Almqvist C, Cnattingius S, Iliadou AN.
   Mortality from infancy to adolescence in singleton children conceived from assisted reproductive techniques versus naturally conceived singletons in Sweden. *Fertility and sterility* 2020;113: 524-532.
- Rodriguez-Wallberg KA, Marklund A, Lundberg F, Wikander I, Milenkovic M, Anastacio A, Sergouniotis F, Wanggren K,
   Ekengren J, Lind T *et al.* A prospective study of women and girls undergoing fertility preservation due to oncologic and non oncologic indications in Sweden-Trends in patients' choices and benefit of the chosen methods after long-term follow up.
   *Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica* 2019;98: 604-615.
- 181 Rossi BV, Ashby RK, Srouji SS. Embryo banking between induction and consolidation chemotherapy in women with
- 182 leukemia. *Fertility and sterility* 2011;96: 1412-1414.
- Yasmin E, Balachandren N, Davies MC, Jones GL, Lane S, Mathur R, Webber L, Anderson RA. Fertility preservation for
   medical reasons in girls and women: British fertility society policy and practice guideline. *Human fertility (Cambridge, England)* 2018;21: 3-26.
- 186

# <sup>1</sup> D6. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation

2 Ovarian tissue cryopreservation (OTC) can be offered as an alternative to preserve fertility in young patients at risk of premature ovarian insufficiency (POI). Clinical application was supported by large 3 animal experimentations in the 1990's demonstrating the efficacy of the procedure to restore 4 ovarian function and fertility (Anderson and Baird, 2019). OTC is still considered as experimental in 5 many countries, and legislations and regulations vary. However, recently the American Society for 6 7 Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) suggested to consider it as an established option for selected 8 patients (Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (2019). The OTC technique has the advantages of being feasible within a short time frame in both post-9 and pre-pubertal patients and does not require any preceding drug treatment. The success of the 10 procedure was demonstrated several years after first storage (Donnez et al., 2004), and its use as 11 12 an alternative to oocyte/embryo cryopreservation (or in combination) has developed rapidly over the last 2 decades. 13 The procedure of OTC requires high quality control assurance including specific laboratory training 14 distinct from that in 'standard' ART and an appropriate medical environment involving 15

distinct from that in 'standard' ART and an appropriate medical environment involving multidisciplinary teams (<u>Andersen *et al.*, 2018</u>). Furthermore, there are specific regulatory aspects relating to tissue rather than gamete storage, and in some countries, ethical committee approval is required, for children, adults or both. Regarding regulatory-legal issues, the procedure has a complex dual nature as "endocrine organ" and "gamete" storage. While organ transplant legislation is usually applied, issues related to ART may also have to be considered, depending on the specific legal situation in the country.

Although research in this field is developing rapidly, the only current option to restore fertility by using cryopreserved ovarian tissue remains ovarian tissue transplantation (OTT). The limitations of OTT are also discussed in this chapter. After OTT, patients can attempt natural conception, or standard ART procedures can be applied. If OTT fails, a second and third tissue replacement can be performed (<u>Gellert *et al.*</u>, 2018).

# PICO QUESTION: SHOULD OVARIAN TISSUE CRYOPRESERVATION VERSUS NO INTERVENTION BE USED FOR FERTILITY PRESERVATION?

# 29 Success rates in patients with cancer and benign conditions

Patient selection criteria and indications vary by centre offering OTC. Formalised recommendations 30 regarding the indications for OTC, i.e. the Edinburgh criteria, included patients younger than 35 31 32 years old with >50% of risk of chemotherapy-induced ovarian failure, no previous gonadotoxic treatment, no surgical contraindication and a realistic chance of survival (Anderson and Wallace, 33 2011, Wallace et al., 2014). Very similar criteria are supported in a recent review (Donnez and 34 Dolmans, 2017). In US, the Oncofertility consortium consensus statement recommends the 35 36 procedure for patients aged up to 42 years who could or did not want to cryopreserve oocytes or embryos (Backhus et al., 2007). OTC has been performed in patients aged up to 40 or even 49 years 37 38 by others (Lotz et al., 2016, Jadoul et al., 2017, Karavani et al., 2018). However, pregnancies have been rarely observed when OTC is performed in women older than 35 years and none have been 39 40 reported after 38 years (Gellert et al., 2018). One paper has compared the reproductive outcomes after OTC with oocyte cryopreservation and confirmed the superiority of oocyte vitrification for 41 patients over 36 years old. In that study, none of the patients over 36 years old at the time of OTC 42 achieved pregnancy while 30% of the patients who achieved pregnancy after using vitrified oocytes 43 were older than 36 years old at the time of FP procedure (Diaz-Garcia et al., 2018). The study 44 45 reported similar success rates in terms of fertility restoration for OTC and oocyte vitrification in younger patients. 46

47 More than 80% of the patients referred for OTC are patients scheduled to receive gonadotoxic 48 therapy, i.e. chemotherapy or radiotherapy for cancers, including haematological (lymphoma or leukaemia) and solid malignancies (breast cancer, sarcoma) (Anderson and Wallace, 2011, Gellert 49 50 et al., 2018). Other indications include benign conditions that potentially affect the ovarian reserve either due to the disease itself, such as genetic disorders (Turner syndrome, galactosaemia), or due 51 to gonadotoxic treatments, such as alkylating agents for autoimmune disorders (systemic lupus 52 erythematous [SLE]) or as a conditioning regimen before haematopoietic stem cell transplantation 53 (HSCT) (in sickle cell anaemia, thalassaemia)(Condorelli and Demeestere, 2019). 54 The advantages of OTC include the possibility to restore natural ovarian function (including non-55

56 reproductive endocrine effects) after ovarian tissue transplantation (OTT) and to achieve (several) natural pregnancies without further medical intervention. By late 2018, a total of 131 pregnancies 57 58 have been reported in the literature, resulting in 93 children born (Gellert et al., 2018). More than 59 85% of the patients showed restored ovarian function within an average period of 4 months after 60 tissue transplantation (range from 1-8 months). The success rate of OTC -defined as at least one 61 child per transplanted patient- was estimated to be around 40% (Pacheco and Oktav, 2017, Gellert et al., 2018). In contrast to established MAR research practice, data are not generally presented per 62 63 patient starting the intervention (i.e. from the time OTC is first discussed). Overall, the usage rate of cryopreserved ovarian tissue remains low (Diaz-Garcia et al., 2018, Hoekman et al., 2020) but may 64

65 increase with time.

# 66 The ovarian tissue cryopreservation (OTC) procedure

Either an ovarian cortex biopsy (the location of the primordial follicle pool) or one whole ovary can 67 68 be retrieved at any time during the menstrual cycle and the cortex cryopreserved for future restoration of ovarian function. Where needed, the surgery can be performed in the referring 69 70 hospital and the ovarian tissue transported (1 to 20h) under strict conditions to a qualified fertility clinic laboratory/tissue bank for processing and cryopreservation (Andersen et al., 2018). A review 71 72 of 455 OTC procedures, for which details on the surgical procedure were available, showed that laparoscopy is the most commonly used approach to collect the tissue, although mini-laparotomy 73 74 was also described in children (Beckmann et al., 2016, Corkum et al., 2017). Several centres perform ovarian biopsy (1/3 to 2/3 of one ovary), while others routinely perform unilateral oophorectomy 75 76 (Beckmann et al., 2016). Oophorectomy by single-incision laparoscopic surgery was shown not to be inferior to standard 2- or 3-port laparoscopy in terms of complication rate, duration of the 77 78 procedure, hospital stay and delay to start chemotherapy (Karavani et al., 2018). Although reducedport laparoscopy is feasible and less invasive, it requires a learning curve and should not be offered 79 80 in case of pelvic diseases such as endometrioma or fibroma (Kikuchi et al., 2013, Karavani et al., 2018). As such, this technique can be offered by trained surgeons in the absence of pelvic disease. 81 82 For ovarian biopsy, large fragments of cortex at a distance from the hilum and from any large visible 83 follicles or corpus luteum should be harvested and careful haemostasis should be achieved after tissue removal (Corkum et al., 2017). An advantage is to maintain two ovarian sites for future 84 transplantation and to limit the invasiveness of the procedure, given the uncertainty over loss of 85 ovarian function from the proposed chemotherapy in many cases. While there is no evidence that 86 having one ovary affects the fertility potential of patients who recover normal ovarian function after 87 88 OTC (Schmidt et al., 2013), population-based data have shown that the time to menopause is shortened in women who underwent unilateral oophorectomy compared to controls (adjusted 89 relative risk [RR] 1.27; 95% CI 1.14-1.41) (Bielland et al., 2014). There are no comparable data relating 90

91 to women who have undergone chemotherapy in addition to unilateral oophorectomy. If the 92 remaining ovary remains functional, another risk is the possibility of inducing POI if any 93 gynaecological disease such as ovarian torsion, endometriosis, or borderline tumour is later 94 diagnosed and requires radical surgery.

No difference in the complication rate has been reported between the two approaches (<u>Corkum et</u>
 <u>al., 2017</u>). Overall, complications related to OTC procedures are rarely reported irrespective of the
 technique. In a large series of 545 cases of OTC, five minor complications and one major event were

reported (<u>Jadoul *et al.*, 2017</u>). In another cohort of 225 patients, one severe complication was
 reported during anaesthesia, leading to the patient's death (<u>Imbert *et al.*, 2014</u>).

At the laboratory, the tissue is dissected under sterile conditions to obtain small fragments of cortex 100 of around 1 mm thickness (< 2mm is required for effective cryopreservation). The large majority of 101 primordial follicles are detected at less than 1mm below the surface of the cortex and the 102 103 localization does not change with age in adults, although some primordial/primary follicles were found at 1.5 mm depth in POI patients (Haino et al., 2018). Each fragment can be cryopreserved 104 individually for long-term storage using slow-freezing or vitrification techniques (these options are 105 106 discussed in detail below). Analysis of tissue stored for 18 years showed that long storage did not affect follicular morphology and survival (Fabbri et al., 2016a). Cryopreserved ovarian tissue using 107 the slow-freezing procedure and stored for more than 14 years has been transplanted with success 108 109 (Gellert et al., 2018).

# 110 The ovarian tissue transplantation (OTT) procedure

Ovarian tissue transplantation (OTT) can be performed at heterotopic and/or orthotopic sites. 111 Orthotopic transplantation into the remaining ovary, broad ligament, or ovarian peritoneal pocket is 112 the most common procedure (Gellert et al., 2018). There is no evidence for the superiority of one 113 orthotopic site over the others in terms of endocrine and reproductive outcomes and they are often 114 115 combined. The evidence is from case series and reports thus comparisons (in the absence of patient-specific issues) have little validity. After auto-transplantation of ovarian tissue at an 116 orthotopic site, more than 60% of pregnancies occurred after natural conception in patients treated 117 118 for cancer or benign conditions (Gellert et al., 2018). Pregnancies obtained after transplantation at the peritoneal site usually required IVF treatment (Gellert et al., 2018), although it is unclear whether 119 patient/medical preference contributed to that. For patients with specific ovarian risks (such as 120 BRCA mutation carriers), the decision regarding the site of transplantation should also take into 121 consideration the need to remove the grafted ovary after pregnancy (Lambertini et al., 2018). 122 123 Transplantation at the heterotopic site, such as subcutaneously in the forearm or to the abdominal wall, is less invasive and efficient to restore endocrine function (Bystrova et al., 2019). However, only 124 125 one live birth has been reported so far after transplantation to the anterior abdominal wall (Stern et 126 al., 2013, Stern et al., 2014).

Patients who succeeded in conceiving after OTT were younger at OTC than those who did not (26.4 127 128 ± 6.3 versus 29.6 ± 5.4 years) (Gellert et al., 2018). Another review (based on similar studies and reports) showed no significant difference in age between patients with restored ovarian function or 129 not (28.5 ± 6.0 versus 31.0 ± 10.0 years) (Pacheco and Oktay, 2017). Other factors that could affect the 130 success rate after OTT are the amount of transplanted tissue and the follicular density (Poirot et al., 131 2019). One group has described criteria based on the ovarian reserve under which OTC should not 132 be performed, considering the unfavourable risk/benefit balance. These criteria are based on the 133  $5^{\text{th}}$  centile of AMH and AFC in cancer patients younger than 35 years (0.4ng/ml and 5 visible 134 follicles, respectively) (Paradisi et al., 2016). 135

The mean graft longevity from the time of OTT was 24.9 months but with large variation (range 4-144 months). Up to 3 pregnancies and live births in an individual patient have been reported in a period of more than 7 years after OTT. There is no generally accepted upper age limit for the OTT procedure, and it has been performed in women up to 47 years old (<u>Gellert *et al.*, 2018</u>), although issues around maternal risks in pregnancy are important and should be considered (see E2. Obstetric outcomes).

Consensus is lacking regarding the amount of the tissue that should be replaced to optimize the chance of pregnancy after OTT. A meta-analysis of 309 OTT procedures in 255 women showed that 1/3 of the ovary was usually used for grafting but also reported that 45 patients required two OTT procedures to achieve pregnancy (<u>Pacheco and Oktay, 2017</u>). A third OTT has been offered in less than 1% of patients (<u>Gellert *et al.*, 2018</u>). The data suggest that sufficient amount of tissue can be obtained from 1 or 2 large biopsies but no evidence of the superiority of any of the approaches in terms of outcomes has been demonstrated, and it is likely that the inherent variation in the follicle

- 149 density between individual women is a major determinant. The decision on the amount of tissue to
- replace should take also into consideration surgical limitations and the amount of tissue available
- 151 for transplantation (surgeon's experience, ovarian transplantation sites). More tissue may be
- required to restore ovarian function in patients with a low ovarian reserve.

# 153 Recommendation

OTC is an effective method for ovarian function and fertility preservation. It is recommended to offer OTC in patients undergoing moderate/high risk gonadotoxic treatment where oocyte/embryo cryopreservation is not feasible, or at patient preference.

154

OTC should probably not be offered to patients with low ovarian reserve (AMH<0.4ng/ml and AFC<5) or aged above 36 years weak considering the unfavourable risk/benefit

# 155 Justification

Based on the reported data of OTC and OTT, summarized in reviews and meta-analysis of observational data, the procedure is effective in restoring fertility with reasonable chances of achieving a live birth (<u>Pacheco and Oktay, 2017</u>, <u>Gellert et al., 2018</u>). Data also suggest that OTC, and more specifically the retrieval of ovarian tissue, is to be considered safe, although general risks of surgery need to be considered. For patients undergoing moderate/high risk gonadotoxic treatment where oocyte/embryo cryopreservation is not feasible, the benefits of OTC seem to outweigh the risks. Patient

- 162 preference could be another factor in decision-making.
- 163 OTC is feasible and acceptable, although the surgeon should acquire the necessary skills, and the lab 164 require specific competence which is not readily available in ART labs, including equipment and 165 specific SOPs. Legal restrictions and/or the need for ethical approval should be considered as well.
- Data on the efficacy of the procedure to restore fertility have shown that there is a significant impact of the age of the patient and the ovarian reserve. Regarding age, a threshold of 36 years seems appropriate (<u>Gellert et al., 2018</u>). For women over 36 years, oocyte cryopreservation was found to be superior to OTC (<u>Diaz-Garcia et al., 2018</u>). With regards to ovarian reserve, the study from Paradisi and colleagues, provides thresholds for AMH and AFC (<u>Paradisi et al., 2016</u>). For these patients (over 36 years and/or with low ovarian reserve), the risks of the procedure may outweigh the limited benefits,
- and the GDG therefore suggests using other FP interventions.

# 173 Recommendation

The GDG recommends that OTC is considered to be an innovative method for ovarian function and fertility preservation in post-pubertal women.

GPP

€000

# 174 Justification

OTC is considered effective in restoring fertility in post-pubertal patients (Pacheco and Oktay, 2017, 175 Gellert et al., 2018), although the data on technical variability, efficacy and safety are still limited. With 176 data of proof-of-principle, the technique should be categorized as "innovative" but not yet as 177 178 "established" as this would require long-term safety data, and evidence of procedural reliability and high effectiveness, (Provoost et al., 2014). Recommendations for innovative treatments should be 179 followed (Provoost et al., 2014), including monitoring of data, informing patients, and performing the 180 181 procedure only in centres with appropriate expertise. For the latter, recommendations on key technical aspects are outlined below. Before OTC can be considered an established (or standard) procedure, 182 more data should be available, mainly on the effectiveness in restoring fertility and long-term safety 183

184 for patients and their children.

185

[100]

# 186 Key technical aspects of OTC

There It is necessary to have appropriate equipment, quality control and training for the health care team before performing OTC.

Local legal aspects should also be taken into account, including the need for ethical approval.

Laparoscopy caries a low risk (in healthy women) and is considered as the standard surgical procedure to collect the ovarian tissue. However, patients referred for OTC may have an increased risk of surgical complications.

It is possible to perform the laparoscopy in the referring centre and transport the tissue under strict condition for up to 20 hours before processing.

Both unilateral oophorectomy and biopsy are acceptable for collecting ovarian tissue. The choice will depend on the patient characteristics, their scheduled treatments, and available expertise in the centre. In the majority of patients, removal of two-third of the ovarian cortex surface from one ovary is sufficient to achieve pregnancy.

187

# Impact of ongoing treatments and previous history of chemotherapy on OTC procedure

One of the major advantages of OTC compared to oocyte/embryo cryopreservation is the possibility to perform the procedure after starting chemotherapy treatment. Patients may benefit from a first line regimen before OTC or can be referred for OTC before consolidation therapy such as conditioning regimen for HSCT after a limited response to low gonadotoxic regimen such as standard ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine) for Hodgkin lymphoma.

The interval between OTC and the last chemotherapy treatment has to be taken into consideration 195 for the evaluation of ovarian reserve testing. The AMH level dramatically falls within 2 weeks after 196 gonadotoxic treatment, even after low gonadotoxic treatment initiation (ABVD), and recovery takes 197 usually at least 6 months (Peigne and Decanter, 2014). The follicular density in ovarian samples 198 collected before and after first line chemotherapy in young cancer patients was similar and 199 irrespective of the interval between chemotherapy and OTC (from <1month to years) (EL Issaoui et 200 al., 2016). A higher follicle density after ABVD has even been reported in lymphoma patients who 201 performed OTC within an interval of 1 to 36 months after chemotherapy completion (McLaughlin 202 et al., 2017). However, a lower proportion of intact follicles was observed before and after in vitro 203 204 culture when the tissue was previously exposed to chemotherapy (46% and 6% before and after culture in exposed tissue, versus 82% and 28% in non-exposed tissue)(Asadi Azarbaijani et al., 2015). 205 206 After a first-line chemotherapy with higher doses of alkylating agents (median cumulative dose of 207 6100mg/m<sup>2</sup> Cyclophosphamide Equivalent Doses (CED 0-20,840) and 205mg/m<sup>2</sup> Doxorubicin Isotoxic Equivalent doses (DIE 90-450)) in patients aged less than 25 years, a significant effect on 208 the follicular density and atresia has been recently reported, suggesting the need for more data on 209 the efficiency of the procedure in this context (Pampanini et al., 2019). 210

In a recent study in women receiving a first line low gonadotoxic regimen (n=22), there was no difference in ovarian function recovery rate nor pregnancy rate after OTT compared to patients who did not received any treatment before OTC (n=9) (<u>Poirot *et al.*</u>, 2019). Furthermore, there was no difference when OTC was performed >3 months after or during chemotherapy. Nevertheless, additional data are required regarding the safety of OTT using ovarian tissue previously exposed to chemotherapy and the time required for DNA repair or other processes in exposed oocytes.

#### Recommendation 217

Young patients who have already received low gonadotoxic treatment or a previous course of chemotherapy, can be offered OTC as FP option.

WEAK 0000

#### Justification 218

- Although based on a small cohort, results show no effect of previous low gonadotoxic chemotherapy 219
- on ovarian function recovery rate nor pregnancy rate after OTT (Poirot et al., 2019). Furthermore, for 220
- patients who previously received low gonadotoxic treatment, OTC may be their only option for FP. 221

#### OTC in a combined procedure 222

OTC has been combined with oocyte/embryo cryopreservation after ovarian stimulation. In 2 series 223

- reporting a total of 28 patients, ovarian stimulation was performed after OTC to increase the chance 224
- of future pregnancy by additional oocyte cryopreservation (Huober-Zeeb et al., 2011, Dolmans et 225
- al., 2014). Ovarian stimulation was started between 1-2 days before and 1-3 days after laparoscopy. 226
- The authors did not observe any (significant) difference in the duration of stimulation, the number 227
- of oocytes collected, or the number of good quality embryos obtained compared to infertile 228 patients or FP patients who did not have OTC. These studies did not report adverse events. 229
- In another study, OTC was performed on the same day as oocyte pick-up in 14 patients (Dittrich et 230 al., 2013). The authors reported uneventful transvaginal oocyte pick-up in all cases without 231 perioperative bleeding complications. The ovarian grafts had a normal histological appearance and 232 a normal follicular count. Data on outcomes after transplantation are not available. 233
- OTC can be also be associated with ovarian transposition in patients who will be treated with pelvic 234
- irradiation (Aubard et al., 2001). A recent case report showed the combination of OTC with ovarian 235
- 236 transposition and GnRH agonist protection is feasible and effective (with regards to endocrine
- function). Pregnancy data were not reported. Further details on ovarian transposition are covered 237
- in section Dg. Ovarian transposition. 238

#### Recommendations 239

	Ovarian stimulation can be performed immediately after OTC.	WEAK 0000
240		
	OTC at the time of oocyte pick-up after ovarian stimulation should not be performed unless in a research context.	RESEARCH ONLY
241		
	Ovarian transposition can be performed at the same time as OTC in patients who will receive pelvic irradiation	GPP

#### Justification 242

To increase the chances of future pregnancy, OTC can be combined with other FP strategies. The 243 combination of OTC with oocyte cryopreservation seems feasible and effective, but this conclusion is 244 based on very limited data on efficacy, without data of pregnancies or births. 245

- Performing oocyte pick-up on the same day as laparoscopy for OTC (with reducing the need for 246 anaesthesia) also seems to be feasible, but there is even less evidence. As such, this can only be 247 performed in a research context until data (on safety) are available. 248
- Ovarian transposition at the same time of OTC is feasible and theoretically it does not have increased 249 risks in comparison to OTC or ovarian transposition as single therapy. 250
- 251

# 252 OTC for other indications

# 253 Transgender men

Although oocyte or embryo cryopreservation is the recommended FP method in transgender men, OTC can be performed especially as the ovaries removed in gender reassignment surgery can be cryopreserved without the need for further interventions. However, use of the cryopreserved tissue would require replacement in the transman, thus a full discussion should be undertaken. There are no studies evaluating the effectiveness and the safety for later use of cryopreserved ovarian tissue in this population (<u>Baram *et al.*</u>, 2019</u>).

# 260 Recommendation

OTC is not recommended as primary FP procedure in transgender men but can be proposed as an experimental option when ovaries are removed during gender reassignment surgery

# GPP

# 261 Justification

- 262 There are no studies evaluating the effectiveness and the safety of OTC/OTT in transgender men. An
- 263 important consideration in this patient group is the acceptability of ovarian tissue auto-transplantation.
- 264 Based on these considerations, OTC/OTT should not be recommended as an FP method for
- transgender men, when other options are available. In the future, however, stored ovarian tissue may
- be used in combination with in vitro growth and therefore cryopreservation of tissue from ovaries
- removed in gender reassignment surgery can be considered.
- 268

# 269 Genetic disorders

- 270 OTC has been offered also in young patients (often children) with genetic disorders when there is
- an associated risk of POI such as in galactosemia, Turner syndrome and Blepharophimosis, ptosis,
- and epicanthus inversus syndrome (BPES) syndrome. At present, OTT has not been performed to
- attempt to restore fertility in patients with POI-associated genetic disorders. The procedure should
   be offered within a clinical research protocol and requires a multidisciplinary approach including
- 275 genetic counselling (Anderson and Baird, 2019, Condorelli and Demeestere, 2019).
- Allograft between identical sisters has also been reported in the unusual situation where one has
- developed POI. These indications will not be discussed in the present guideline but are at present
- not recommended considering the unfavourable risk/benefit balance compared to other well-
- 279 established alternatives.

# 280 Recommendation

OTT can be considered in patients with POI-associated genetic and chromosomal disorders but requires genetic counselling and should be performed within a research protocol.

# 281 Justification

- 282 To our knowledge, OTT has not been performed to attempt to restore fertility in patients with POI-
- associated genetic disorders. In absence of data on safety or efficacy, such OTC/OTT for these patients should be performed in a research context. For these patients, the risk of transmission of the
- patients should be performed in a research context. For these patients, the risk of transmission
   genetic disease to the offspring is a major concern and genetic counselling is recommended.
- 286

# 287 Other considerations

OTC has also been suggested an option for elective fertility preservation or for delaying the menopause (<u>Yding Andersen *et al.*</u>, 2019</u>); while full discussion of this application is outwith the remit of this guideline, the ethical issues as well as the balance of risk/benefit remain questionable and this approach is currently not recommended. The transplantation of the cryopreserved ovarian tissue for other indications such as pubertal induction and endocrine function restoration has been reported but remains controversial.

# 294 Vitrification versus slow-freezing

The protocol most widely used for ovarian cryopreservation is slow-freezing and rapid thawing of the ovarian tissue. Vitrification has been widely implemented in fertility laboratories as a standard method for cryopreservation of embryos and oocytes and has been suggested as an alternative technique for OTC. Vitrification has several potential advantages, as it avoids cell damage induced by ice formation, it is less time-consuming, and it does not require an expensive controlled rate freezer (<u>Shi *et al.*, 2017</u>). Here we consider the evidence from human and primate studies comparing the two techniques.

# 302 PICO QUESTION: SHOULD VITRIFICATION VERSUS SLOW-FREEZING BE USED FOR OVARIAN 303 TISSUE CRYOPRESERVATION FOR FERTILITY PRESERVATION?

304

The most important parameters for clinical application remain the developmental capacity of the oocytes within follicles grown after auto-transplantation of frozen-thawed tissue, and the clinical outcomes. There are no studies evaluating these criteria where the two techniques are compared.

Published cases of the transplantation of frozen-thawed ovarian tissue were recently summarized. 308 Eighty seven live births were reported, but data on health of the baby were only available for 40 309 births (Gellert et al., 2018). All these children were born healthy, except one who was affected by 310 foetal arthrogryposis. In the review, the authors did not detail the cryopreservation technique. In 311 312 another review, Shi and colleagues identified only two live births using vitrified ovarian tissue (Shi et al., 2017). In the meta-analysis of Pacheco and Oktay (also 2017), 19 reports were included with a 313 total of 309 OTTs in 255 patients. In all live births reported, the tissue was stored using slow-freezing 314 (Pacheco and Oktay, 2017). 315

A recent meta-analysis by Shi compared the efficiency of ovarian tissue vitrification with slow-316 317 freezing (Shi et al., 2017). Fourteen non-randomized studies were included, and four parameters were considered for the analysis: the proportion of intact follicles (10 studies), DNA fragmentation 318 in primordial follicles (6 studies), the proportion of normal stromal cells (6 studies) and the 319 primordial follicle density (3 studies). There was high heterogenicity regarding the protocol for 320 vitrification between studies. The proportion of intact primordial follicles and the follicular density 321 were similar between the two techniques, while DNA damage was less frequently observed in the 322 vitrification group (RR 0.71; 95% CI 0.62-0.80). Stromal cells also showed less damage in the 323 vitrification group (RR 1.69; 95% CI 1.47–1.94). In contrast, Dalman et al. showed that expression of 324 apoptotic markers, excluding CASP3, were significantly higher after vitrification than slow-freezing 325 (245 follicles after vitrification, 175 follicles after slow-freezing, and 272 follicles in control were 326 analysed) (Dalman et al., 2017). Fabbri et al. evaluated mitochondrial activity (not reported in the 327 review) and reported that it was better preserved in the slow-freezing group (Fabbri et al., 2016b). 328

No difference in follicular morphology or viability were observed between vitrified and slowfrozen-thawed ovarian tissue after 8 days of in vitro follicular culture (<u>Wang *et al.*</u>, 2016). After xenotransplantation of human ovarian tissue into mice, no difference in the vascularization or fibrosis were reported between the 2 procedures in most of the studies although there were some discrepancies according to protocols (<u>Rahimi *et al.*</u>, 2010, <u>Amorim *et al.*</u>, 2012, <u>Herraiz *et al.*</u>, 2014, Abir et al., 2017, Loo et al., 2010)

334 Abir *et al.*, 2017, Lee *et al.*, 2019).

[104]

- 335 Experiments in non-human primates showed that secondary follicles and stroma cells were better
- preserved with vitrification compared to slow-freezing (<u>Ting *et al.*, 2011</u>). However, follicular growth
- 337 occurred irrespective of the cryopreservation techniques after long-term grafting (Dolmans et al.,

338 <u>2015</u>).

# 339 Recommendations

The slow-freezing protocol considered as standard.	for	отс	is	well-established	and	STRONG	⊕000

340

Vitrification of ovarian tissue should only be offered within a research program.

# 341 Justification

- The slow-freezing protocol for OTC is considered to be well-established, as it was used in the large majority of data on OTC. Slow-freezing is considered feasible.
- 344 Vitrification of ovarian tissue is a promising technique, supported by technical aspects. However, the
- number of live births after replacement of vitrified tissue is very limited, and there is a lack of consensus
- regarding the optimal protocol. Therefore, the GDG recommends for vitrification of ovarian tissue to be
- 347 performed only in a research-context awaiting further data.

# 348 Replacing ovarian tissue: safety concerns

# 349 PICO QUESTION: WHICH SAFETY ISSUES SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WHEN REPLACING OVARIAN 350 TISSUE?

# 351

At present, reports show that more than 300 patients have had ovarian tissue replaced, resulting in 131 pregnancies and 93 children born (<u>Gellert *et al.*, 2018</u>). Before replacing tissue, the balance between the risk and the benefit should be carefully evaluated by a multidisciplinary team. The safety issues include:

- the surgical complications
- the risk of reintroducing malignancy
  - the oncological outcomes in hormonal-sensitive diseases
    - the risk for offspring.
    - the long-term risk of OTT
- 360 361

358

359

# 362 Surgical complications

OTT at the orthotopic site, either on the remaining ovaries or in the nearby peritoneal site, is usually 363 performed by laparoscopy, and more rarely by mini-laparotomy, under general anaesthesia 364 365 (Schmidt et al., 2011, Beckmann et al., 2017). The patient can be discharged on the same day or the 366 day after surgery. Drainage tubes were required in less than 50% of the cases (Schmidt et al., 2011, Beckmann et al., 2017). No complication after OTT has been reported so far, except one switch to 367 laparotomy for extensive adhesions (Beckmann et al., 2018). The surgical procedure was 368 considered to be at very low risk of complications (around 1%), similar to ovarian tissue removal 369 procedure (Beckmann et al., 2017, Beckmann et al., 2018). The transplantation procedure is usually 370 performed in one step laparoscopy using standard or robot assisted techniques. A two-step 371 laparoscopy (one week interval) to prepare the transplantation site and induce neovascularization 372 has been proposed (Donnez et al., 2004, Demeestere et al., 2009) but is not widely used, and there 373 374 is no evidence for the superiority of the two-step procedure in terms of ovarian function recovery and pregnancy rate. Robot-assisted laparoscopy has been reported, but only in case reports 375 376 (Demeestere et al., 2015, Oktav et al., 2016, Oktav et al., 2019). Surgery can be combined with other

[105]

- procedures including hysteroscopy, assessment of the patency of the fallopian tubes, or other
   gynaecological surgery as required according to the clinical context (<u>Beckmann *et al.*, 2018</u>).
- 379 OTT at heterotopic sites such as subcutaneous or other extrapelvic sites is less invasive but requires 380 an ART procedure to attempt pregnancy and success rate is limited (*see OCT outcomes above*).

As for the OTC procedure, a quality control system is mandatory during the thawing procedure and the transfer of the ovarian tissue to the operative room, which should be close by (<u>Andersen *et al.*</u>,

383 <u>2018</u>). Although no infection has been described, bacteriologic assessment of the media used for

cryopreservation, thawing and transport should be part of the quality control process, and prophylactic antibiotic administration during the surgery should be considered.

# 386 Recommendations

A standard laparoscopy procedure for OTT is considered safe strong  $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$  without causing additional surgical risk

### 387

# OTT at the orthotopic site is recommended to restore fertility

STRONG 0000

# 388 Justification

We did not find any reports of severe surgical complications linked to ovarian tissue transplantation, 389 except for one intraoperative switch to laparotomy (Beckmann et al., 2018). Recent reviews also 390 confirmed that the procedure is considered safe. Laparoscopy and replacement at the orthotopic site 391 are often used, and as such most data on efficacy and safety of OTC and OTT are based on these 392 procedures. Transplantation at the orthotopic site furthermore has the advantage of possible natural 393 conception, whereas heterotopic transplantation requires ART. Therefore, laparoscopy and 394 replacement at the orthotopic site seem to be the preferred option when transplanting ovarian tissue 395 for restoration of fertility (Beckmann et al., 2017, Gellert et al., 2018). OTT surgery and thawing of the 396 ovarian tissue should be performed at the same centre. 397

398

# 399 Risk of reintroducing malignancy

Ovarian metastases have been reported in more than 20% of female autopsies from non-400 gynaecological malignancies, both haematological and solid tumours. In cancer patients, the risk 401 of the presence of residual cancer cells in the cortex should always be carefully evaluated using 402 the most sensitive techniques, according to the disease. These may include immunohistology, 403 molecular markers and/or a xenograft model when available. Before OTT, the patient should be in 404 good health and free of the disease for a sufficient period which will vary according to the type of 405 cancer and the stage (Andersen et al., 2018). Information regarding the oncological follow-up 406 should be reported at least during 2 years after OTT in order to evaluate the involvement of grafted 407 ovarian tissue in a possible relapse (Andersen et al., 2018). A multidisciplinary approach is 408 mandatory to evaluate the safety of the procedure, as well as providing clear information to the 409 patient. 410

# 411 Ovarian and adnexal tumours

OTT is probably not recommended in patients treated for borderline ovarian tumour (BOT) or 412 ovarian cancer. BOT is bilateral at diagnosis in 15-40% of the cases and if the disease is unilateral at 413 diagnosis, the risk of recurrence in the contralateral ovary remains high. Positive residual tumour in 414 the ovarian cortex has been observed in around 10% of patients with BOT (Masciangelo et al., 2018). 415 In ovarian cancer, the risk of invasive cell contamination in the contralateral ovary is also present. A 416 study analysing fragments of ovarian tissue from 23 patients with ovarian tumours (including 417 adenocarcinoma (n=9), cystic teratoma (n=3), granulosa cells tumour (n=1), dysgerminoma (n=6), 418 endodermal sinus tumours (n=2) and BOT (n=2)) did not reveal the presence of malignant cell 419

420 contamination by immunohistological analysis or disease development after xenografting in a 421 mouse model (Lotz *et al.*, 2011). However, data regarding the risk of ovarian tissue involvement in 422 these patients are limited and the detection technique may be not sensitive enough to detect 423 micro-metastasis. Transplantation at the peritoneal site of ovarian tissue collected from the 424 contralateral ovary, free of any cancer cells after analysis, has been described. However, the 425 authors concluded that the peritoneal site is not recommended as it is difficult to completely 426 remove the graft after achieving pregnancy (Kristensen *et al.*, 2017).

# 427 Haematological malignancies

Malignant cells were detected by molecular techniques in around half of ovarian tissue samples 428 from patients diagnosed with leukaemia (Dolmans et al., 2013). Postponing OTC to the time of 429 morphological bone marrow remission (after first chemotherapy induced regimen) resulted in less 430 or no leukaemic contamination in the ovarian material (Jahnukainen et al., 2013). Moreover, the 431 viability of the residual leukaemia cells after xenograft in these cases was questionable (Greve et 432 al., 2012). A first case report of successful OTT to restore fertility in a leukaemia survivor using 433 ovarian tissue collected after complete remission and before bone marrow transplantation has 434 been described (Shapira et al., 2018). Thus, OTT can be offered in leukaemia patients if OTC has 435 been performed at the time of bone marrow remission, after careful evaluation of tissue fragments 436 437 and/or residual medulla using appropriate molecular techniques and xenografting models. There is however no consensus as to what constitutes a comprehensive analysis for safety. Research 438 projects are ongoing to eliminate malignant cells from the ovarian tissue or to offer alternatives (e.g. 439 in vitro growth of small follicles, artificial ovary) in order to increase safety (Anderson et al., 2017). 440 However, these approaches are still experimental and not available yet for clinical application (see 441 PART F: Ongoing developments in FP). 442

Patients with lymphoma make up around a third of OTT procedures (<u>Gellert *et al.*</u>, 2018). OTT is considered to be safe in Hodgkin Lymphoma patients, although ovarian micro-metastasis can occur especially in high stage pelvic disease (<u>Bittinger *et al.*</u>, 2011) (<u>Bastings *et al.*</u>, 2013, <u>Gellert *et al.*</u>, 2018). Ovarian tissue involvement can occur in diffuse large B-cell, Burkitt lymphoma and other lymphoma subtypes (<u>Bastings *et al.*</u>, 2013</u>), indicating that an accurate analysis of the ovarian tissue and an individual multidisciplinary evaluation of the risk for each fertility restoration is required, although there have been no reported recurrences due to OTT.

# 450 Other solid tumours

- OTT is probably safe in bone and soft tissue tumours (<u>Dolmans et al., 2016</u>). However, ovarian involvement has been described in patients with Ewing's Sarcoma (<u>Abir et al., 2010</u>, <u>Anderson et al., 2017</u>), although a study found no tumour cell contamination in ovarian tissue from sarcoma patients (<u>Greve et al., 2013</u>). Still, caution should be taken when there is pelvic involvement.
- Medulloblastoma and neuroblastoma are considered at high risk of ovarian involvement although analysis of ovarian tissue at OTC has not shown ovarian involvement (<u>Bastings et</u> <u>al. 2013</u>, <u>Dolmans et al., 2013</u>). No data on OTT in patients treated for cancers of the central nerve system are available.
- Data on OTT risk in breast cancer patients are reassuring (<u>Fabbri et al., 2012</u>). The procedure
   is considered safe if no pelvic involvement or distant metastasis are observed at the time
   of OTC although molecular markers are not available or suboptimal. (<u>Bastings et al., 2013</u>)
   (<u>Luyckx et al., 2013</u>, <u>Bockstaele et al., 2015</u>, <u>Rodriguez-Iglesias et al., 2015</u>).
- For other solid tumours as cervical, gastro-intestinal, colorectal or respiratory cancer, ovarian involvement is rarely described but data are scarce (Bastings *et al.*, 2013). In general, individual assessment should be performed before OTT based on the markers available and the characteristics of the disease.
- 468
- 469

470	Recommendations (see also Table 9)		
	The decision to perform OTT in oncological patients requires a multidisciplinary approach	GPP	
471	······································		
	It is recommended to evaluate the presence of residual neoplastic cells in the ovarian cortex (and in the residual medulla when available) using appropriate techniques in all cancer survivors before OTT.	STRONG	<b>0000</b>
472			
	OTT is not recommended in cases where the ovary is involved in the malignancy.	STRONG	⊕000

# 473 Justification

Disease transmission is a major concern in OTT, and although the risks are very much dependant on the type and stage of the cancer, a multidisciplinary discussion of benefits of OTT with regards to fertility, and risks of cancer recurrence is highly recommended for all oncological patients. This is consistent with published recommendations from expert teams (<u>Andersen et al., 2018</u>).

Based on the theoretical risk of disease transmission and the availability of techniques to detect
malignant cells in the tissue before transplantation, it seems reasonable to recommend screening of
the tissue before OTT (Bastings et al., 2013). Limitations of the current available techniques (for instance
with regard to detection of micro-metastasis) should be taken into consideration.

482 For patients where the ovary was involved in the malignancy, the risk of reintroducing cancer seems 483 to outweigh the benefits of the OTT procedure, and OTT is not recommended. Alternative options as

- 484 collection of immature ocytes from the tissue may be a safer option (Lotz et al., 2011).
- 485

# 486 Oncological outcomes in hormone-sensitive diseases

Concern may be raised regarding the risk of recurrence of the disease after OTT procedure and 487 488 pregnancy in patients with hormone-sensitive tumours. It has been well established that a 489 subsequent pregnancy in women treated for hormone receptor positive breast cancer does not increase the risk of recurrence compared to matched patients who did not have a pregnancy after 490 treatment (HR 0.94; 95% Cl 0.70-1.26) (Azim et al., 2013, Lambertini et al., 2018). This is discussed 491 further in section E2. Obstetric outcomes. Similarly, pregnancy did not affect the oncological 492 prognosis of patients treated for melanoma (pooled HR for mortality 0.81; 95% CI 0.60-1.09) (Byrom 493 et al., 2015). Pregnancy may be even a positive prognostic factor in patients with endometrial cancer 494 495 who benefit from fertility-sparing treatment (Chae et al., 2019).

# 496 Recommendation

Hormone-sensitive tumours such as endometrial and breast cancer are not a contraindication for ovarian tissue transplantation (OTT) STRONG  $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$  and pregnancy after complete remission of the disease

# 497 Justification

498 For patients with hormone-sensitive tumours, concerns have been raised regarding the risk of 499 recurrence due to pregnancy. However, evidence suggests that pregnancy does not have a negative 500 impact on survival in patients with a previous history of a hormone-sensitive tumours (<u>Lambertini et al.</u>, 501 and a such with a DTT performance and a survival in patients.

501 <u>2018</u>), and as such neither OTT nor pregnancy should be considered contraindicated.

502
#### 503 Table 9 Summary of GDG recommendations for specific patient groups

Disease	Considerations for OTC/OTT	Recommendation for OTT
Ovarian or adnexal tumour	OTC should only be carried out after careful consideration, when other options are not feasible, bearing in mind that replacement may not be available to the patient in the foreseeable future due to the high risk of recurrence and the risk of cryopreserved ovarian tissue involvement.	OTT is <b>probably not recommended</b> considering the high risk of ovarian tissue involvement. The safety of OTT with removal after pregnancy needs to be further investigated
Leukaemia	Ovarian tissue should ideally be collected at the time of complete bone marrow remission (after first chemotherapy regimen) and it should be tested using molecular detection techniques before OTT. If molecular markers are not available, xenograft experiments should be performed.	OTT should be considered with extreme caution considering the high risk of ovarian involvement by leukemia cells
Tumours of the central nerve system (CNS)	Data are limited regarding the risk of reintroducing the disease in patients treated for CNS tumours. Medulloblastoma and neuroblastoma are considered at higher risk.	OTT should be considered with <u>extreme caution</u> . Additional data are needed regarding the safety of OTT.
Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma	OTC/OTT can be performed in patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma with no evidence of distant metastasis or pelvic involvement at diagnosis.	<ul> <li>OTT appears to be <u>safe</u> if pelvic involvement is excluded at</li> <li>diagnosis. OTT can be considered after appropriate ovarian tissue testing using histology and molecular approaches when available</li> </ul>
Hodgkin Lymphoma	OTC/OTT appears to be safe in patients with Hodgkin lymphoma when pelvic involvement was excluded at diagnosis.	OTT appears to be <u>safe</u> if ovarian involvement is excluded at diagnosis. OTT can be considered after appropriate ovarian tissue testing using histology
Cervical tumours	Ovarian involvement is rare at diagnosis, and more frequent in adenocarcinoma than in squamous cell carcinoma.	OTT appears to be <u>safe</u> in patients treated with fertility-spearing strategy although more data are requested regarding the risk of ovarian tissue involvement in patients after OTC.
Other solid tumours	OTC/OTT appears to be safe in patients with solid tumour such as sarcoma, breast cancer, gastro- intestinal and colorectal malignancies when distant metastasis and pelvic involvement was excluded at diagnosis.,	OTT appears to be <u>safe</u> in non- metastatic disease at OCT. OTT can be considered after appropriate ovarian tissue testing, using histology and molecular markers when available

504

## 506 Risks for the offspring

507 No evidence of additional risk of congenital abnormalities or genetic disorders after OTT has been 508 reported (<u>Imbert *et al.*, 2014</u>, <u>Gellert *et al.*, 2018</u>). The rate of congenital abnormalities in the children

509 was estimated to be 1.2%, which is comparable to the rate of major malformation occurring in

general population (<u>Pacheco and Oktay, 2017</u>). In a recent study on the fertility outcomes after OTT

- 511 in 22 patients who received first line chemotherapy before ovarian tissue cryopreservation, the
- authors reported 13 pregnancies in 7 patients, resulting in 8 healthy children (<u>Poirot *et al.*, 2019</u>).

## 513 Recommendation

# There appears to be no increased risk of congenital abnormalities for children born after OTT

**WEAK ⊕**000

## 514 Justification

515 Available data show no increased risk of congenital abnormalities in children born after OTC and OTT

516 (Pacheco and Oktay, 2017, Gellert et al., 2018). However, the number of live births from these

517 procedures remains low and may be insufficient to make reliable conclusions. This is particularly the

case when OTC is undertaken after chemotherapy exposure. Therefore, large cohort studies with

519 collection of long-term follow-up data of the babies, including data on congenital and other possible 520 abnormalities in the offspring, are still required.

## 521 Long-term risk of OTT

The first patient who underwent transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue dates back almost 522 20 years ago. Although numbers remain small, no long-term risks of the procedure have been 523 reported so far. Very limited data are available in animals regarding the risk of malignant 524 transformation of transplanted ovarian tissue, especially at the heterotopic sites. Animal studies 525 have shown that ovarian tissue transplantation into a hormonal-sensitive organ such as liver can 526 527 induce hepatocellular neoplasms (Klotz et al., 2000). In the rat model, granulosa/theca cell tumours were observed during long-term follow-up after transplantation of cryopreserved or fresh ovarian 528 tissue into the spleen (Mueller et al., 2005). The authors suggested that the high level of 529 530 gonadotrophins stimulated the development of sex-cord tumours in this model. Despite the lack of clinical relevance of these transplantation sites, it raises the question of the long-term outcome 531 532 of the heterotopic-transplanted tissue in human.

Long-term risks may also be present for patients with breast cancer patients and a germline 533 mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2 genes. Besides breast cancer occurring often at a reproductive age, 534 BRCA mutation carriers have a high risk of ovarian cancer, justifying a prophylactic bilateral 535 oophorectomy at the age of 40 years or before. Therefore, some oncologists do not recommend 536 537 transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue in these cases or to choose a site where close monitoring is feasible (Lambertini et al., 2019). Another approach is to transplant the tissue only on 538 the ovarian site and to perform bilateral oophorectomy as soon as patient has completed her family 539 (Lambertini et al., 2018). 540

# 541 Recommendation Long-term risks in human are considered to be low but a long-term follow-up of patients after OTT is probably recommended GPP 542 OTT can be offered in BRCA patients, but the ovarian tissue must be completely removed after subsequent pregnancy. WEAK ⊕000

543

## 545 Justification

546 Data suggest that the procedure is safe. Malignant transformation of the grafted tissue has never been 547 reported. However, malignant transformation has been described in animal studies after 548 transplantation at heterotopic sites. Furthermore, clinical data are still scarce and possibly insufficient 549 to make definite conclusions. As a safety precaution, long-term follow up of the patients and 550 transplanted tissue is warranted.

Although there is no evidence for malignant transformation of or ovarian cancer originating from the grafted ovarian tissue, it seems safe to remove all the grafted tissue after the patients has completed her family, possibly in combination with prophylactic oophorectomy.

554

## 555 Research recommendations

- 556 Evaluate the effectiveness of OTC in restoring fertility in larger cohorts of patients.
- 557 Evaluate long-term safety of OTC and replacement for patients and their children (long-558 term follow-up).
- 559 Develop highly sensitive methods for detection of neoplasic cells within the ovarian cortex 560 of high-risk patients.

### 561 References

- 562 Abir R, Feinmesser M, Yaniv I, Fisch B, Cohen IJ, Ben-Haroush A, Meirow D, Felz C, Avigad S. Occasional involvement of the 563 ovary in Ewing sarcoma. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2010;25: 1708-1712.
- 564 Abir R, Fisch B, Fisher N, Samara N, Lerer-Serfaty G, Magen R, Herman-Edelstein M, Ben-Haroush A, Stein A, Orvieto R. 565 Attempts to improve human ovarian transplantation outcomes of needle-immersed vitrification and slow-freezing by host 566 and graft treatments. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2017;34: 633-644.
- 567 Amorim CA, Dolmans MM, David A, Jaeger J, Vanacker J, Camboni A, Donnez J, Van Langendonckt A. Vitrification and xenografting of human ovarian tissue. *Fertility and sterility* 2012;98: 1291-1298.e1291-1292.
- 569 Andersen CY, Bollerup AC, Kristensen SG. Defining quality assurance and quality control measures in connection with 570 ovarian tissue cryopreservation and transplantation: a call to action. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 1201-571 1204.
- Anderson RA, Baird DT. The development of ovarian tissue cryopreservation in Edinburgh: Translation from a rodent model
   through validation in a large mammal and then into clinical practice. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica 2019;98:
   545-549.
- 575 Anderson RA, Wallace WH. Fertility preservation in girls and young women. *Clinical endocrinology* 2011;75: 409-419.
- 576 Anderson RA, Wallace WHB, Telfer EE. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation for fertility preservation: clinical and research 577 perspectives. *Human reproduction open* 2017;2017: hox001.
- 578Asadi Azarbaijani B, Sheikhi M, Oskam IC, Nurmio M, Laine T, Tinkanen H, Makinen S, Tanbo TG, Hovatta O, Jahnukainen K.579Effect of Previous Chemotherapy on the Quality of Cryopreserved Human Ovarian Tissue In Vitro. *PloS one* 2015;10:580e0133985.
- Aubard Y, Piver P, Pech JC, Galinat S, Teissier MP. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation and gynecologic oncology: a review.
   *European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology* 2001;97: 5-14.
- Azim HA, Jr., Kroman N, Paesmans M, Gelber S, Rotmensz N, Ameye L, De Mattos-Arruda L, Pistilli B, Pinto A, Jensen MB *et al.* Prognostic impact of pregnancy after breast cancer according to estrogen receptor status: a multicenter retrospective study. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2013;31: 73-79.
- 586 Backhus LE, Kondapalli LA, Chang RJ, Coutifaris C, Kazer R, Woodruff TK. Oncofertility consortium consensus statement: 587 guidelines for ovarian tissue cryopreservation. *Cancer treatment and research* 2007;138: 235-239.
- 588 Baram S, Myers SA, Yee S, Librach CL. Fertility preservation for transgender adolescents and young adults: a systematic 589 review. *Human reproduction update* 2019;25: 694-716.
- 590 Bastings L, Beerendonk CC, Westphal JR, Massuger LF, Kaal SE, van Leeuwen FE, Braat DD, Peek R. Autotransplantation of 591 cryopreserved ovarian tissue in cancer survivors and the risk of reintroducing malignancy: a systematic review. *Human* 592 *reproduction update* 2013;19: 483-506.
- 593 Beckmann MW, Dittrich R, Findeklee S, Lotz L. Surgical Aspects of Ovarian Tissue Removal and Ovarian Tissue 594 Transplantation for Fertility Preservation. *Geburtshilfe und Frauenheilkunde* 2016;76: 1057-1064.
- 595 Beckmann MW, Dittrich R, Lotz L, Oppelt PG, Findeklee S, Hildebrandt T, Heusinger K, Cupisti S, Muller A. Operative 596 techniques and complications of extraction and transplantation of ovarian tissue: the Erlangen experience. *Arch Gynecol* 597 *Obstet* 2017;295: 1033-1039.
- Beckmann MW, Dittrich R, Lotz L, van der Ven K, van der Ven HH, Liebenthron J, Korell M, Frambach T, Sutterlin M, Schwab
   R *et al.* Fertility protection: complications of surgery and results of removal and transplantation of ovarian tissue.
   *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2018;36: 188-196.

- Bittinger SE, Nazaretian SP, Gook DA, Parmar C, Harrup RA, Stern CJ. Detection of Hodgkin lymphoma within ovarian tissue.
   *Fertility and sterility* 2011;95: 803.e803-806.
- 603Bjelland EK, Wilkosz P, Tanbo TG, Eskild A. Is unilateral oophorectomy associated with age at menopause? A population604study (the HUNT2 Survey). Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 2014;29: 835-841.
- Bockstaele L, Boulenouar S, Van Den Steen G, Dechene J, Tsepelidis S, Craciun L, Noel JC, Demeestere I. Evaluation of
   quantitative polymerase chain reaction markers for the detection of breast cancer cells in ovarian tissue stored for fertility
   preservation. *Fertility and sterility* 2015;104: 410-417.e414.
- Byrom L, Olsen CM, Knight L, Khosrotehrani K, Green AC. Does pregnancy after a diagnosis of melanoma affect prognosis?
   Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dermatologic surgery : official publication for American Society for Dermatologic* Surgery let all 2015;41: 875-882.
- 611 Bystrova O, Lapina E, Kalugina A, Lisyanskaya A, Tapilskaya N, Manikhas G. Heterotopic transplantation of cryopreserved 612 ovarian tissue in cancer patients: a case series. *Gynecological endocrinology : the official journal of the International Society of* 613 *Gynecological Endocrinology* 2019;35: 1043-1049.
- 614 Chae SH, Shim SH, Lee SJ, Lee JY, Kim SN, Kang SB. Pregnancy and oncologic outcomes after fertility-sparing management 615 for early stage endometrioid endometrial cancer. *International journal of gynecological cancer : official journal of the*
- 616 International Gynecological Cancer Society 2019;29: 77-85.
- 617 Condorelli M, Demeestere I. Challenges of fertility preservation in non-oncological diseases. *Acta obstetricia et gynecologica* 618 *Scandinavica* 2019;98: 638-646.
- 619 Corkum KS, Laronda MM, Rowell EE. A review of reported surgical techniques in fertility preservation for prepubertal and 620 adolescent females facing a fertility threatening diagnosis or treatment. *American journal of surgery* 2017;214: 695-700.
- 621 Dalman A, Deheshkar Gooneh Farahani NS, Totonchi M, Pirjani R, Ebrahimi B, Rezazadeh Valojerdi M. Slow freezing versus vitrification technique for human ovarian tissue cryopreservation: An evaluation of histological changes, WNT signaling pathway and apoptotic genes expression. *Cryobiology* 2017;79: 29-36.
- 624 Demeestere I, Simon P, Dedeken L, Moffa F, Tsepelidis S, Brachet C, Delbaere A, Devreker F, Ferster A. Live birth after 625 autograft of ovarian tissue cryopreserved during childhood. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2015;30: 2107-2109.
- 626 Demeestere I, Simon P, Emiliani S, Delbaere A, Englert Y. Orthotopic and heterotopic ovarian tissue transplantation. *Human* 627 *reproduction update* 2009;15: 649-665.
- Diaz-Garcia C, Domingo J, Garcia-Velasco JA, Herraiz S, Mirabet V, Iniesta I, Cobo A, Remohi J, Pellicer A. Oocyte vitrification
   versus ovarian cortex transplantation in fertility preservation for adult women undergoing gonadotoxic treatments: a
   prospective cohort study. *Fertility and sterility* 2018;109: 478-485.e472.
- 631Dittrich R, Lotz L, Mueller A, Hoffmann I, Wachter DL, Amann KU, Beckmann MW, Hildebrandt T. Oncofertility: combination632of ovarian stimulation with subsequent ovarian tissue extraction on the day of oocyte retrieval. Reproductive biology and633endocrinology : RB&E 2013;11: 19.
- 634 Dolmans MM, Binda MM, Jacobs S, Dehoux JP, Squifflet JL, Ambroise J, Donnez J, Amorim CA. Impact of the 635 cryopreservation technique and vascular bed on ovarian tissue transplantation in cynomolgus monkeys. *Journal of assisted* 636 *reproduction and genetics* 2015;32: 1251-1262.
- Bolmans MM, Iwahara Y, Donnez J, Soares M, Vaerman JL, Amorim CA, Poirel H. Evaluation of minimal disseminated disease
   in cryopreserved ovarian tissue from bone and soft tissue sarcoma patients. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2016;31:
   2292-2302.
- 640 Dolmans MM, Luyckx V, Donnez J, Andersen CY, Greve T. Risk of transferring malignant cells with transplanted frozen-641 thawed ovarian tissue. *Fertility and sterility* 2013;99: 1514-1522.
- 642 Dolmans MM, Marotta ML, Pirard C, Donnez J, Donnez O. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation followed by controlled ovarian 643 stimulation and pick-up of mature oocytes does not impair the number or quality of retrieved oocytes. *Journal of ovarian*
- 644 research 2014;7: 80.
- 645 Donnez J, Dolmans MM. Fertility Preservation in Women. N Engl J Med 2017;377: 1657-1665.
- 646 Donnez J, Dolmans MM, Demylle D, Jadoul P, Pirard C, Squifflet J, Martinez-Madrid B, van Langendonckt A. Livebirth after 647 orthotopic transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue. *Lancet* 2004;364: 1405-1410.
- El Issaoui M, Giorgione V, Mamsen LS, Rechnitzer C, Birkebaek N, Clausen N, Kelsey TW, Andersen CY. Effect of first line
   cancer treatment on the ovarian reserve and follicular density in girls under the age of 18 years. *Fertility and sterility* 2016;106:
   1757-1762.e1751.
- 651 Fabbri R, Macciocca M, Vicenti R, Pasquinelli G, Caprara G, Valente S, Seracchioli R, Paradisi R. Long-term storage does not 652 impact the quality of cryopreserved human ovarian tissue. *Journal of ovarian research* 2016a;9: 50.
- 653 Fabbri R, Vicenti R, Macciocca M, Martino NA, Dell'Aquila ME, Pasquinelli G, Morselli-Labate AM, Seracchioli R, Paradisi R. 654 Morphological, ultrastructural and functional imaging of frozen/thawed and vitrified/warmed human ovarian tissue 655 retrieved from oncological patients. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2016b;31: 1838-1849.
- 656 Fabbri R, Vicenti R, Macciocca M, Pasquinelli G, Lima M, Parazza I, Magnani V, Venturoli S. Cryopreservation of ovarian tissue 657 in pediatric patients. *Obstetrics and gynecology international* 2012;2012: 910698.
- 658 Gellert SE, Pors SE, Kristensen SG, Bay-Bjorn AM, Ernst E, Yding Andersen C. Transplantation of frozen-thawed ovarian 659 tissue: an update on worldwide activity published in peer-reviewed papers and on the Danish cohort. *Journal of assisted* 660 *reproduction and genetics* 2018.
- 661 Greve T, Clasen-Linde E, Andersen MT, Andersen MK, Sorensen SD, Rosendahl M, Ralfkiaer E, Andersen CY. Cryopreserved ovarian cortex from patients with leukemia in complete remission contains no apparent viable malignant cells. *Blood*
- 663 2012;120: 4311-4316.

- 664 Greve T, Wielenga VT, Grauslund M, Sorensen N, Christiansen DB, Rosendahl M, Yding Andersen C. Ovarian tissue 665 cryopreserved for fertility preservation from patients with Ewing or other sarcomas appear to have no tumour cell 666 contamination. *Eur J Cancer* 2013;49: 1932-1938.
- 667 Haino T, Tarumi W, Kawamura K, Harada T, Sugimoto K, Okamoto A, Ikegami M, Suzuki N. Determination of Follicular 668 Localization in Human Ovarian Cortex for Vitrification. *Journal of adolescent and young adult oncology* 2018;7: 46-53.
- Herraiz S, Novella-Maestre E, Rodriguez B, Diaz C, Sanchez-Serrano M, Mirabet V, Pellicer A. Improving ovarian tissue
   cryopreservation for oncologic patients: slow freezing versus vitrification, effect of different procedures and devices. *Fertility and sterility* 2014;101: 775-784.
- 672 Hoekman EJ, Louwe LA, Rooijers M, van der Westerlaken LAJ, Klijn NF, Pilgram GSK, de Kroon CD, Hilders C. Ovarian tissue 673 cryopreservation: Low usage rates and high live-birth rate after transplantation. *Acta obstetricia et gynecologica*
- 673 cryopreservation: Low usage rates and high live-birth rate after transplantation. *Acta obstetricia et g* 674 *Scandinavica* 2020;99: 213-221.
- Huober-Zeeb C, Lawrenz B, Popovici RM, Strowitzki T, Germeyer A, Stute P, von Wolff M. Improving fertility preservation in
   cancer: ovarian tissue cryobanking followed by ovarian stimulation can be efficiently combined. *Fertility and sterility* 2011;95:
   342-344.
- Imbert R, Moffa F, Tsepelidis S, Simon P, Delbaere A, Devreker F, Dechene J, Ferster A. Veys I, Fastrez M *et al.* Safety and
   usefulness of cryopreservation of ovarian tissue to preserve fertility: a 12-year retrospective analysis. *Human reproduction* (*Oxford, England*) 2014;29: 1931-1940.
- 681 Jadoul P, Guilmain A, Squifflet J, Luyckx M, Votino R, Wyns C, Dolmans MM. Efficacy of ovarian tissue cryopreservation for
   682 fertility preservation: lessons learned from 545 cases. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2017;32: 1046-1054.
- Jahnukainen K, Tinkanen H, Wikstrom A, Dunkel L, Saarinen-Pihkala UM, Makinen S, Asadi Azarbaijani B, Oskam IC,
   Vettenranta K, Laine T *et al.* Bone marrow remission status predicts leukemia contamination in ovarian biopsies collected
- 685 for fertility preservation. *Leukemia* 2013;27: 1183-1185.
- Karavani G, Schachter-Safrai N, Chill HH, Mordechai Daniel T, Bauman D, Revel A. Single-Incision Laparoscopic Surgery for
   Ovarian Tissue Cryopreservation. *Journal of minimally invasive gynecology* 2018;25: 474-479.
- 688 Kikuchi I, Kagawa N, Silber S, Kuwayama M, Takehara Y, Aono F, Kumakiri J, Kato O, Takeda S. Oophorectomy for fertility 689 preservation via reduced-port laparoscopic surgery. *Surgical innovation* 2013;20: 219-224.
- 690 Klotz L, Hacker HJ, Klingmuller D, Bannasch P, Pfeifer U, Dombrowski F. Hepatocellular alterations after intraportal 691 transplantation of ovarian tissue in ovariectomized rats. *The American journal of pathology* 2000;156: 1613-1626.
- Kristensen SG, Giorgione V, Humaidan P, Alsbjerg B, Bjorn AB, Ernst E, Andersen CY. Fertility preservation and refreezing of
   transplanted ovarian tissue-a potential new way of managing patients with low risk of malignant cell recurrence. *Fertility and sterility* 2017;107: 1206-1213.
- Lambertini M, Di Maio M, Poggio F, Pagani O, Curigliano G, Mastro LD, Paluch-Shimon S, Loibl S, Partridge AH, Azim HA, Jr.
   *et al.* Knowledge, attitudes and practice of physicians towards fertility and pregnancy-related issues in youngBRCA-mutated
   breast cancer patients. *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2019;38: 835-844.
- Lambertini M, Goldrat O, Ferreira AR, Dechene J, Azim HA, Jr., Desir J, Delbaere A, t'Kint de Roodenbeke MD, de Azambuja
   E, Ignatiadis M *et al.* Reproductive potential and performance of fertility preservation strategies in BRCA-mutated breast
   cancer patients. *Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology 2018;29: 237-243.*
- Lee S, Ryu KJ, Kim B, Kang D, Kim YY, Kim T. Comparison between Slow Freezing and Vitrification for Human Ovarian Tissue
   Cryopreservation and Xenotransplantation. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019;20.
- Lotz L, Maktabi A, Hoffmann I, Findeklee S, Beckmann MW, Dittrich R. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation and retransplantation -what do patients think about it? *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2016;32: 394-400.
- Lotz L, Montag M, van der Ven H, von Wolff M, Mueller A, Hoffmann I, Wachter D, Beckmann MW, Dittrich R.
   Xenotransplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue from patients with ovarian tumors into SCID mice--no evidence of
   malignant cell contamination. *Fertility and sterility* 2011;95: 2612-2614.e2611.
- Luyckx V, Durant JF, Camboni A, Gilliaux S, Amorim CA, Van Langendonckt A, Irenge LM, Gala JL, Donnez J, Dolmans MM.
   Is transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue from patients with advanced-stage breast cancer safe? A pilot study.
   *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2013;30: 1289-1299.
- 711 Masciangelo R, Bosisio C, Donnez J, Amorim CA, Dolmans MM. Safety of ovarian tissue transplantation in patients with 712 borderline ovarian tumors. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 212-219.
- 713 McLaughlin M, Kelsey TW, Wallace WH, Anderson RA, Telfer EE. Non-growing follicle density is increased following 714 adriamycin, bleomycin, vinblastine and dacarbazine (ABVD) chemotherapy in the adult human ovary. *Human reproduction* 715 (Oxford, England) 2017;32: 165-174.
- 716 Mueller A, Maltaris T, Dimmler A, Hoffmann I, Beckmann MW, Dittrich R. Development of sex cord stromal tumors after 717 heterotopic transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue in rats. *Anticancer research* 2005;25: 4107-4111.
- 718 Oktay K, Bedoschi G, Pacheco F, Turan V, Emirdar V. First pregnancies, live birth, and in vitro fertilization outcomes after 719 transplantation of frozen-banked ovarian tissue with a human extracellular matrix scaffold using robot-assisted minimally 720 invasive surgery. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 2016;214: 94.e91-99.
- 721 Oktay K, Taylan E, Kawahara T, Cillo GM. Robot-assisted orthotopic and heterotopic ovarian tissue transplantation 722 techniques: surgical advances since our first success in 2000. *Fertility and sterility* 2019;111: 604-606.
- Pacheco F, Oktay K. Current Success and Efficiency of Autologous Ovarian Transplantation: A Meta-Analysis. *Reproductive sciences (Thousand Oaks, Calif)* 2017;24: 1111-1120.
- Pampanini V, Wagner M, Asadi-Azarbaijani B, Oskam IC, Sheikhi M, Sjodin MOD, Lindberg J, Hovatta O, Sahlin L, Bjorvang RD *et al.* Impact of first-line cancer treatment on the follicle quality in cryopreserved ovarian samples from girls and young
- 726 *et al.* Impact of first-line cancer treatment on the follicle quality in cryopreserved ovarian samples from girls and young women. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2019;34: 1674-1685.

- Paradisi R, Macciocca M, Vicenti R, Rossi S, Morselli-Labate AM, Mastroroberto M, Seracchioli R, Fabbri R. New insights in
   the selection and management of cancer patients applicants for ovarian tissue cryopreservation. *Gynecological endocrinology : the official journal of the International Society of Gynecological Endocrinology* 2016;32: 881-885.
- Peigne M, Decanter C. Serum AMH level as a marker of acute and long-term effects of chemotherapy on the ovarian
   follicular content: a systematic review. *Reproductive biology and endocrinology : RB&E* 2014;12: 26.
- Poirot C, Fortin A, Lacorte JM, Akakpo JP, Genestie C, Vernant JP, Brice P, Morice P, Leblanc T, Gabarre J *et al.* Impact of
   cancer chemotherapy before ovarian cortex cryopreservation on ovarian tissue transplantation. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2019;34: 1083-1094.
- Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Fertility preservation in patients undergoing
   gonadotoxic therapy or gonadectomy: a committee opinion. *Fertility and sterility* 2019;112: 1022-1033.
- Provoost V, Tilleman K, D'Angelo A, De Sutter P, de Wert G, Nelen W, Pennings G, Shenfield F, Dondorp W. Beyond the dichotomy: a tool for distinguishing between experimental, innovative and established treatment. *Human reproduction* (Oxford, England) 2014;29: 413-417.
- Rahimi G, Isachenko V, Kreienberg R, Sauer H, Todorov P, Tawadros S, Mallmann P, Nawroth F, Isachenko E. Re vascularisation in human ovarian tissue after conventional freezing or vitrification and xenotransplantation. *European journal* of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology 2010;149: 63-67.
- Rodriguez-Iglesias B, Novella-Maestre E, Herraiz S, Diaz-Garcia C, Pellicer N, Pellicer A. New methods to improve the safety
   assessment of cryopreserved ovarian tissue for fertility preservation in breast cancer patients. *Fertility and sterility* 2015;104:
   1493-1502.e1491-1492.
- Schmidt KT, Nyboe Andersen A, Greve T, Ernst E, Loft A, Yding Andersen C. Fertility in cancer patients after cryopreservation
   of one ovary. *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2013;26: 272-279.
- Schmidt KT, Rosendahl M, Ernst E, Loft A, Andersen AN, Dueholm M, Ottosen C, Andersen CY. Autotransplantation of
   cryopreserved ovarian tissue in 12 women with chemotherapy-induced premature ovarian failure: the Danish experience.
   *Fertility and sterility* 2011;95: 695-701.
- Shapira M, Raanani H, Barshack I, Amariglio N, Derech-Haim S, Marciano MN, Schiff E, Orvieto R, Meirow D. First delivery in
   a leukemia survivor after transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue, evaluated for leukemia cells contamination.
   *Fertility and sterility* 2018;109: 48-53.
- 755Shi Q, Xie Y, Wang Y, Li S. Vitrification versus slow freezing for human ovarian tissue cryopreservation: a systematic review<br/>and meta-anlaysis. Scientific reports 2017;7: 8538.
- Stern CJ, Gook D, Hale LG, Agresta F, Oldham J, Rozen G, Jobling T. First reported clinical pregnancy following heterotopic
   grafting of cryopreserved ovarian tissue in a woman after a bilateral oophorectomy. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2013;28: 2996-2999.
- 760Stern CJ, Gook D, Hale LG, Agresta F, Oldham J, Rozen G, Jobling T. Delivery of twins following heterotopic grafting of<br/>frozen-thawed ovarian tissue. Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 2014;29: 1828.
- 762 Ting AY, Yeoman RR, Lawson MS, Zelinski MB. In vitro development of secondary follicles from cryopreserved rhesus 763 macaque ovarian tissue after slow-rate freeze or vitrification. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2011;26: 2461-2472.
- 764 Wallace WH, Smith AG, Kelsey TW, Edgar AE, Anderson RA. Fertility preservation for girls and young women with cancer: 765 population-based validation of criteria for ovarian tissue cryopreservation. *The Lancet Oncology* 2014;15: 1129-1136.
- 766 Wang TR, Yan J, Lu CL, Xia X, Yin TL, Zhi X, Zhu XH, Ding T, Hu WH, Guo HY *et al.* Human single follicle growth in vitro from cryopreserved ovarian tissue after slow freezing or vitrification. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2016;31: 763-773.
- 768 Yding Andersen C, Mamsen LS, Kristensen SG. FERTILITY PRESERVATION: Freezing of ovarian tissue and clinical opportunities. *Reproduction (Cambridge, England)* 2019;158: F27-F34.
- 770

8P

2 In ART, in vitro maturation (IVM) is mainly used for women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) to avoid the risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS). IVM involves culture (for 24 to 48h) 3 of immature cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs) recovered from small antral follicles of patients 4 that received no or mild FSH stimulation. Although the overall success rates with in vitro matured 5 oocytes are lower compared to IVF, the births of over 5000 children (Sauerbrun-Cutler et al., 2015) 6 7 have been reported with no increase in congenital anomalies when compared to IVF children (Foix-8 L'Helias et al., 2014, Roesner et al., 2017, Mostinckx et al., 2019) In a fertility preservation programme, IVM can be offered as an alternative when conventional 9 ovarian stimulation is contraindicated, or when the time available before the start of gonadotoxic 10 treatment is short and cannot be delayed for ovarian stimulation treatment (Demirtas et al., 2008). 11

The key aspects of IVM that allow its use in these situations are that exogenous FSH administration may be avoided or minimally administered, and that oocytes can be retrieved independently of the phase of the menstrual cycle.

## 15 PICO QUESTION: SHOULD IN VITRO MATURATION BE USED FOR FERTILITY PRESERVATION?

## 16 In vitro maturation (IVM) after in vivo oocyte aspiration

17 In IVM after in vivo oocyte aspiration, COCs are retrieved from the ovaries at germinal vesicle (GV)

18 stage without previous exogenous gonadotropin administration followed by maturation to

19 Metaphase II (MII) oocytes and vitrification or fertilization for embryo cryopreservation.

- 20 Hormonal priming
- 21 To improve the outcomes of IVM cycles, gonadotrophins can be administered before COC retrieval (called "priming"). Reports on IVM-FP protocols include the administration of hCG or GnRH agonist 22 (GnRHa) 36h before oocyte pick-up, often but not always preceeded by a few days of FSH 23 24 stimulation (Demirtas et al., 2008, Maman et al., 2011, Hourvitz et al., 2015, Grynberg et al., 2016, Sonigo et al., 2016, Creux et al., 2017, Creux et al., 2018, El Hachem et al., 2018, Kedem et al., 2018). 25 26 Priming protocols including low doses of FSH alone (37.5 – 150 IU/day for 3 to 6 days) have been largely used for infertile patients and, when not contraindicated, they might be used similarly in FP 27 patients (De Vos et al., 2011). Similarly, a 'pre-IVM' protocol involving initial treatment of oocytes with 28 a meiosis inhibitor has been described and used clinically (Vuong et al., 2020). 29
- 30 Only immature oocytes can be retrieved in patients unexposed to hCG or endogenous LH. 31 However upon hCG or GnPH agonist trigger up to 20% of mature oocytes can be obtained at
- However, upon hCG or GnRH agonist trigger, up to 20% of mature oocytes can be obtained at oocyte pick-up from which some can be recovered even from antral follicles of smaller diameter
- 33 sizes (≤10 mm) (<u>Nogueira *et al.*, 2012</u>)
- In a study comparing hCG and GnRHa solely as priming, a higher number of oocytes were retrieved with GnRHa priming (9.1 ± 6.8 versus 7.7 ± 5.5), but there was no difference in the total number of
- 36 oocytes vitrified after IVM (<u>El Hachem *et al.*, 2018</u>).
- In a comparison of IVM results according to the phase of the cycle (follicular or luteal phase) during which COC retrieval was performed after hCG trigger, there was no difference in the number of

39 COCs recovered ( $9.3 \pm 0.7$  versus 11.1  $\pm 0.8$ ), or the number of MII oocytes cryopreserved ( $6.2 \pm 0.4$ 

40 versus 6.8 ± 0.5) (<u>Grynberg et al., 2016</u>). Similar results were reported in another study comparing

- 41 outcomes after oocytes collected in the early follicular, late follicular, and luteal phases. This study
- reported no statistically significant differences in the number of oocytes collected (8.5 [4-15.8], 8 [5-
- 43 14], and 7 [4-9], respectively), or the number of oocytes cryopreserved (3 [0-7.3], 3 [0-7], and 3 [1-5.5],
- 44 respectively) (<u>Creux *et al.*, 2017</u>). Another small study also reported no difference in the outcomes
- 45 of IVM after follicular versus luteal phase oocyte pick-up (<u>Maman *et al.*, 2011</u>).
- 46

## 47 Oocyte pick-up

48 For IVM, the methodology of oocyte pick-up differs from that used for conventional IVF since it is 49 more abrupt involving concomitant aspiration and needle-forced detachment of granulosa cells

49 more abrupt involving concomitant aspiration and needle-forced detachment of granulosa cells 50 from the wall of small antral follicles, thus increasing blood contamination of follicular fluid. General

from the wall of small antral follicles, thus increasing blood contamination of follicular fluid. General
 anaesthesia is generally used in IVM to facilitate the process of oocyte pick-up for practitioners and

to provide more comfort to the patients. In view of these differences from conventional oocyte

53 pick-up after ovarian stimulation, clinical and laboratory personnel need specific expertise to

54 optimize oocyte pick-up, recovery and maturation rates.

## 55 Number of oocytes collected

56 The mean number of oocytes retrieved from IVM is generally less than would be expected after

- ovarian stimulation and has been reported to be between 5 and 17 in cancer patients (Moria *et al.*,
   2011, Creux *et al.*, 2018).
- 59 A retrospective study by Creux and colleagues reported outcomes of 207 IVM procedures and 187

60 IVF procedures for FP in a mixed population of cancer patients. In breast cancer patients, IVM was

- 61 more often performed (72.4%) mainly because of concerns regarding ovarian stimulation in women
- 62 with hormone-dependent cancers, while patients with haematological or other cancer more often
- 63 received IVF treatment. There was a significantly higher number of oocytes collected with IVF (12
- 64 [8-18] versus 7 [5-12.5]), and higher number of MII oocytes (9 [5-12] versus 2 [1-3]). The study further
- reported a higher number of oocytes (10 [6–15] versus 5 [2–8]) and embryos cryopreserved (5 [3–7]
   versus 3 [2–5]) (<u>Creux et al., 2018</u>). Moria and colleagues compared the outcomes of IVM (with hCG
- 67 priming) in women with different types of cancer with a control group of infertile women. The
- number of retrieved oocytes was significantly lower in breast cancer patients (but not the other
- 69 patient groups) compared to the control group (9[6-16] versus 12[7-20]). There was no difference in
- the percentage of in vivo matured oocytes or the percentage of MII oocytes that matured in vitro
- 71 (<u>Moria *et al.*, 2011</u>).
- The latter study showed oocyte maturation rates in cancer patients ranging from 50 to 61.2%, with no difference between the patient groups (<u>Moria *et al.*, 2011</u>).
- A study by Grynberg and colleagues retrospectively reviewed outcomes of IVM procedures in women with BRCA-positive and BRCA-negative breast cancer patients and reported no difference
- in the number of COCs retrieved (8.9 ± 6.9 versus 9.9 ± 8.1 oocytes), IVM rates (67 ± 24 versus 62 ±

23%) and the number of MII oocytes cryopreserved (5.1  $\pm$  3.8 versus 6.1  $\pm$  5.1, respectively) (Grynberg

78 <u>et al., 2019</u>).

## 79 Prediction of the number of oocytes collected and cryopreserved after IVM

80 Correlation between antral follicle count (AFC) or AMH levels with the number of collected oocytes

and the number of matured oocytes cryopreserved has been performed (<u>Sonigo *et al.*, 2016</u>). In a

82 retrospective analysis of 300 patients with breast cancer, they showed that in patients with AMH

83 levels on day 3 of  $\geq$  3.5 ng/ml and with AFC  $\geq$  19, 8 or more matured oocytes could be

- 84 cryopreserved (<u>Sonigo *et al.*, 2016</u>). Similarly, Sermondade and colleagues reported a moderate 85 positive correlation between AMH levels and AFC with the number of recovered COCs in breast
- 86 cancer patients, and with the number of matured oocytes after IVM (Sermondade et al., 2019).

The study by Grynberg mentioned previously also measured AFC and AMH levels, and reported no difference in these parameters, nor in IVM rates and number of cryopreserved oocytes in breast

- 89 cancer patients with or without BRCA mutations (<u>Grynberg *et al.*, 2019</u>).
- 90 Cryopreservation of IVM oocytes

91 Oocytes can be cryopreserved at either the immature germinal vesicle (GV) or the mature MII stage,

- meaning either before or after IVM. Regarding the feasibility of the former option, there are limited data on oocyte capability for maturation and survival after vitrification. Furthermore, currently
- available data mostly involve surplus GV oocytes retrieved after conventional ovarian stimulation,
- 95 of which the inherent quality may differ from GV oocytes retrieved in IVM cycles. The results

indicate that maturation rates of GV-stage oocytes are higher when IVM is performed before
 vitrification than after (<u>Kasapi *et al.*, 2017</u>). Until a protocol to protect cumulus-enclosed oocytes for
 vitrification is developed, the consensus is to vitrify oocytes at the mature state, i.e. metaphase II

99 (Combelles and Chateau, 2012).

## 100 Live births following IVM

Following improvements in the technique of oocyte vitrification throughout the last decade, about 101 10 live births have been reported from embryos derived from vitrified IVM oocytes from infertile 102 patients. Among those reported births, the largest series of patients (n=20) led to 4 live births (Chian 103 et al., 2009). Given this paucity of data in the infertile population, it is not surprising that there is even 104 less data in the context of FP. In a series of women with cancer who cryopreserved oocytes after 105 IVM (total of 207 IVM cycles), 6.5% returned to use them (<u>Creux et al., 2018</u>). Three live births have 106 been reported in cancer patients, from which two were after IVM and embryo vitrification (Creux et 107 108 al., 2018) (Kedem et al., 2018), and one recently reported from oocytes vitrified after IVM (Grynberg <u>et al., 2020</u>). 109

## <sup>110</sup> In vitro maturation (IVM) after ex vivo extraction of oocytes from

## 111 ovarian specimens

In order to maximize the fertility preservation potential in patients where ovarian tissue is being 112 surgically removed, it can be possible to recover immature oocytes from within ovariectomy 113 specimens during tissue processing for cryopreservation. This strategy can be useful where 114 ovariectomy is part of the treatment of cure (i.e. in ovarian cancer) or when ovarian tissue is being 115 processed for cryopreservation. Since the first report of two cases (Isachenko et al., 2004), several 116 117 small and moderate-sized case series have shown the feasibility of this technique in prepubertal and adult women. Studies containing at least 25 oncological and non-oncological patients aged 118 from 0 - 44 years old resulted in oocyte recovery from 87% of ovarian tissue specimens, with a 119 range of 0 to 58 oocytes recovered, with mean values 14.7 ± 2.2 (Segers et al., 2015), 10.9 ± 9.4 (Yin 120 et al., 2016), and 11.2 ± 7.9 (Wilken-Jensen et al., 2014). A study involving only breast cancer patients 121 reported a mean of 8.3 ± 6.1 (range: 0 – 26) oocytes recovered (Takae et al., 2015). Lower oocyte 122 recovery rates were reported in patients who had previously received chemotherapy (7 vs 12), in 123 patients aged 2–18 years old (Abir et al., 2016), but the safety of the procedure and the quality of 124 the retrieved oocytes is questionable. 125

In a series of 255 cancer patients, performing IVM aspiration prior to ovarian tissue harvesting in
addition to ex vivo oocyte extraction was reported to increase the yield of immature oocytes (11.87
± 1.22 versus 6.95 ± 0.83) and oocytes cryopreserved (6.45 ± 0.81 versus 2.47 ± 0.41) (Hourvitz *et al.*,
2015).

Reported oocyte maturation rates after 48h culture vary from 23 to 62% from studies involving more
than 25 patients (Segers *et al.*, 2015, Takae *et al.*, 2015, Yin *et al.*, 2016, Fasano *et al.*, 2017, Kedem *et al.*, 2018). A case involving a mosaic Turner syndrome patient reported the recovery of 11 immature
oocytes with 8 (73%) of them becoming matured and were vitrified (Huang *et al.*, 2008). In general,
it seems that survival rate and maturation capacity of *ex vivo* extracted oocytes from ovarian tissue
may be lower than that of in vivo aspirated oocytes (Kedem *et al.*, 2018).

## 136 Transportation of samples

Prolonged exposure to low temperatures during transportation (<u>Isachenko *et al.*, 2009</u>, <u>Shirasawa</u> <u>et al.</u>, 2019), the condition of specimens (whether intact ovary or biopsy), and the fact that immature oocytes are collected from non-selected antral follicles including those of very small sizes (< 6 mm) might account for the generally lower maturation rates of ex vivo extracted oocytes. No human studies have been performed to define the optimal protocol to keep both ovarian follicles and oocytes within ovarian tissue in a healthy state during transportation; it is likely that the cooled temperatures needed for tissue transport (where the primary objective is survival of primordial [117]

follicles and stroma) is significantly detrimental to the developmental competence of subsequentlyextracted oocytes from antral follicles.

## 146 Live births following IVM

High fertilization rates can be obtained in *ex vivo* matured oocytes (≥ 65%), however, data are limited 147 148 and absent for vitrified-warmed oocytes (Segers et al., 2015). Insufficient data are available on the efficacy of vitrification for oocyte and embryo survival after warming. To date, a total of three cases 149 of healthy live birth have been reported following transfer of vitrified embryos derived from ex vivo 150 IVM oocytes: from a 21-year old patient with ovarian carcinoma (Prasath et al., 2014), a 23-year-old 151 patient with borderline ovarian tumour (Uzelac et al., 2015) and a 26-year-old with a benign 152 condition (Segers et al., 2015). In all three reports, the ovaries were cooled to 4°C for a period of 20 153 min to 3 hours. 154

155 Recommendations

-55			
	IVM should be regarded as an innovative FP procedure.		€000
156			
	IVM requires specific expertise and should only be performed when oocyte cryopreservation is required but ovarian stimulation not feasible.	GPP	
157			
	IVM after ex vivo extraction is considered an experimental procedure	WEAK	<b>@</b> 000

## 158 Justification

- Data on the efficacy of IVM technique for fertility preservation are limited to rates of oocyte recovery and maturation. Few data are available on subsequent fertilization and embryo implantation.
- 161 With data of proof-of-principle, but in absence of long-term safety data, procedural reliability and
- 162 high effectiveness, the technique is to be categorized as "innovative" (rather than established) (Provoost
- 163 <u>et al., 2014</u>). Recommendations for innovative treatments should be followed, including monitoring of
- 164 data and informing patients. The GDG highlighted one of these recommendations only centres with
- 165 expertise about the procedure should offer innovative treatment to their patients- in the second166 recommendation.
- 167 *IVM after ex vivo extraction is considered an experimental treatment, based on the same* 168 *categorization of treatments, but with even more uncertainties. As such, centres offering IVM after ex*
- 169 vivo extraction should do so only after approval by a medical research ethics committee.

## 170 Recommendations for research

- 171 More studies are needed on the quality of the oocytes after IVM and the long-term outcomes 172 (epigenetic factors etc)
- 173 The protocols used for IVM should be further standardized to ensure the technique is reliable.
- Aspects to be considered in this are the timings and whether cryopreservation should be done
- before or after IVM.
- 176 References
- Abir R, Ben-Aharon I, Garor R, Yaniv I, Ash S, Stemmer SM, Ben-Haroush A, Freud E, Kravarusic D, Sapir O *et al.*Cryopreservation of in vitro matured oocytes in addition to ovarian tissue freezing for fertility preservation in paediatric
  female cancer patients before and after cancer therapy. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2016;31: 750-762.
- Chian RC, Huang JY, Gilbert L, Son WY, Holzer H, Cui SJ, Buckett WM, Tulandi T, Tan SL. Obstetric outcomes following
   vitrification of in vitro and in vivo matured oocytes. *Fertility and sterility* 2009;91: 2391-2398.
- 182 Combelles CM, Chateau G. The use of immature oocytes in the fertility preservation of cancer patients: current promises 183 and challenges. *The International journal of developmental biology* 2012;56: 919-929.

- 184 Creux H, Monnier P, Son WY, Buckett W. Thirteen years' experience in fertility preservation for cancer patients after in vitro 185 fertilization and in vitro maturation treatments. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2018.
- Creux H, Monnier P, Son WY, Tulandi T, Buckett W. Immature oocyte retrieval and in vitro oocyte maturation at different
   phases of the menstrual cycle in women with cancer who require urgent gonadotoxic treatment. *Fertility and sterility* 2017;107: 198-204.
- 189 De Vos M, Ortega-Hrepich C, Albuz FK, Guzman L, Polyzos NP, Smitz J, Devroey P. Clinical outcome of non-hCG-primed
- 190 oocyte in vitro maturation treatment in patients with polycystic ovaries and polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertility and sterility* 191 2011;96: 860-864.
- Demirtas E, Elizur SE, Holzer H, Gidoni Y, Son WY, Chian RC, Tan SL. Immature oocyte retrieval in the luteal phase to preserve fertility in cancer patients. *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2008;17: 520-523.
- 194 El Hachem H, Sonigo C, Benard J, Presse M, Sifer C, Sermondade N, Grynberg M. Comparison of GnRH agonist and hCG for 195 priming in vitro maturation cycles in cancer patients undergoing urgent fertility preservation. *PloS one* 2018;13: e0208576.
- 196Fasano G, Dechene J, Antonacci R, Biramane J, Vannin AS, Van Langendonckt A, Devreker F, Demeestere I. Outcomes of197immature oocytes collected from ovarian tissue for cryopreservation in adult and prepubertal patients. *Reproductive*198biomedicine online 2017;34: 575-582.
- 199 Foix-L'Helias L, Grynberg M, Ducot B, Frydman N, Kerbrat V, Bouyer J, Labrune P. Growth development of French children 200 born after in vitro maturation. *PloS one* 2014;9: e89713.
- Grynberg M, Dagher Hayeck B, Papanikolaou EG, Sifer C, Sermondade N, Sonigo C. BRCA1/2 gene mutations do not affect the capacity of oocytes from breast cancer candidates for fertility preservation to mature in vitro. *Human reproduction* (*Oxford, England*) 2019;34: 374-379.
- Grynberg M, Mayeur Le Bras A, Hesters L, Gallot V, Frydman N. First birth achieved after fertility preservation using
   vitrification of in vitro matured oocytes in a woman with breast cancer. *Annals of oncology : official journal of the European* Society for Medical Oncology 2020;31: 541-542.
- Grynberg M, Poulain M, le Parco S, Sifer C, Fanchin R, Frydman N. Similar in vitro maturation rates of oocytes retrieved during
   the follicular or luteal phase offer flexible options for urgent fertility preservation in breast cancer patients. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2016;31: 623-629.
- Hourvitz A, Yerushalmi GM, Maman E, Raanani H, Elizur S, Brengauz M, Orvieto R, Dor J, Meirow D. Combination of ovarian
   tissue harvesting and immature oocyte collection for fertility preservation increases preservation yield. *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2015;31: 497-505.
- Huang JY, Tulandi T, Holzer H, Lau NM, Macdonald S, Tan SL, Chian RC. Cryopreservation of ovarian tissue and in vitro matured oocytes in a female with mosaic Turner syndrome: Case Report. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2008;23: 336-339.
- 216 Isachenko E, Isachenko V, Nawroth F, Rahimi G, Weiss JM. Effect of long-term exposure at suprazero temperatures on 217 activity and viability of human ovarian cortex. *Fertility and sterility* 2009;91: 1556-1559.
- Isachenko E, Rahimi G, Isachenko V, Nawroth F. In-vitro maturation of germinal-vesicle oocytes and cryopreservation in metaphase I/II: a possible additional option to preserve fertility during ovarian tissue cryopreservation. *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2004;8: 553-557.
- Kasapi E, Asimakopoulos B, Chatzimeletiou K, Petousis S, Panagiotidis Y, Prapas N, Nikolettos N. Vitrification of Human
   Germinal Vesicle Oocytes: before or after In Vitro Maturation? *International journal of fertility & sterility* 2017;11: 85-92.
- Kedem A, Yerushalmi GM, Brengauz M, Raanani H, Orvieto R, Hourvitz A, Meirow D. Outcome of immature oocytes collection
   of 119 cancer patients during ovarian tissue harvesting for fertility preservation. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2018.
- 226 Maman E, Meirow D, Brengauz M, Raanani H, Dor J, Hourvitz A. Luteal phase oocyte retrieval and in vitro maturation is an 227 optional procedure for urgent fertility preservation. *Fertility and sterility* 2011;95: 64-67.
- 228 Moria A, Das M, Shehata F, Holzer H, Son WY, Tulandi T. Ovarian reserve and oocyte maturity in women with malignancy 229 undergoing in vitro maturation treatment. *Fertility and sterility* 2011;95: 1621-1623.
- Mostinckx L, Segers I, Belva F, Buyl R, Santos-Ribeiro S, Blockeel C, Smitz J, Anckaert E, Tournaye H, De Vos M. Obstetric
   and neonatal outcome of ART in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: IVM of oocytes versus controlled ovarian
   stimulation. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2019;34: 1595-1607.
- 233 Nogueira D, Sadeu JC, Montagut J. In vitro oocyte maturation: current status. Semin Reprod Med 2012;30: 199-213.
- Prasath EB, Chan ML, Wong WH, Lim CJ, Tharmalingam MD, Hendricks M, Loh SF, Chia YN. First pregnancy and live birth resulting from cryopreserved embryos obtained from in vitro matured oocytes after oophorectomy in an ovarian cancer patient. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2014;29: 276-278.
- Provoost V, Tilleman K, D'Angelo A, De Sutter P, de Wert G, Nelen W, Pennings G, Shenfield F, Dondorp W. Beyond the dichotomy: a tool for distinguishing between experimental, innovative and established treatment. *Human reproduction* (*Oxford, England*) 2014;29: 413-417.
- Roesner S, von Wolff M, Elsaesser M, Roesner K, Reuner G, Pietz J, Bruckner T, Strowitzki T. Two-year development of
   children conceived by IVM: a prospective controlled single-blinded study. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2017;32:
   1341-1350.
- 243 Sauerbrun-Cutler MT, Vega M, Keltz M, McGovern PG. In vitro maturation and its role in clinical assisted reproductive 244 technology. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2015;70: 45-57.
- 245 Segers I, Mateizel I, Van Moer E, Smitz J, Tournaye H, Verheyen G, De Vos M. In vitro maturation (IVM) of oocytes recovered
- from ovariectomy specimens in the laboratory: a promising 'ex vivo' method of oocyte cryopreservation resulting in the first report of an ongoing pregnancy in Europe. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2015;32: 1221-1231.

- Sermondade N, Sonigo C, Sifer C, Valtat S, Ziol M, Eustache F, Grynberg M. Serum antimullerian hormone is associated with
   the number of oocytes matured in vitro and with primordial follicle density in candidates for fertility preservation. *Fertility and sterility* 2019;111: 357-362.
- Shirasawa H, Ono N, Kumazawa Y, Sato W, Sato N, Ihara M, Yaegashi N, Terada Y. Oocyte collection and in vitro maturation
   after train transportation of human follicular fluid aspirated from resected non-stimulated ovaries of patients with
   endometrial adenocarcinoma. *Reproductive medicine and biology* 2019;18: 180-189.
- Sonigo C, Simon C, Boubaya M, Benoit A, Sifer C, Sermondade N, Grynberg M. What threshold values of antral follicle count and serum AMH levels should be considered for oocyte cryopreservation after in vitro maturation? *Human reproduction* (*Oxford, England*) 2016;31: 1493-1500.
- Takae S, Sugishita Y, Yoshioka N, Hoshina M, Horage Y, Sato Y, Nishijima C, Kawamura K, Suzuki N. The role of menstrual cycle phase and AMH levels in breast cancer patients whose ovarian tissue was cryopreserved for oncofertility treatment.
   Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics 2015;32: 305-312.
- Uzelac PS, Delaney AA, Christensen GL, Bohler HC, Nakajima ST. Live birth following in vitro maturation of oocytes retrieved
   from extracorporeal ovarian tissue aspiration and embryo cryopreservation for 5 years. *Fertility and sterility* 2015;104: 1258 1260.
- Vuong LN, Le AH, Ho VNA, Pham TD, Sanchez F, Romero S, De Vos M, Ho TM, Gilchrist RB, Smitz J. Live births after oocyte
   in vitro maturation with a prematuration step in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2020;37: 347-357.
- Wilken-Jensen HN, Kristensen SG, Jeppesen JV, Yding Andersen C. Developmental competence of oocytes isolated from surplus medulla tissue in connection with cryopreservation of ovarian tissue for fertility preservation. *Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica* 2014;93: 32-37.
- 269 Yin H, Jiang H, Kristensen SG, Andersen CY. Vitrification of in vitro matured oocytes collected from surplus ovarian medulla
- tissue resulting from fertility preservation of ovarian cortex tissue. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2016;33: 741-746.
- 272

## 1 D8. GnRH agonists

In premenopausal women undergoing chemotherapy for malignant or benign diseases, besides
 the risk of infertility, treatment-induced premature ovarian insufficiency (POI) can lead to several
 other short- and long-term negative consequences on their quality of life and wellbeing (Webber
 <u>et al., 2016</u>). In this setting, concurrent administration of GnRH agonists has been widely studied as
 a strategy for ovarian protection to reduce the risk of treatment-related POI.

7 Despite preclinical experiments generally supporting the efficacy of this option, it should be 8 highlighted that the mechanism of action for the ovarian protective effect of GnRH agonists use during chemotherapy remains not fully clarified (Lambertini et al., 2019). Nevertheless, in the clinical 9 setting, several randomized trials have provided evidence on the efficacy and safety of 10 administering GnRH agonists for ovarian protection during chemotherapy, the majority relating to 11 12 women with breast cancer with more limited evidence in those with other solid tumours, haematological malignancies or benign diseases. Notably, variable definitions of treatment-related 13 POI and timepoints of its evaluation following the end of chemotherapy have been used in the 14 different studies. In the majority, amenorrhoea alone was considered to define treatment-induced 15 POI; however, some studies used a composite endpoint for its definition (i.e. amenorrhoea and post-16 menopausal hormonal levels) as currently recommended by guidelines (Webber et al., 2016). 17 Additionally, there are very few data on ovarian function beyond 2 years after chemotherapy, and 18 none of the studies that assessed the efficacy and safety of this strategy aimed primarily to 19 20 investigate its fertility preservation potential. Only a minority of them have adequate follow-up to report on post-treatment pregnancies; patients' wish to conceive was not an inclusion criterion nor 21 was this information systematically collected during any of these studies. 22

## PICO QUESTION: SHOULD GNRH AGONISTS VS. NO TREATMENT BE USED FOR OVARIAN PROTECTION IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING GONADOTOXIC TREATMENT?

## 25 Cancer

## 26 Breast cancer

A total of 14 randomized trials have been conducted to investigate the efficacy and safety of 27 administering GnRH agonists during chemotherapy as a strategy for ovarian protection in 28 29 premenopausal women with early-stage breast cancer (Lambertini et al., 2019). The efficacy data from 5 major trials were summarized in a meta-analysis based on individual patient-level data from 30 873 premenopausal breast cancer patients (Lambertini et al., 2018). Median age was approximately 31 32 38 years. In women who received chemotherapy with or without GnRH agonist, chemotherapyinduced POI rates were 14.1% and 30.9%, respectively (adjusted odds ratio [OR] 0.38; 95% CI 0.26-33 0.57). The ovarian protective effect of GnRH agonists was observed irrespective of patients' age at 34 the time of treatment, estrogen receptor status, type and duration of chemotherapy. In terms of FP 35 36 potential, 37 of 359 women treated with GnRH agonists during chemotherapy had at least one posttreatment pregnancy compared to 20 of 367 women treated with chemotherapy alone (incidence 37 rate ratio [IRR] 1.83; 95% Cl 1.06-3.15) (Lambertini et al., 2018). In the POEMS/SWOG S0230 trial (i.e. 38 the only study with post-treatment pregnancies as pre-planned secondary endpoint), the 5-year 39 cumulative incidence of pregnancy was significantly higher in the chemotherapy plus GnRH 40 agonist arm as compared to the chemotherapy alone arm (23.1% vs. 12.2%; OR 2.34; 95% Cl 1.07-5.11) 41 42 (Moore et al., 2019). Among the several available meta-analyses based on abstracted data, the largest one which included 1,231 premenopausal breast cancer patients from 12 trials showed 43 similar results with significant reduction in chemotherapy-induced POI rates and increased 44 pregnancy rates in patients who received concurrent GnRH agonists during chemotherapy 45 (Lambertini et al., 2015). 46

47 In the few trials that assessed the actual protective effect of administering GnRH agonists during chemotherapy on patients' ovarian reserve, no difference was observed in the levels of anti-48 Müllerian hormone (AMH) before and after treatment between treatment arms (Lambertini et al., 49 2010). However, within these trials, AMH levels were available only for a minority of the randomized 50 patients; the largest analysis was conducted in the Anglo Celtic Group OPTION trial with AMH data 51 available for approximately half of the study population (Leonard et al., 2017). A potential protective 52 effect on patients' ovarian reserve was observed in a prospective cohort study including 88 53 premenopausal women with newly diagnosed breast cancer; antral follicle count recovered faster 54 55 and to a greater degree for those who received GnRH agonists during chemotherapy (Sinha et.al., 56 <u>2018</u>). 57 Regarding safety, the administration of GnRH agonists is associated with significant higher rates of hot flushes and sweating (Lambertini et al., 2018). Bone turnover is increased during administration 58

of GnRH agonists with normalization after cessation of treatment and with the potential to protect 59 against longstanding altered bone turnover associated with POI (Wilson et al., 2016). In 60 61 premenopausal breast cancer patients and particularly in those with estrogen receptor-positive disease, there are potential safety concerns regarding possible antagonism between GnRH 62 63 agonists and chemotherapy. However, no difference in disease-free survival (hazard ratio [HR] 1.01; 95% CI 0.72-1.42) and a non-significant trend towards better overall survival (HR 0.67; 95% CI 0.42-64 1.06) with concurrent use of GnRH agonists during chemotherapy was observed in the individual 65 66 patient-level data meta-analysis; no interaction according to estrogen receptor status was found (Lambertini et al., 2018). The lack of detrimental effect on survival outcomes with concurrent 67 68 administration of GnRH agonists during chemotherapy was also confirmed in two large adjuvant endocrine therapy trials in premenopausal breast cancer patients with estrogen receptor-positive 69 disease (Regan et al., 2017). 70

71 Recommendations

GnRH agonists during chemotherapy should be offered as an option for ovarian function protection in premenopausal breast cancer patients receiving chemotherapy; however, limited evidence exists on their protective effect on the ovarian reserve and the potential for future pregnancies.

72

In women with breast cancer, GnRH agonists during chemotherapy should not be considered an option for fertility preservation instead STRONG  $\oplus \oplus \oplus \odot$  of cryopreservation techniques.

## 73 Justification

Trials on ovarian function protection in premenopausal breast cancer patients have been summarized 74 in several systematic reviews and meta-analyses, and all the most recent ones including the majority 75 76 of the trials showed similar and consistent conclusions. A recent analysis based on individual patientlevel data of 873 premenopausal breast cancer patients was considered the highest quality of 77 78 evidence (Lambertini et al., 2018). Concurrent administration of GnRH agonists and chemotherapy significantly reduced the risk of developing chemotherapy-induced POI, was associated with a higher 79 number of post-treatment pregnancies and had no negative impact on survival outcomes. Main 80 81 adverse events associated with GnRHa administration are vasomotor symptoms and sexual problems. Overall, ovarian protection with GnRH agonists is feasible and acceptable in this setting. 82 Trials in premenopausal breast cancer patients mainly investigated the impact on chemotherapy-83

induced POI with only one trial having post-treatment pregnancies as pre-planned secondary
endpoint. Use of GnRH agonists during chemotherapy seems to increase the chances of postchemotherapy pregnancies but data on this regard are less abundant. Given the limited data on post-

treatment pregnancies, the GDG stresses that GnRH agonists should not replace oocyte or embryo
 cryopreservation in patients interested in fertility preservation. In this setting, ovarian protection can be

- used in addition to oocyte or embryo cryopreservation, or as a single FP option where oocyte or embryo
   cryopreservation is not feasible.
- 91

## 92 Malignancies other than breast cancer

93 The available evidence on the efficacy and safety of administering GnRH agonists during

- chemotherapy as a strategy for ovarian protection in premenopausal patients with malignancies
- other than breast cancer is limited. Four small, randomized trials were conducted in women with
- 96 haematological malignancies and one in patients with ovarian cancer (<u>Lambertini *et al.*, 2019</u>).

## 97 Lymphoma

Among the several available meta-analyses based on abstracted data, the largest one that 98 summarized the results from 3 randomized trials conducted in women with haematological 99 malignancies included 109 patients with Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (Senra et al., 2018). 100 Median age was approximately 25 years; patients received chemotherapy regimens with different 101 gonadotoxicity ranging from low (e.g. ABVD [doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine and dacarbazine] 102 protocols) to high (e.g. conditioning regimens for haematopoietic stem cell transplantation). No 103 significant difference in POI rates was observed between lymphoma patients who received 104 chemotherapy with or without concurrent GnRH agonists (18.9% vs. 32.1%; OR 0.70, 95% CI 0.20-105 106 2.47). Few post-treatment pregnancies (17 vs. 18) were described without difference between patients who received GnRH agonists during chemotherapy or not (OR 1.13, 95% Cl 0.66-1.93)(Senra 107 et al., 2018). The largest randomized trial included in the meta-analysis reported on AMH levels 108 before and after treatment (Demeestere et al., 2016), although of 84 patients included, 37 had AMH 109 levels available at least once during study follow-up. Significantly higher AMH levels were 110 observed in patients who received GnRH agonists during chemotherapy at one year follow-up, but 111

- not at later follow-up (2-4 and 5-7 years) (<u>Demeestere *et al.*, 2016</u>).
- An additional medical benefit of administering GnRH agonists during chemotherapy is prevention
   of heavy menstrual bleeding which may be of value for patients receiving chemotherapy regimens
   with high bone marrow toxicity.
- 116 Observational data suggest that oral contraceptives may also reduce the risk of POI, but no propper 117 randomized studies have demonstrated their effect (<u>Behringer *et al.*, 2005</u>).

## 118 Ovarian cancer

119 One randomized trial reported on the use of GnRH agonist treatment in 30 premenopausal women 120 with ovarian cancer receiving cyclophosphamide- and platinum-based chemotherapy regimens

121 (<u>Gilani *et al.*, 2007</u>). Six months after chemotherapy, all the patients who received GnRH agonists

- during chemotherapy had normal menstrual bleeding, while 33% of those treated with systemic
- cytotoxic therapy alone had treatment-induced POI. No information on post-treatment pregnancies
- 124 was available.

## 125 Recommendation

In malignancies other than breast cancer, GnRH agonists should not be offered as an option for ovarian function protection and fertility STRONG  $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$  preservation.

## 126 Justification

127 Data for malignancies other than breast cancer are limited and available only for patients with

- 128 lymphoma or ovarian cancer. For lymphoma, evidence on ovarian function protection and post-129 treatment pregnancies is limited with no clear difference between patients receiving GnRH agonist
- treatment pregnancies is timited with no clear appendice between patients receiving clintri agoinst treatment or not. For ovarian cancer patients, the only small available trial showed a potential effect
- in terms of ovarian function protection but did not report on fertility outcomes.

- 132 Given the lack of solid data on its efficacy, GnRH agonist treatment should not be offered for ovarian
- function protection and fertility preservation to patients undergoing gonadotoxic treatment for 133
- malignancies other than breast cancer. 134

#### **Benign diseases** 135

The efficacy and safety of administering GnRH agonists as a strategy for ovarian protection have 136 been investigated in several (mostly non-randomized) studies of premenopausal women with 137 autoimmune diseases receiving cyclophosphamide. 138

A meta-analysis including four prospective cohort studies in 83 patients with systemic lupus 139 erythematosus (SLE) receiving cyclophosphamide showed that concurrent administration of GnRH 140 agonists was associated with a significant reduction in risk of developing treatment-induced POI 141 (OR 0.12; 95% CI 0.03-0.41) (Ben-Aharon et al., 2010). Limited data on post-treatment pregnancies 142 were reported: a total of 13 and 3 post-treatment pregnancies were described in women who 143 received chemotherapy with or without GnRH agonists, respectively (Ben-Aharon et al., 2010). A 144 randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation trial in 31 premenopausal patients with SLE 145 receiving cyclophosphamide was conducted to assess the optimal dose of the GnRH agonist 146 triptorelin for obtaining complete ovarian suppression (Brunner et al., 2015). A weight-adjusted dose 147 of 120µg/kg body weight provided sustained complete ovarian suppression in 90% of the patients 148 without increased risk of adverse events (Brunner et al., 2015). In a retrospective biomarker analysis 149 conducted within a prospective cohort study, AMH levels before and after treatment were 150 compared between premenopausal patients with SLE receiving cyclophosphamide alone (n=11) or 151 with concurrent GnRH agonists (n=10) (Marder et al., 2012). Higher post-treatment AMH levels were 152

observed in patients receiving GnRH agonists during cyclophosphamide (Marder et al., 2012). 153

#### Recommendation 154

GnRH agonists during chemotherapy may be considered as an option for ovarian function protection in premenopausal patients with autoimmune diseases receiving cyclophosphamide. However, it should be acknowledged that limited data are available in this setting.

**WEAK**  $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ 

#### Justification 155

- Data supporting this recommendation include one randomized trial and 3 prospective and 156 retrospective studies summarized in a meta-analysis of a total of 83 patients with SLE. Overall, there 157 158 seems to be some benefit of GnRH agonist treatment concurrent with cyclophosphamide.
- With regards to safety, there are no apparent safety issues regarding the use of GnRH agonists, while 159 standard fertility preservation procedures could confer an increased risk of important adverse events 160
- in patients with severe vasculitis. Weighing the possible benefits and risks in this specific patient group, 161
- GnRH agonists during chemotherapy may be considered as an option for ovarian function protection. 162

#### GnRH agonists for Fertility Preservation (all patients) 163

164 General recommendation

> GnRH agonists should not be considered an equivalent or alternative option for fertility preservation but can be offered after cryopreservation techniques or when they are not possible.

GPP

#### Justification 165

- 166 In general, evidence seems to show some benefit of concurrent GnRH agonists during chemotherapy
- 167 for preserving fertility in breast cancer patients, although the benefit was not observed in women with

- 168 other diseases. The reasons for this discrepancy are probably dependent on different methodological
- and clinical factors but are not fully established. GnRH agonists are generally considered a safe and 169 feasible option. 170
- The GDG considered that GnRH agonists should not be offered as a single FP option. Therefore, the 171
- GDG formulated a good practice point against GnRH agonists protection as a single FP option, unless 172
- 173 other FP options are not possible.

#### **Research recommendations** 174

Research efforts are needed to provide more evidence on the role of GnRH agonists in ovarian 175

- function protection for patients with diseases other than breast cancer. In addition, the collection 176
- of long-term follow-up data (including pregnancies and age at menopause) from the already 177
- 178 existing randomized trials should be encouraged to provide more robust evidence on the role of
- this strategy also for fertility preservation. Finally, well-designed and adequately conducted in vitro 179
- and in vivo experimental studies should be conducted also in species other than rodents to finally 180
- elucidate the protective mechanisms of action of this strategy. 181

#### 182 References

- 183 Behringer K, Breuer K, Reineke T, May M, Nogova L, Klimm B, Schmitz T, Wildt L, Diehl V, Engert A et al. Secondary 184 amenorrhea after Hodgkin's lymphoma is influenced by age at treatment, stage of disease, chemotherapy regimen, and the 185 186 use of oral contraceptives during therapy: a report from the German Hodgkin's Lymphoma Study Group. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2005;23: 7555-7564.
- 187 Ben-Aharon I, Gafter-Gvili A, Leibovici L, Stemmer SM. Pharmacological interventions for fertility preservation during 188 chemotherapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast cancer research and treatment 2010;122: 803-811.
- 189 Brunner HI, Silva CA, Reiff A, Higgins GC, Imundo L, Williams CB, Wallace CA, Aikawa NE, Nelson S, Klein-Gitelman MS et al. 19Ŏ Randomized, double-blind, dose-escalation trial of triptorelin for ovary protection in childhood-onset systemic lupus 191 erythematosus. Arthritis & rheumatology (Hoboken, NJ) 2015;67: 1377-1385

192 Demeestere I, Brice P, Peccatori FA, Kentos A, Dupuis J, Zachee P, Casasnovas O, Van Den Neste E, Dechene J, De 193 Maertelaer V et al. No Evidence for the Benefit of Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonist in Preserving Ovarian Function and Fertility in Lymphoma Survivors Treated With Chemotherapy: Final Long-Term Report of a Prospective Randomized 194 Trial. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2016;34: 2568-2574. 195

- 196 Gilani MM, Hasanzadeh M, Ghaemmaghami F, Ramazanzadeh F. Ovarian preservation with gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog during chemotherapy. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology 2007;3: 79-83. 197
- 198 Lambertini M, Ceppi M, Poggio F, Peccatori FA, Azim HA, Jr., Ugolini D, Pronzato P, Loibl S, Moore HC, Partridge AH et al. 199 Ovarian suppression using luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone agonists during chemotherapy to preserve ovarian 200 function and fertility of breast cancer patients: a meta-analysis of randomized studies. Annals of oncology : official journal of 201 the European Society for Medical Oncology 2015;26: 2408-2419.
- 202 Lambertini M, Horicks F, Del Mastro L, Partridge AH, Demeestere I. Ovarian protection with gonadotropin-releasing hormone 203 agonists during chemotherapy in cancer patients: From biological evidence to clinical application. Cancer treatment reviews 204 2019;72: 65-77.
- 205 Lambertini M, Moore HCF, Leonard RCF, Loibl S, Munster P, Bruzzone M, Boni L, Unger JM, Anderson RA, Mehta K et al. 206 Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonists During Chemotherapy for Preservation of Ovarian Function and Fertility in 207 208 Premenopausal Patients With Early Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Individual Patient-Level Data. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2018;36: 1981-1990.
- Leonard RCF, Adamson DJA, Bertelli G, Mansi J, Yellowlees A, Dunlop J, Thomas GA, Coleman RE, Anderson RA. GnRH 209 210 agonist for protection against ovarian toxicity during chemotherapy for early breast cancer: the Anglo Celtic Group OPTION 211 trial. Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology 2017;28: 1811-1816.
- 212 Marder W, McCune WJ, Wang L, Wing JJ, Fisseha S, McConnell DS, Christman GM, Somers EC. Adjunctive GnRH-a treatment 213 attenuates depletion of ovarian reserve associated with cyclophosphamide therapy in premenopausal SLE patients. 214 Gynecological endocrinology : the official journal of the International Society of Gynecological Endocrinology 2012;28: 624-627.
- Moore HCF, Unger JM, Phillips KA, Boyle F, Hitre E, Moseley A, Porter DJ, Francis PA, Goldstein LJ, Gomez HL et al. Final 215 216 Analysis of the Prevention of Early Menopause Study (POEMS)/SWOG Intergroup S0230. J Natl Cancer Inst 2019;111: 210-217 213
- 218 Regan MM, Walley BA, Francis PA, Fleming GF, Lang I, Gomez HL, Colleoni M, Tondini C, Pinotti G, Salim M et al. Concurrent 219 and sequential initiation of ovarian function suppression with chemotherapy in premenopausal women with endocrine-220 responsive early breast cancer: an exploratory analysis of TEXT and SOFT. Annals of oncology official journal of the European 221 Society for Medical Oncology 2017;28: 2225-2232.
- 222 Senra JC, Roque M, Talim MCT, Reis FM, Tavares RLC. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists for ovarian protection
- 223 during cancer chemotherapy: systematic review and meta-analysis. Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal
- 224 of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 2018;51: 77-86.

- 225 226 Sinha N, Letourneau JM, Wald K, Xiong P, Imbar T, Li B, Harris E, Mok-Lin E, Cedars MI, Rosen MP. Antral follicle count recovery in women with menses after treatment with and without gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist use during 227 chemotherapy for breast cancer. Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics 2018;35: 1861-1868.
- 228 Webber L, Davies M, Anderson R, Bartlett J, Braat D, Cartwright B, Cifkova R, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama S, Hogervorst E, 229 230 Janse F et al. ESHRE Guideline: management of women with premature ovarian insufficiency. Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 2016;31: 926-937.
- Wilson C, Gossiel F, Leonard R, Anderson RA, Adamson DJ, Thomas G, Coleman RE. Goserelin, as an ovarian protector during
- 231 232 233 (neo)adjuvant breast cancer chemotherapy, prevents long term altered bone turnover. Journal of bone oncology 2016;5: 43-49.
- 234

## <sup>1</sup> D9. Ovarian transposition

2 Radiation therapy is indicated for treatment of pelvic malignancies including cancers of the cervix,

3 endometrium, rectum, bladder, as well as sarcomas and lymphomas that involve the pelvic region.

The radiation dose applied in the treatments is ranging from a minimum of 30 Gray (Gy) in divided

5 doses for treatment of lymphoma, to as high as 60 Gy for local treatment of advanced cancers.

6 The ovaries are highly radiosensitive and the cutoff dose for radiation-induced ovarian failure is 7 age-dependent. Wallace and colleagues estimated, using mathematical models, that the required

8 dose of fractionated radiotherapy to induce ovarian failure is higher in younger girls and decreases

9 with age, being 18.4 Gy at 10 years, 16.5 Gy at 20 years and 14.3 Gy at 30 years (<u>Wallace *et al.*, 2005</u>).

10 To protect the ovaries from the direct negative effects of radiation, surgical ovarian transposition

11 (OT) (i.e. surgical repositioning of ovaries away from the radiation) before initiation of radiotherapy,

- has been proposed and a number of observational studies of ovarian transposition are available.
   The studies include patients within a wide range of age from 11 to over 40 years, heterogeneous
- diagnoses and different types of radiotherapy, including both external beam radiotherapy and
- brachytherapy, although the vast majority of patients reported in OT studies have been treated for
- 16 cervix cancer. There is a high variation in how ovarian function was evaluated after surgery, mostly

17 after short-term follow-up. Depending on the radiotherapy field planned, two surgical techniques

18 for OT have been described, using either lateral or medial transposition approaches. In recent years,

- less invasive surgical techniques, such as laparoscopy and robot-assisted laparoscopy, have been
   reported in OT.
- In general, the procedure of OT has demonstrated feasibility, and according to some authors it is

22 underused (<u>Gubbala et al., 2014</u>).

# PICO QUESTION: SHOULD TRANSPOSITION OF OVARIES VERSUS NO TREATMENT BE USED FOR OVARIAN PROTECTION?

## 25 Efficacy

## 26 Preservation of ovarian function

Studies of ovarian transposition have all been observational, mostly small and retrospectiveincluding cases and case series reports and most of the studies have been uncontrolled.

A systematic review and meta-analysis evaluated ovarian function and risks of OT using data from

30 studies published up to 2014 (Gubbala et al., 2014). The authors included 24 observational studies,

of which 7 were prospective in their meta-analysis, with a total of 892 women who underwent OT

32 before radiotherapy for treatment of cancer, mostly cervical cancer (n=828). Half of the women

33 (n=428) underwent radical surgery including hysterectomy without radiotherapy, 143 had post-

34 operative brachytherapy and 321 had post-operative external-beam radiotherapy. Preservation of

35 ovarian function was found in 90% (95% Cl 82–99), 90% (95% Cl 79–111) and 56% (95% Cl 56–74) of

women in each of the groups, respectively, calculated from data (available from approximately 50%
 of the total number of women included) of ovarian function (either symptoms or serum FSH levels).

The mean follow-up was longer than 12 months in 79% of the studies (<u>Gubbala *et al.*, 2014</u>).

A more recent review included 38 studies; however a meta-analysis was not performed due to 39 heterogeneity among the studies (Hoekman et al., 2019). Successful preservation of ovarian 40 function after ovarian transposition and external-beam radiotherapy (with or without 41 brachytherapy) ranged from 20 to 100% (26 studies n = 401), after a median follow-up time ranging 42 from 7 to 102 months. A higher frequency of preserved ovarian function was found in women who 43 received brachytherapy only, from 63.6 to 100% (8 studies, n=148). In patients who received radiation 44 therapy and chemotherapy, preservation of ovarian function ranged from 0 to 69.2% (5 studies, 45 46 n=81).

## 47 Impact of age

48 Retrospective data indicate that older women may have a lower probability of preserving ovarian function after ovarian transposition. In the study of Hoekman and colleagues, 27 women with 49 cervical cancer treated with hysterectomy/trachelectomy and radiation therapy underwent 50 51 ovarian transposition and 29 women receiving similar cancer treatment were included as controls (Hoekman et al., 2018). Ovarian failure was defined as climacteric complaints (with or without 52 starting hormone replacement therapy) and/or laboratory measurements (FSH >40 IU/L and/or 53 54 estradiol <100 pmol/L), or bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy. The authors reported the 5-year rate for ongoing ovarian function (ovarian survival), with a sub-analysis for age (25-30, 31-35 and 36-40 55 56 years). The radiation dose was 44.8Gy (25.0-63.0Gy) and 46.3Gy (45.0-50.0Gy), respectively in 57 patients with and without transposed ovaries. The 5-year ovarian survival rate was 60.3 in women 58 that had ovarian transposition versus 0% in controls (95% CI 3.48-11.50). There was a decrease in 59 ovarian survival with increasing age, nevertheless, ovarian survival was significantly higher after ovarian transposition in all age groups compared to controls. No conclusions could be made on 60 61 women older than 40 years due to loss of follow-up (Hoekman et al., 2018).

## 62 Impact of ovarian transposition on sex hormone levels

63 The impact of ovarian transposition on sex hormone levels (estradiol [E2], progesterone, FSH, LH) was assessed in a study - published after the Gubbala meta-analysis - of 86 women with cervical 64 cancer of whom 13 underwent ovarian transposition of one ovary and 73 of both ovaries (Du and 65 66 Qu, 2017). Patients undergoing different radiotherapy treatments were compared with a control 67 group that did not receive radiotherapy. In the latter group, there were no differences in the sex 68 hormone levels measured at different timepoints, while in the patients who received radiotherapy, sex hormone levels were significantly different after as compared to before radiotherapy, 69 70 indicating that OT did not prevent the effect of radiotherapy (Du and Qu, 2017). Another similar study evaluated sex hormone levels (E2, FSH) and menopausal symptoms in 105 patients undergoing 71 intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) with a limited radiation dose to the ovaries; 48 of these 72 73 patients received unilateral ovary transposition, while 57 received bilateral transposition. Preservation of ovarian function was found in 41 patients (39.0%) when a low radiation dose was 74 received, regardless of bilateral or unilateral involvement of the ovaries (Vin et al., 2019). 75

## 76 Pregnancy after ovarian transposition

Several pregnancies have been reported after ovarian transposition, including natural conceptions 77 78 (Morice et al., 1998, Terenziani et al., 2009), as well as pregnancies after IVF with transabdominal oocyte collection (Jang et al., 2019) and surrogacy (Selvaraj et al., 2019). An observational study 79 included 27 women with cervical cancer (treated with surgery, bilateral ovarian transposition and 80 radiotherapy) and 10 women with ovarian dysgerminoma (treated with surgery, unilateral ovarian 81 82 transposition and radiotherapy)(Morice et al., 1998). and reported pregnancy rates of 15% (4/27) and 80% (8/10) in the 2 study groups, respectively. Three women underwent repositioning of the ovaries 83 after persistent infertility, with pregnancy achieved in one of them. The median time interval 84 85 between the end of tumour treatment and the first conception was 4.3 years (range 2-7 years). Of 86 the 18 pregnancies, five ended in a miscarriage (5/18; 28%) and 13 successful pregnancies 87 produced 15 liveborn children (Morice et al., 1998).

## 88 Complications

In the systematic review by Hoekman, a total of 112 (12.8%) complications were identified in 872
patients after ovarian transposition (22 studies). Complications consisted of ovarian cyst
development (93/112; 83.0%), abdominal pain (6/112; 5.4%), haematoma (2/112; 1.8%), tubal ligation
(1/112; 0.9%), ischemia (1/112; 0.9%), and unspecified complications (2/112; 1.8%). Reoperation (for
various reasons, not specified in 26 patients) was necessary in 40 of 112 complications (34.7%).
Ovarian metastasis was found in 5 patients (0.9%) treated for cervical cancer from a total of 538
patients with that diagnosis (Hoekman *et al.*, 2019).

- 96 Cyst development and ovarian metastasis were also reported in the meta-analysis by Gubbala, with
- 97 cysts found in 13% of the transposed ovaries. The reviewers suggested a higher incidence of cysts
- 98 in patients who underwent subcutaneous versus lateral ovarian transposition (<u>Gubbala *et al.*, 2014</u>).
- 99 Ovarian cancers or metastasis were not found (<u>Gubbala *et al.*, 2014</u>).
- 100 Another complication reported, not included in the meta-analyses (which excluded case reports),
- 101 is ovarian torsion, which can occur (<u>Gomez-Hidalgo *et al.*, 2015</u>).

## 102 Technical considerations

- 103 Medial transposition vs lateral transposition.
- Depending on the planned radiotherapy field, two surgical techniques have been described, lateral
- and medial transposition approaches (<u>Moawad *et al.*, 2017</u>). In small controlled studies, lateral

transposition is associated with a higher rate of preservation of ovarian function (Grabenbauer et

- 107 <u>al., 1991</u>, <u>Moawad et al., 2017</u>).
- 108 Comparison single unilateral transposition versus bilateral transposition
- 109 A single unilateral transposition has been proposed as similarly successful to bilateral transposition,
- as supported by a small prospective study of 20 women (<u>Clough et al., 1996</u>). In that study ovarian
- 111 function was maintained in up to 85% of cases. At present, there are no studies comparing unilateral
- 112 versus bilateral transposition.
- 113 Additional surgery considerations and concomitant salpingectomy
- 114 Several authors have recommended salpingectomy concomitantly with the transposition surgery,
- 115 to allow microscopic investigation of occult cancer in the tube (Huang et al., 2007, Terenziani et al.,
- 116 <u>2009</u>). It is also recommended that surgical clips should be placed to identify the position of the
- 117 ovaries.
- 118 Recommendations

	Where pelvic radiotherapy without chemotherapy is planned, women may be offered ovarian transposition with the aim to prevent premature ovarian insufficiency	WEAK	⊕⊕○○
19	Women with reduced ovarian reserve and women at risk of having ovarian metastases are inappropriate candidates for ovarian transposition.	GPP	

## 120 Justification

- 121 In general, a lack of well-designed clinical trials limits the quality of available data on the efficacy and 122 safety of ovarian transposition. Studies of ovarian transposition have all been observational, mostly 123 small and retrospective including cases and case series reports and most of the studies have been 124 uncontrolled.
- 125 Current meta-analysis of observational data indicate that the procedure of ovarian transposition is 126 feasible. Data also show that the procedure is efficacious with regards to ovarian function preservation 127 (in most patients) and pregnancies have been reported. A single ovarian transposition seems to be 128 sufficient to maintain ovarian function; however reproductive outcomes are seldom reported in a whole
- 129 cohort and mostly as retrospective case series.
- Regarding safety, an overall complication rate of 12.8% has been reported, mainly ovarian cysts not requiring additional intervention or treatment. In recent years, less invasive laparoscopy and robotassisted laparoscopy have been applied to OT procedures and more information should be available
- in the future regarding preferred surgical techniques. At the moment, the OT technique is not
- 134 standardized.

- 135 Recent data show that the efficacy of transposition to protect ovarian function is dependent on patient
- characteristics. In women of high reproductive age and/or with reduced ovarian reserve the benefits
- 137 of the procedure may be smaller and not proportionate to the risks. Similarly, in women at risk of
- 138 developing ovarian metastases, the procedure should not be recommended. The GDG therefore
- 139 advises against ovarian transposition for these subgroups of patients.
- 140 The recommendation to offer ovarian transposition to women scheduled to undergo pelvic
- 141 radiotherapy is in line with recommendations from the American society of Clinical Oncology (Lee et
- 142 <u>al., 2006, Oktay et al., 2018</u>) and the National comprehensive Cancer Network recommend offering
- 143 ovarian transposition as a fertility preservation option in patients with cancer (Koh et al., 2019).
- 144 Research recommendation
- 145 Well-designed clinical trials on the efficacy and safety of ovarian transposition are lacking

### 146 References

- Clough KB, Goffinet F, Labib A, Renolleau C, Campana F, de la Rochefordiere A, Durand JC. Laparoscopic unilateral ovarian
   transposition prior to irradiation: prospective study of 20 cases. *Cancer* 1996;77: 2638-2645.
- 149Du Z, Qu H. The relationship between ovarian function and ovarian limited dose in radiotherapy postoperation of ovarian150transposition in young patients with cervical cancer. Cancer medicine 2017;6: 508-515.
- 151 Gomez-Hidalgo NR, Darin MC, Dalton H, Jhingran A, Fleming N, Brown J, Ramirez PT. Ovarian torsion after laparoscopic
   152 ovarian transposition in patients with gynecologic cancer: a report of two cases. *Journal of minimally invasive gynecology* 153 2015;22: 687-690.
- 154Grabenbauer GG, Girke P, Wildt L, Muller RG, Herbst M, Sauer R. [Ovariopexy and the treatment of Hodgkin's disease].155Strahlenther Onkol 1991;167: 273-276.
- 156 Gubbala K, Laios A, Gallos I, Pathiraja P, Haldar K, Ind T. Outcomes of ovarian transposition in gynaecological cancers; a 157 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of ovarian research* 2014;7: 69.
- Hoekman EJ, Broeders E, Louwe LA, Nout RA, Jansen FW, de Kroon CD. Ovarian function after ovarian transposition and
   additional pelvic radiotherapy: A systematic review. European journal of surgical oncology : the journal of the European Society
   of Surgical Oncology and the British Association of Surgical Oncology 2019;45: 1328-1340.
- Hoekman EJ, Knoester D, Peters AAW, Jansen FW, de Kroon CD, Hilders C. Ovarian survival after pelvic radiation:
   transposition until the age of 35 years. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2018;298: 1001-1007.
- Huang KG, Lee CL, Tsai CS, Han CM, Hwang LL. A new approach for laparoscopic ovarian transposition before pelvic
   irradiation. *Gynecol Oncol* 2007;105: 234-237.
- 165 Jang B, Rohr A, Vakharia PP, Collins Z, Marsh C, Herrera I, Fahrbach T. Case report: interventional radiology's potential role 166 for in vitro fertilization post ovarian transposition and pelvic radiation. *Fertility research and practice* 2019;5: 4.
- Koh WJ, Abu-Rustum NR, Bean S, Bradley K, Campos SM, Cho KR, Chon HS, Chu C, Clark R, Cohn D *et al.* Cervical Cancer,
   Version 3.2019, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network :* JNCCN 2019;17: 64-84.
- Lee SJ, Schover LR, Partridge AH, Patrizio P, Wallace WH, Hagerty K, Beck LN, Brennan LV, Oktay K. American Society of
   Clinical Oncology recommendations on fertility preservation in cancer patients. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal* of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2006;24: 2917-2931.
- 173 Moawad NS, Santamaria E, Rhoton-Vlasak A, Lightsey JL. Laparoscopic Ovarian Transposition Before Pelvic Cancer 174 Treatment: Ovarian Function and Fertility Preservation. *Journal of minimally invasive gynecology* 2017;24: 28-35.
- Morice P, Thiam-Ba R, Castaigne D, Haie-Meder C, Gerbaulet A, Pautier P, Duvillard P, Michel G. Fertility results after ovarian transposition for pelvic malignancies treated by external irradiation or brachytherapy. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 1998;13: 660-663.
- Oktay K, Harvey BE, Partridge AH, Quinn GP, Reinecke J, Taylor HS, Wallace WH, Wang ET, Loren AW. Fertility Preservation
   in Patients With Cancer: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Update. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American* Society of Clinical Oncology 2018;36: 1994-2001.
- Selvaraj P, Selvaraj K, Gangadharan C, Annigeri V, Srinivasan K, Sivakumar M. India's First Successful Surrogate Birth after
   Percutaneous Oocyte Retrieval following Modified Radical Hysterectomy and Right Ovarian Transposition to the Anterior
   Abdominal Wall. J Hum Reprod Sci 2019;12: 262-266.
- 184 Terenziani M, Piva L, Meazza C, Gandola L, Cefalo G, Merola M. Oophoropexy: a relevant role in preservation of ovarian 185 function after pelvic irradiation. *Fertility and sterility* 2009;91: 935.e915-936.
- Wallace WH, Thomson AB, Saran F, Kelsey TW. Predicting age of ovarian failure after radiation to a field that includes the
   ovaries. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2005;62: 738-744.
- Yin L, Lu S, Zhu J, Zhang W, Ke G. Ovarian transposition before radiotherapy in cervical cancer patients: functional outcome
- and the adequate dose constraint. *Radiation oncology (London, England)* 2019;14: 100.
- 190

1

# PART E: After treatment care

With increasing survival rate after cancer, it is becoming more and more common for cancer survivors to get pregnant. Rates of pregnancy among cancer survivors are generally lower than age-matched peers but pregnancy does not appear to increase the risk of cancer recurrence (<u>Duffy</u> <u>and Allen, 2009</u>). However, there has been considerable concern and debate regarding the safety of pregnancy in women with cancer, and specifically with hormone-sensitive tumours such as breast cancer. Cancer patients also report concerns regarding the risks of chemotherapy to their offspring and the safety of pregnancy itself.

# 9 E1. Patient assessment prior to use of stored 10 material

11 The increasing number of cancer survivors makes the issues of ovarian dysfunction and 12 childbearing ability more and more relevant for the quality of life of these patients. For those who 13 wish to start or increase their family after cancer, it is important to assess their reproductive function 14 and potential for conception and successful pregnancy. This chapter will summarize how to re-15 assess reproductive function before use of stored material and/or in view of reproduction.

# 16 NARRATIVE QUESTION: HOW SHOULD PATIENTS BE RE-ASSESSED BEFORE USE OF STORED 17 MATERIAL?

## 18 Cancer patients

## 19 Ovarian damage

In a literature review of breast cancer survivors, the risk of ovarian failure in women under the age of 40 years was between 22-61%, whereas in women above the age of 40 years the risk was increased to 61-97% (<u>Dabrosin, 2015</u>). Most women who resume ovarian function after chemotherapy tend to get return of menses within 1 year, although menstrual irregularities are common (<u>Goldman and O'Hair, 2009</u>).

It is possible to assess the ovarian reserve before and after cancer treatment by performing serum
 anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) assessments. It is known that AMH is lower in breast cancer survivors

than in controls (Anderson et al., 2006, Lutchman Singh et al., 2007, Partridge et al., 2010, Su et al.,

- 28 2010); AMH is lower after chemotherapy than before treatment (<u>Anderson *et al.*, 2006</u>, <u>Lutchman</u>
- 29 <u>Singh *et al.*, 2007</u>, <u>Anders *et al.*, 2008</u>, <u>Rosendahl *et al.*, 2010</u>, <u>Yu *et al.*, 2010</u>, <u>Henry *et al.*, 2014</u>); after
- chemotherapy for breast cancer, AMH is higher in menstruating women than in patients who are
   amenorrhoeic (Anders *et al.*, 2008, Su *et al.*, 2010, Anderson and Cameron, 2011); and pre-treatment
- 32 AMH is predictive of ovarian function, as based on menstrual function (Anderson et al., 2006,
- 33 Rosendahl et al., 2010, Henry et al., 2014).
- However, it is important to recognize the limitations of AMH as a predictor of pregnancy, either though natural conception or after ART (<u>Hagen *et al.*, 2012</u>, <u>Steiner *et al.*, 2017</u>). There are very limited
- 36 data on the relation between post-cancer AMH levels and pregnancy, but it is clear than even very
- 37 low AMH levels do not preclude the chance of natural conception in the short-term (<u>Hamy *et al.*</u>,
- 38 <u>2016</u>, <u>Anderson *et al.*, 2018</u>).
- 39 Measurement of AMH after cancer may be of value in predicting remaining reproductive lifespan,
- i.e. time to menopause. There are no data directly assessing this in cancer survivors, but in healthy
- 41 women, AMH has some predictive value. However, the added value over age is poor, particularly
- for prediction of early menopause (<u>Depmann *et al.*, 2018</u>). Assessment of the rate of decline through serial measurement is also uninformative (<u>de Kat *et al.*, 2019</u>) and seems to underestimate the risk

- of early menopause. Recent data from women already approaching a natural menopause indicate
- that in that age group, a very low AMH can be an accurate predictor of the likelihood of having the
- 46 final menstrual period within the next 12 months (<u>Finkelstein *et al.*, 2020</u>).

## 47 Uterine damage

48 Women who have been treated with radiotherapy to a field that includes the uterus have increased

- 49 risks of pregnancy complications (see section E1. Patient assessment prior to use of stored
- material). This is an important risk factor to take into account in patient assessment and counselling.
   Measurement of uterine volume or function (e.g. uterine artery blood flow) may be of value, but
- 52 prospective studies assessing their predictive performance have not been performed.

## 53 Assessment of infertility or POI

54 It is important that cancer survivors who present with infertility are fully assessed with consideration of non-cancer related causes of infertility, including their partners. Patients who do not conceive 55 spontaneously or who experience POI and have cryopreserved gametes or ovarian tissue before 56 cancer treatment may be able to conceive via MAR. A risk assessment of their health (including risk 57 of recurrence of disease) through a multidisciplinary discussion on implications for pregnancy is 58 59 recommended: this should include an oncologist (or other relevant medical specialty) and an obstetrician as well as reproductive medicine specialists. Part of assessing the risk should include 60 61 an oncological review to assess the safety aspects related to the treatment, with careful review of 62 potential treatment-related effects on cardiovascular and other maternal health (see Figure 5). 63 Frozen embryo replacement in a natural cycle might be recommended instead of in a hormonal replacement treatment cycle for women with oestrogen receptor positive breast cancer, in order 64

65 to reduce the unnecessary exposure to high levels of oestrogens for a prolonged period of time.

Patients with POI who had not cryopreserved gametes or tissue should be offered support and counselling to deal with infertility and discuss other family building options (see Checklist 4); appropriate guidance can be found in the guidelines for POI (<u>Webber *et al.*</u>, 2016). Psychological counselling, pre-conception antenatal counselling and treatment implication counselling is extremely important and should be offered to all patients. Local guidelines for treatment, taking into account the welfare of the child, should be followed.

## 72 How long should patient be in remission?

Although conceiving after a cancer treatment does not increase the risk of cancer recurrence, it is 73 still unknown whether short intervals between treatment and conception might cause poor 74 pregnancy outcomes. Hartnett and colleagues reported outcomes of 4922 births to cancer 75 76 survivors and concluded that women who conceived ≤1 year after starting chemotherapy had higher risks of preterm birth than control (chemotherapy alone: relative risk [RR] 1.9; 95% Cl 1.3-2.7; 77 78 chemotherapy with radiation: RR 2.4; 95% Cl 1.6-3.6); women who conceived ≥1 year after starting chemotherapy without radiation or  $\geq 2$  years after chemotherapy with radiation did not have an 79 80 increased risk overall, although the risk of preterm birth in cervical cancer survivors largely 81 persisted. They concluded that the risk of preterm birth was limited to those survivors who had short intervals between treatment and conception (Hartnett et al., 2018). 82

84 Figure 5 Patient re-assessment before attempting pregnancy (with or without the use of stored

85 material) (summary)



86

87

## 88 Transgender men

89 Stored material from transgender men can be used in 3 ways, by the patient himself, if he still has 90 a uterus, in a female partner, or in a surrogate (see Checklist 5).

91 Although there are no papers on re-assessment of transgender men before the use of stored 92 material, medical assessment and the welfare of the child should always be considered, and

93 psychological support offered throughout the pregnancy.

94 In case of stored material from a transgender man being used by himself, a
95 medical/endocrinological assessment and the type of any ongoing hormonal treatment should be
96 taken into consideration.

- Furthermore, the effects of long-term endocrinological treatments, and the start of that treatment(before or after puberty) should be considered, and whether the uterus can sustain a pregnancy.
- If using stored material from transgender men by the patient himself is not preferred, alternative
   family building options (see Checklist 5) depending on context and national regulations should be
   considered.

## 103 Recommendations

Before the use of stored material, fitness for pregnancy should be thoroughly assessed, taking into account treatment late effects, the age of the patient and the interval since treatment. STRONG  $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ 

## 104

The need for psychological counselling, pre-conception counselling and fertility treatment counselling should be considered for all patients. Local guidelines for counselling should be followed.

GPP

## 105 Justification

Pregnancy after cancer can be complicated by uterine damage or other late effects of treatments (e.g. 106 chemotherapy, radiotherapy). To predict and prevent possible complications, a thorough assessment 107 108 of fitness for pregnancy is recommended taking into consideration factors that affect the risk of pregnancy complications (i.e. the type of treatment, the age of the patient and the time since 109 treatment). Additional assessment of ovarian reserve and fertility could be helpful to guide clinical 110 decisions regarding the need to use stored material or the possibility of attempting natural pregnancy. 111 With the second recommendation, the GDG wants to stress the importance of pre-conception 112 counselling in which the reproductive options are clearly explained. Checklist 4 and Checklist 5 can be 113

helpful to discuss reproductive options after fertility preservation for cancer patients and transgender

men, respectively. Adoption is also a possibility for all patients and should be considered where

- 116 appropriate.
- 117
- 118

## 119 Checklist 4 Reproductive options after fertility preservation for cancer patients

CANCER PATIENTS				
FP Option	Reproductive planning after cancer			
No fertility	If low impact of cancer on fertility	Natural pregnancy OR IVF		
preservation	If high impact of cancer on fertility	IVF with fresh oocytes OR Donor oocytes + partner/donor sperm		
	If low impact of cancer on fertility	Natural pregnancy or IVF		
Cryopreserved oocytes	If high impact of cancer on fertility	IVF with cryopreserved oocytes + Partner sperm/donor sperm		
	If insufficient number of cryopreserved oocytes	IVF with donor oocytes + partner/donor sperm		
Cryopreserved ovarian tissue	If low impact of cancer on fertility	Natural pregnancy or IVF		
	If high impact of cancer on fertility	OTT + natural pregnancy OR OTT + IVF (partner/donor sperm) OR OTT + IVM (partner/donor sperm)		
	If low impact of cancer on fertility	Embryo transfer OR Natural pregnancy OR IVF with fresh oocytes		
Cryopreserved embryos (partner or donor sperm)	If high impact of cancer on fertility	Embryo transfer		
	If insufficient number of cryopreserved embryos	IVF with donor oocytes + partner /donor sperm OR donated embryos		
	If new partner (and embryos with sperm of former partner)	IVF with Donor oocytes + current partner/donor sperm		

120 Abbreviations; FP, fertility preservation; IVF, in vitro fertilization; IVM, in vitro maturation; OTT, Ovarian 121 tissue transplantation

#### Checklist 5 Reproductive options after Fertility preservation for transgender men. 123

TRANSGENDER MEN				
FP Option		Reproductive planning with <u>female</u> partner	Reproductive planning with <u>male</u> partner	
No fertility preservation		IUI or IVF: Partner oocytes + Donor sperm	IVF: Donor oocytes + Partner sperm + Gestational carrier or TM uterus	
Cryopreserved oocytes		IUI or IVF: TM cryopreserved oocytes + Donor sperm	IVF: TM cryopreserved oocytes + Partner sperm + Gestational carrier or TM uterus	
Cryopreserved ovarian tissue		OTT/IVM/TM matured oocytes? + Donor sperm	OTT/IVM/TM matured oocytes? + Partner sperm + Gestational carrier or TM uterus	
Cryopreserved embryos (Partner or donor sperm)		Embryo transfer to partner	Embryo transfer + Gestational carrier or TM uterus	

Abbreviations; FP, fertility preservation; IUI, intra-uterine insemination; IVF, in vitro fertilization; IVM, in vitro maturation; OTT, Ovarian tissue transplantation; TM, transgender man 124

126

## 127 References

- Anders C, Marcom PK, Peterson B, Gu L, Unruhe S, Welch R, Lyons P, Behera M, Copland S, Kimmick G *et al.* A pilot study of
   predictive markers of chemotherapy-related amenorrhea among premenopausal women with early stage breast cancer.
   *Cancer Invest* 2008;26: 286-295.
- 131 Anderson RA, Brewster DH, Wood R, Nowell S, Fischbacher C, Kelsey TW, Wallace WHB. The impact of cancer on subsequent chance of pregnancy: a population-based analysis. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 1281-1290.
- Anderson RA, Cameron DA. Pretreatment serum anti-mullerian hormone predicts long-term ovarian function and bone mass
   after chemotherapy for early breast cancer. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2011;96: 1336-1343.
- Anderson RA, Themmen AP, Al-Qahtani A, Groome NP, Cameron DA. The effects of chemotherapy and long-term
   gonadotrophin suppression on the ovarian reserve in premenopausal women with breast cancer. *Human reproduction* (Oxford, England) 2006;21: 2583-2592.
- 138 Dabrosin C. An overview of pregnancy and fertility issues in breast cancer patients. Annals of medicine 2015;47: 673-678.
- de Kat AC, van der Schouw YT, Eijkemans MJC, Broer SL, Verschuren WMM, Broekmans FJM. Can Menopause Prediction
   Be Improved With Multiple AMH Measurements? Results From the Prospective Doetinchem Cohort Study. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2019;104: 5024-5031.
- Depmann M, Eijkemans MJC, Broer SL, Tehrani FR, Solaymani-Dodaran M, Azizi F, Lambalk CB, Randolph JF, Jr., Harlow SD,
   Freeman EW *et al.* Does AMH relate to timing of menopause? Results of an Individual Patient Data meta- analysis. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2018.
- 145 Duffy C, Allen S. Medical and psychosocial aspects of fertility after cancer. Cancer journal (Sudbury, Mass) 2009;15: 27-33.
- Finkelstein JS, Lee H, Karlamangla A, Neer RM, Sluss PM, Burnett-Bowie SM, Darakananda K, Donahoe PK, Harlow SD,
  Prizand SH *et al.* Antimullerian Hormone and Impending Menopause in Late Reproductive Age: The Study of Women's
  Health Across the Nation. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2020;105.
- Goldman M, O'Hair K. Women's health, breast health: a review of the gynecologic effects of breast cancer. Obstet Gynecol
   Surv 2009;64: 469-480; quiz 499.
- Hagen CP, Vestergaard S, Juul A, Skakkebaek NE, Andersson AM, Main KM, Hjollund NH, Ernst E, Bonde JP, Anderson RA *et al.* Low concentration of circulating antimullerian hormone is not predictive of reduced fecundability in young healthy women: a prospective cohort study. *Fertility and sterility* 2012;98: 1602-1608 e1602.
- Hamy AS, Porcher R, Eskenazi S, Cuvier C, Giacchetti S, Coussy F, Hocini H, Tournant B, Perret F, Bonfils S *et al.* Anti-Mullerian
   hormone in breast cancer patients treated with chemotherapy: a retrospective evaluation of subsequent pregnancies.
   *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2016;32: 299-307.
- Hartnett KP, Mertens AC, Kramer MR, Lash TL, Spencer JB, Ward KC, Howards PP. Pregnancy after cancer: Does timing of conception affect infant health? *Cancer* 2018;124: 4401-4407.
- Henry NL, Xia R, Schott AF, McConnell D, Banerjee M, Hayes DF. Prediction of postchemotherapy ovarian function using
   markers of ovarian reserve. *The oncologist* 2014;19: 68-74.
- Lutchman Singh K, Muttukrishna S, Stein RC, McGarrigle HH, Patel A, Parikh B, Groome NP, Davies MC, Chatterjee R.
   Predictors of ovarian reserve in young women with breast cancer. *British journal of cancer* 2007;96: 1808-1816.
- Partridge AH, Ruddy KJ, Gelber S, Schapira L, Abusief M, Meyer M, Ginsburg E. Ovarian reserve in women who remain premenopausal after chemotherapy for early stage breast cancer. *Fertility and sterility* 2010;94: 638-644.
- 165 Rosendahl M, Andersen CY, la Cour Freiesleben N, Juul A, Lossl K, Andersen AN. Dynamics and mechanisms of 166 chemotherapy-induced ovarian follicular depletion in women of fertile age. *Fertility and sterility* 2010;94: 156-166.
- Steiner AZ, Pritchard D, Stanczyk FZ, Kesner JS, Meadows JW, Herring AH, Baird DD. Association Between Biomarkers of
   Ovarian Reserve and Infertility Among Older Women of Reproductive Age. JAMA 2017;318: 1367-1376.
- Su HI, Sammel MD, Green J, Velders L, Stankiewicz C, Matro J, Freeman EW, Gracia CR, DeMichele A. Antimullerian hormone and inhibin B are hormone measures of ovarian function in late reproductive-aged breast cancer survivors. *Cancer* 2010;116:
   592-599.
- Webber L, Davies M, Anderson R, Bartlett J, Braat D, Cartwright B, Cifkova R, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama S, Hogervorst E,
   Janse F *et al.* ESHRE Guideline: management of women with premature ovarian insufficiency. *Human reproduction (Oxford,*
- 174 *England*) 2016;31: 926-937.
- Yu B, Douglas N, Ferin MJ, Nakhuda GS, Crew K, Lobo RA, Hershman DL. Changes in markers of ovarian reserve and endocrine function in young women with breast cancer undergoing adjuvant chemotherapy. *Cancer* 2010;116: 2099-2105.

## <sup>1</sup> E2. Obstetric outcomes

The focus of this section is to assess whether cancer and its treatment are associated with increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes and identify factors that could be used to highlight pregnancies at increased risk.

## 5 **PICO** QUESTION: WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF PREVIOUS GONADOTOXIC TREATMENTS AND 6 UNDERLYING CONDITIONS ON OBSTETRIC OUTCOMES?

7

8 Reports from large registry data from the Scottish Cancer Registry (van der Kooi et al., 2018), the North Carolina Central Cancer Registry (CCR) (Anderson et al., 2017), the Finnish Cancer Registry 9 (Madanat-Harjuoja et al., 2013, Melin et al., 2019) and the Cancer registry of Norway (Fossa et al., 10 2005) concluded that women previously treated for cancer had higher rates of postpartum 11 haemorrhage, operative or assisted delivery, and preterm birth (See Tbale 10). Furthermore, their 12 13 offspring were more likely to require monitoring or care in a neonatal intensive care unit. The risks of early death or stillbirth were not increased after adjustment for prematurity, and there was no 14 increased risk of congenital or chromosomal abnormality (Winther et al., 2012, Nielsen et al., 2018, 15 16 van der Kooi et al., 2019). Data from the Swedish Cancer Register (10,017 births in female cancer survivors) identified an increased risk of stillbirth within three years after the cancer diagnosis (OR 17 18 1.92, 95% CI 1.03–3.57). However, the risk of stillbirth and neonatal death was significantly decreased among second children as compared to the first born, suggesting that any adverse effect 19 associated with cancer treatments may diminish with time (Ji et al., 2016). 20

21 A recent meta-analysis of data from cohort studies and registries came to similar conclusions (van der Kooi et al., 2019). Their calculations showed that cancer survivors had an increased risk of 22 23 prematurity (RR 1.56; 95% Cl 1.37-1.77), low birth weight (RR 1.47; 95% Cl 1.24-1.73), emergency caesarean section (RR 1.22; 95% Cl 1.15-1.30), elective caesarean section (RR 1.38; 95% Cl 1.13-1.70), 24 and postpartum haemorrhage (RR 1.18; 95% Cl 1.02-1.36). They reported a non-significant difference 25 in small-for-gestational-age-babies (RR 0.99; 95% CI 0.81-1.22), and antepartum haemorrhage (RR 26 1.06; 95% CI 0.88-1.29). In their meta-analysis, the incidence of congenital abnormalities was 27 28 significantly higher in children from cancer survivors (RR 1.10; 95% Cl 1.02-2.20) (van der Kooi et al., 29 <u>2019</u>).

## 30 Recommendations

Preconception counselling and appropriate obstetric monitoring is recommended in women intending to become pregnant after anticancer treatments.

STRONG ⊕⊕⊕⊖

## 31 Justification

- 32 Registry data and cohort study data summarized in a recent meta-analysis show consistently that
- 33 cancer survivors are at increased risk of postpartum haemorrhage, caesarean section, and preterm
- 34 birth. The GDG decided that such increased risk justifies preconception counselling and obstetric
- 35 monitoring. (See also summary Table 11)
- 36

## 37 Table 10 Overview of data from large registries on obstetric outcomes after cancer

	( <u>van der Kooi</u> <u>et al., 2018</u> )	( <u>Anderson <i>et</i></u> <u>al., 2017</u> )	( <u>Madanat-</u> <u>Harjuoja <i>et al.,</i> 2013</u> )	( <u>Fossa et al</u> <u>2005</u> )	( <u>Ji et al., 2016</u> )
	Scotland	North Carolina	Finland	Norway	Sweden
Study group	10,271 nulliparous women diagnosed with cancer before the age of 40 years	21 716 women with a cancer diagnosis between ages 15 and 39 vears	25 784 males and females	8644 women after diagnosis in cancer patients aged 15 to 45	1,977 cancer survivors who had given birth before / after their cancer diagnosis
Control group	General population	General population	44 611 full and half siblings of these patients		General population (without cancer)
BIRTH					
Antepartum haemorrhage	No difference (RR 1.13; 95% Cl 0.86–1.50)				4
Postpartum haemorrhage	Increased (RR 1.42; 95% CI 1.29–1.55)				
Operative or assisted delivery – elective	Increased (RR 1.59; 95% Cl 1.35–1.88)	Increased		Increased	
Operative or assisted delivery – emergency	Increased (RR 1.20; 95% Cl 1.08–1.34)	(PR 1.08; 1.01-1.14)		1.9-2.7)	
PERINATAL OUTCOM	IES				
Small for gestational age	<b>Decreased</b> (RR 0.82; 95% Cl 0.68–0.98)	No difference (PR 0.97; 0.85-1.11)			
Low Apgar score (<7)	U	No difference (PR 1.18; 0.87-1.61)			
Low birth weight	No difference (RR 1.15; 95% Cl 0.94–1.39)	Increased (PR 1.59; 95%Cl 1.38-1.83)	2	Increased (singletons) (OR 2.5; 95% Cl 2.0-3.2)	
Preterm birth	Increased (RR 1.32; 95% Cl 1.10–1.59)	Increased (PR 1.52; 95% CI 1.34-1.71)		<b>Increased</b> (singletons) (OR 2.8; 95% CI 2.3-3.4)	
Early preterm birth		Increased (PR 2.03;95%Cl 1.62-2.55)			
Need for intensive care or neonatal monitoring	Increased (RR 1.03; 95% Cl 0.90–1.19)		Increased (OR 1.90; 95% Cl 1.65 – 2.19)		
Perinatal death (< 7 days after live birth)			No difference (OR 1.35; 95% Cl 0.58 – 3.18)	No difference (OR 1.2; 95% Cl 0.6-2.4)	
Neonatal death (< 28 days after live birth)			No difference (OR 1.40; 95% Cl 0.46 – 4.24)		No difference (OR 1.13; 95% Cl 0.80–1.60)
Early death (< 1 year after birth)			No difference (OR 1.11; 95% Cl 0.64 – 1.93)		
Stillbirth			No difference (OR 1.15; 95% Cl 0.61 – 2.19)		No difference (OR 1.27; 95% Cl 0.95–1.68)
Congenital abnormalities	No difference (RR 1.01; 95% Cl 0.85–1.20)			No difference (OR 0.6; 95% Cl 0.4-1.0)	

38

39

#### Effect of chemotherapy 41

42 No systematic reviews were found on the effect of different chemotherapy regimens in adult women on subsequent pregnancy. Recent analysis suggests that chemotherapy is not associated 43

with adverse pregnancy outcomes (van Dorp et al., 2018). 44

Akhtar and colleagues retrospectively assessed 176 patients (age 14-40 years) who underwent high 45 dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplant without total body irradiation (TBI) for 46 47 diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and Hodgkin lymphoma (Akhtar et al., 2015). Twenty-six patients 48 (65%) became pregnant 50 times (range 1-6 times), resulting in 43 (86%) live births, 7 (14%) miscarriages, and 1 still birth (at 28 weeks). There was a significantly higher incidence of successful 49 pregnancies after autologous stem cell transplant in patients younger than 40 years. Other single 50

studies were of very small patient groups, precluding accurate interpretation. 51

Large prospective cohort and population-based studies have evaluated the effects of 52 chemotherapy for childhood cancer on subsequent pregnancy outcomes, whereas data are more 53 limited for adult cancer patients. One recent publication reported outcomes of 4922 births to cancer 54 survivors and concluded that women who conceived ≥1 year after starting chemotherapy without 55 radiation or ≥2 years after chemotherapy with radiation did not have an increased risk of preterm 56

- birth (<u>Hartnett et al., 2018</u>). Women who conceived ≤1 year after starting chemotherapy had higher 57 58 risks of preterm birth than controls (chemotherapy alone: RR 1.9; 95% Cl 1.3-2.7; chemotherapy with
- radiation: RR 2.4; 95% Cl 1.6-3.6). 59

#### 60 Recommendation

An interval of at least 1 year following chemotherapy completion should be considered before attempting a pregnancy in order to reduce the risk of pregnancy complications

STRONG ⊕000

- 61 Justification
- 62 In general, there was an increased risk of preterm birth in women after cancer treatment (see previous
- section). The study of Hartnett, looking at the impact of chemotherapy, shows that this effect may be 63
- linked to the time interval between the end of chemotherapy and the pregnancy. Such information 64
- 65 should be included in preconception counselling.

#### Effect of Pelvic radiotherapy 66

There are robust data from that radiotherapy to a field that includes the uterus is associated with 67 68 adverse pregnancy outcomes in women who had been exposed during childhood and 69 adolescence, but the data following adult exposure are much more limited. Females treated with pelvic radiation for childhood cancers have an increased rate of uterine dysfunction leading to 70 pregnancy loss, preterm birth and low birth weight (Critchlev and Wallace, 2005). These pregnancy-71 related complications are related with reduced uterine volume, damage of uterine vessels, 72 myometrial fibrosis, endometrial injury (Critchlev and Wallace, 2005) (Teh et al., 2014). Doses of 14 73 to 30Gy can lead to irreversible uterine function in young female patients (Critchley and Wallace, 74 2005).

75

76 A large retrospective cohort study, performed between 1970 and 1986, enrolled 1774 women younger than 21 years at initial cancer diagnosis, who had survived for at least 5 years after 77 78 diagnosis and who had received radiotherapy, found that high-dose pelvic irradiation can permanently impair growth and blood flow to the uterus resulting in a reduced uterine volume; 79 these effects of radiation are dependent on age (Signorello et al., 2010). Sixty stillbirths or neonatal 80 81 deaths, and 3077 live births were reported. Uterine or ovarian irradiation with doses ≥2.5 Gy greatly increased the risk of stillbirth or neonatal death (12-fold) in women treated before menarche. 82 83 Therefore, careful management is warranted for pregnant women treated with high doses of pelvic

84 irradiation, particularly before they have reached puberty

- 85 In a study reporting on the effect of adulthood radiation effect on pregnancy, the incidence of
- spontaneous abortion (37% versus 7%) and preterm birth (63% versus 18%) were significantly higher
- in TBI recipients when compared to the chemotherapy-only group (<u>Sanders *et al.*, 1996</u>). The 13
- preterm births resulted in 10 low birth weight (1.8 to 2.24kg) and three very low birth weight ( $\leq$  1.36kg)
- infants, for an overall incidence of 25%, which is higher than the expected incidence of 6.5% for the
- go general population. Four Gy appears to be the threshold dose.
- Radiotherapy-induced structural and functional changes to the uterus (> 5Gy) may adversely affect
- 92 implantation and maintenance of pregnancy increasing the risk of placental attachment disorders
- 93 (placenta accreta or placenta percreta), low birth weight (OR 3.64; 95% Cl 1.33-9.96; in survivors after
- abdominopelvic radiation; OR 6.8; 95% CI 2.1-22.2); small for gestational age (OR 4.0; 95% CI 1.6-9.8)
- 95 ; preterm birth (OR 3.5; 95% CI 1.5-8.0); and perinatal death and foetal malposition (<u>Tarin *et al.*, 2016</u>).
- 96 In conclusion, uterine exposure to radiotherapy during childhood reduces adult uterine volume and
- 97 leads to an increased risk of pregnancy complications and adverse pregnancy outcomes.
- 98 Preconceptional assessment and appropriate obstetric monitoring is warranted (van de Loo *et al.*,
   99 2019).

## 100 Recommendation

Radiotherapy to a field that included the uterus increases the risk of pregnancy complications; this risk is age and dose dependent. These pregnancies should be treated as high risk and managed in a centre with advanced maternity services.

STRONG ⊕000

## 101 Justification

- 102 Most of the reports on pregnancy outcomes after pelvic radiotherapy are based on patients receiving
- treatment for childhood cancer. Although these reports provide only indirect evidence, a negative
- 104 impact of pelvic radiotherapy in adulthood can be expected. As such, pregnancies in patients who
- received previous pelvic radiotherapy could be associated with severe complications. The GDG
- decided to strongly recommend careful follow-up of these pregnancies, irrespective of whether the
- 107 radiotherapy was received in childhood or when adult.
- 108 Research recommendation:
- 109 The effect of pelvic radiotherapy in adults on pregnancy outcomes should be further investigated.

## 110 Breast cancer

A systematic review and meta-analysis reported on associations between maternal breast cancer 111 and adverse delivery outcomes. The reviewers concluded that maternal breast cancer was 112 associated with an increased risk of preterm birth (pooled RR 1.82; 95% CI 1.44-2.30) based on 7 113 studies (n=6,687,579 patients and controls) and low birth weight (pooled RR 1.41; 95% Cl 1.15-1.74) 114 based on 5 studies (n=6,687,103 patients and controls) (Sun et al., 2018). However, when the analysis 115 for preterm birth was stratified by publication year, the risk associated with breast cancer appeared 116 larger among studies published before 2010 (RR 2.18; 95% CI 1.83-2.60) compared with studies 117 published after 2010 (RR 1.42; 95% CI 1.04-1.94). After excluding each study individually, the 118 sensitivity analysis confirmed the significant associations between history of breast cancer and 119 increased risk of preterm birth and low delivery weight, suggesting high stability in the meta-120 analysis results. A recent large registry study including 18,280 women with history of breast cancer 121 from South Korea found that breast cancer survivors had a lower probability of full-term delivery 122 (adjusted OR 0.78; 95% CI 0.68-0.90) and a higher frequency of preterm birth (adjusted OR 1.33; 95% 123 Cl 1.06-1.65) than controls (Lee et al., 2019). 124

A systematic review and meta-analysis conducted by Hartman and colleagues included 19 studies assessing the overall and disease-free survival of women where pregnancy occurred after breast cancer diagnosis. These women (n=1829) had a significantly reduced risk of death compared to the 128 controls (n=21,907) who did not conceive (HR 0.63; 95% CI 0.51-0.79) (<u>Hartman and Eslick, 2016</u>). The reviewers recalculated the ratios taking into consideration the "healthy mother effect"<sup>5</sup> with the 129 same conclusion; women who became pregnant after a diagnosis of breast cancer had a reduced 130 risk of death (HR 0.65; 95% Cl 0.52–0.81). Moreover, there was a decreased risk of recurrence and 131 disease progression for these women (HR 0.93; 95% Cl 0.68–1.28). After the publication of this meta-132 analysis, 3 studies were published. Lambertini and colleagues assessed the prognostic value of 133 pregnancy after breast cancer overall and according to hormone receptor status (Lambertini et al., 134 2018). At a median follow-up of 7.2 years after pregnancy (approximately 10 years after breast 135 136 cancer diagnosis), no difference was observed in disease-free survival between patients with or without a pregnancy after estrogen receptor (ER)-positive (HR 0.94; 95% CI 0.70-1.26) or ER-137 negative (HR 0.75, 95% CI 0.53-1.06) breast cancer. There was no difference in overall survival in 138 patients with ER-positive disease (HR 0.84, 95% CI 0.60-1.18), while women with ER-negative breast 139 cancer with a subsequent pregnancy showed better overall survival (HR 0.57, 95% CI 0.36-0.90). 140 Iqbal and colleagues performed a retrospective study (n=7553) looking at the association between 141 142 timing of pregnancy with survival after breast cancer. Pregnancy did not adversely affect the 5year survival rate in women with breast cancer (age-adjusted HR 0.22; 95% CI 0.10-0.49) and 143 144 adjusting for ER status did not influence the results (<u>lgbal et al., 2017</u>). A retrospective analysis conducted within the large adjuvant ALTTO<sup>6</sup> randomized trial reported on the prognostic effect of 145 having a pregnancy after HER2-positive early breast cancer. With an extended Cox model with 146 time-varying covariates to account for a guarantee-time bias (to account for a possible 'healthy 147 mother' effect), the study did not show any significant difference in disease-free survival (adjusted 148 HR 1.12; 95% Cl 0.52-2.42) between young patients with a pregnancy (n=85) and those without 149 (n=1,307) (Lambertini et al., 2019). 150

## 151 Adjuvant treatment and pregnancy

A recent review summarized data from 238 cases of tamoxifen use during pregnancy. Abnormal foetal development was reported in 21 of 167 pregnancies (12.6%) with known outcome (<u>Schuurman</u> <u>et al., 2019</u>). The overall miscarriage rate was 6.7%. The safety and feasibility of temporary interrupting anti-estrogen therapy (for up to 2 years) for allowing pregnancy attempts, with subsequent resumption of therapy is currently being investigated in the POSITIVE trial. Results are expected in 2028 (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT02308085).

## 158 Recommendations

	After completion of the recommended treatment, pregnancy is safe in women who have survived breast cancer. This is independent of estrogen receptor status of the tumour.	STRONG	⊕⊕⊙○
159			
	Pregnancy after treatment for breast cancer should be closely monitored, as there is an increased risk of preterm birth and low birth weight. Patients should be informed about these risks.	STRONG	⊕⊕⊕⊖
160			
	Reliable non-hormonal contraception is mandatory during tamoxifen treatment. It is recommended to stop tamoxifen for at least 3 months before attempting pregnancy.	GPP	

<sup>161</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The "healthy mother effect" is a selection bias where only women who have had favorable outcomes following diagnosis are likely to conceive

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> the Adjuvant Lapatinib and/or Trastuzumab Treatment Optimization trial (ALTTO)

## 163 Justification

- 164 Data from a meta-analysis of 19 studies and 3 more recent reports consistently show that there is no 165 negative effect of pregnancy on disease-free survival or overall survival in women after a previous 166 diagnosis of breast cancer. Analysis of subgroups of patients with different breast cancer subtypes
- (based on ER status or HER2 positivity) did not find any detrimental survival effect for a post-treatment
   pregnancy.

Although considered safe for the mother, there seems to be an association between maternal breast
 cancer and increased risk of preterm birth and low delivery weight (data from a meta-analysis). The
 GDG stresses that patients should be informed and monitored more closely if pregnant.

- 172 The safety impact of interrupting tamoxifen for having a pregnancy is the topic of an ongoing trial. So
- 173 far, evidence from a limited number of cases has shown that tamoxifen during pregnancy can increase
- the risk of foetal abnormalities. In the absence of reliable data, women are generally advised to stop
- tamoxifen treatment and wait for minimum 3 months before attempting conception to allow
- appropriate wash out period from the drug.

## 177 Gynaecological cancers

## 178 Endometrial cancer

Two systematic reviews looked at pregnancy outcomes after endometrial cancer. Gunderson and 179 180 colleagues summarized data from 38 studies reporting on 315 women after hormonal treatment for grade 1 adenocarcinoma or endometrial hyperplasia of which 114 conceived at least once and 181 182 117 live births occurred. Reproductive outcomes (i.e. live births) did not differ between the cohorts 183 with different endocrine treatments (Gunderson et al., 2012). This was subsequently confirmed in a 184 study of pregnancy outcomes after fertility-sparing management using oral progestin for young 185 women with endometrial cancer (Park et al., 2013). In 51 pregnancies in 70 women, they reported a 186 miscarriage rate of 24%, an ectopic pregnancy rate of 2.8% and a preterm birth rate of 11.5% (Park et 187 <u>al., 2013</u>).

- The second review, overlapping partly in terms of included studies, analysed 50 patients with early stage endometrial cancer (grade 1 and 2) who conceived after conservative treatment (progestogen treatments). There was a significant increase in hypertensive disorders, preterm birth, multiple pregnancies and caesarean section in women who conceived after ART (n=14) compared to women who conceived spontaneously or had ovulation induction with intrauterine insemination
- 193 (n=36) (<u>Chao *et al.*, 2011</u>).
- Oncologic outcomes were also discussed in the review by Gunderson (45 studies, 391 patients) (<u>Gunderson *et al.*, 2012</u>). The reviewers reported a recurrence rate of 35.4% in the carcinoma cohort and 23.2% in the hyperplasia group, with a median time to recurrence of 24 months (range from 4 to 72 months) (<u>Gunderson *et al.*, 2012</u>). The reviewers did not investigate a possible association between recurrence and pregnancy.
- The report of the ESMO-ESGO-ESTRO Consensus Conference on Endometrial Cancer suggests women should aim to conceive soon after documented tumour regression (<u>Colombo *et al.*</u>, 2016). In patients where this is not possible, continuation of hormonal suppression is advocated until conception can be attempted. After completion of childbearing, it is suggested to apply standard treatment for endometrial cancer, i.e. hysterectomy.

## 204 Ovarian cancer

Smaldone and colleagues performed a retrospective analysis of reproductive-age women (18-45 years old) with stage IA to stage IIC ovarian neoplasms (n = 161): thirteen women successfully conceived 23 pregnancies, with 18 documented live births (<u>Smaldone *et al.*, 2010</u>).

Park and colleagues retrospectively analysed women with borderline ovarian tumour who underwent fertility-sparing surgery versus radical surgery. Of the patients undergoing fertilitysparing surgery, 27 out of 184 patients conceived and had 32 singleton and 1 twin delivery, all

- healthy. The rate of recurrence in this series it was 5.1% in the fertility sparing versus 4.9% in the
- radical surgery group, respectively (<u>Park *et al.*, 2009</u>). Janda and colleagues summarized the data from this study and 3 others (in a narrative review). They reported that out of 158 women who
- from this study and 3 others (in a narrative review). They reported that out of 158 women who attempted to conceive after fertility-sparing surgery for ovarian cancer, 121 attained pregnancy
- 215 (76.5%). There were 148 live births.
- Another systematic review reported on recurrence based on 39 studies with 1150 patients after
- fertility-sparing surgery for ovarian cancer. Recurrence was reported for 139 patients, with an
- overall recurrence rate of 11% (<u>Bentivegna *et al.*, 2016a</u>). This has been recently supported by a
- retrospective analysis reporting on that 56 babies born to 40 malignant ovarian germ cell tumour
- survivors after fertility sparing treatment (<u>Tamauchi *et al.*, 2018</u>).

## 221 Cervical cancer

Traditionally, early stage cervical cancer in young women who want to maintain their pregnancy, is treated by radical trachelectomy, i.e. vaginal or abdominal removal of the cervix with part of the vagina and parametrium. Three systematic reviews refer an overall live birth rate of 68-70%. However, these pregnancies are complicated by pregnancy loss (14.8%) and preterm birth (26.6%), including extreme preterm birth (less than 28 to 30 weeks) (Bentivegna *et al.*, 2016b, Kyrgiou *et al.*, 2017, Zhang *et al.*, 2017). Transabdominal cerclage (TAC) of the uterine cervix has been proposed

- in order to reduce the risks of preterm birth, but a retrospective review of 11 cases in which TAC was performed reported risks of complications as a result of the use of non-absorbable thread and the need for two extra laparotomies (<u>Ishioka *et al.*</u>, 2018). A Danish study (included in the reviews)
- reported that 25% of patients required ART (<u>Hauerberg *et al.*, 2015</u>).
- 232 An alternative approach, currently being prospectively evaluated, is the neoadjuvant administration
- of chemotherapy, allowing tumour reduction and less radical surgery (including conisation or
- cervical amputation) and possibly resulting in better obstetric outcomes (<u>Plante et al., 2019</u>).

Recommendations		
Women with endometrial cancer, should be followed up for high- risk pregnancy and monitored by an oncologist due to the risk of	STRONG	⊕000
relapse.		
The risk of preterm birth is increased after treatment for early		
cervical cancer and these pregnancies should be treated as high	STRONG	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
risk and managed in a centre with advanced maternity services.		
	Recommendations Women with endometrial cancer, should be followed up for high- risk pregnancy and monitored by an oncologist due to the risk of relapse. The risk of preterm birth is increased after treatment for early cervical cancer and these pregnancies should be treated as high risk and managed in a centre with advanced maternity services.	RecommendationsWomen with endometrial cancer, should be followed up for high- risk pregnancy and monitored by an oncologist due to the risk of relapse.STRONGThe risk of preterm birth is increased after treatment for early cervical cancer and these pregnancies should be treated as high risk and managed in a centre with advanced maternity services.STRONG

## 237 Justification

taken.

Evidence on pregnancy outcomes after fertility-sparing treatment of endometrial cancer suggest that 238 there is an increased risk of obstetric complications, which supports a recommendation for careful 239 follow-up of these pregnancies. The standard treatment (i.e. hysterectomy) is postponed in patients 240 with endometrial cancer, until they have completed their child wish. With the significant risk of 241 recurrence in patients with endometrial cancer after fertility-sparing treatment only (irrespective of 242 pregnancy), additional follow-up by an oncologist is recommended. The recommendation for 243 increased monitoring is considered proportionate to the risk, feasible and acceptable. More 244 information on the timing of pregnancy was provided in the ESMO-ESGO-ESTRO guidelines (Colombo 245 et al., 2016) 246

- From 3 systematic reviews, there seems to be a significant risk of preterm birth rate after treatment for cervical cancer. Preterm birth rates of 26.6% were reported. For safety reasons, precautions should be
- 249
- 250
- 251
- 252
#### 253 Table 11 Overview of specific guidance per type of cancer (Summary)

Disease	Treatment	Obstetric risks	Recommendations for care before pregnancy	Recommendations for care during/after pregnancy
	(independent of treatment)	Cancer survivors are at increased risk of postpartum haemorrhage, caesarean section, and preterm birth.	Preconception counselling	Appropriate obstetric monitoring
All cancers	Chemotherapy started <1year before conception	Increased risk of preterm birth	Patients should be advised about these risks	
	Pelvic radiotherapy (field includes the uterus)	Increased risk of (possibly severe) pregnancy complications		Treat pregnancy as high risk in a centre with advanced maternity services
Breast cancer	(independent of treatment)	Increased risk of preterm birth and low birth weight	Pregnancy is safe	
	If chemotherapy started <1year before conception	Increased risk of preterm birth	Patients should be advised about these risks	
	With adjuvant therapies	Risks unclear	Stop tamoxifen for at least 3 months before attempting pregnancy	
Endometrial cancer	Fertility-sparing surgery	Increased risk of obstetric complications + possible recurrence awaiting definitive treatment (Hx)	Inform patients that better outcomes are seen when conception occurs soon after documented tumour regression.	High-risk pregnancy, patients are to be monitored by an oncologist, due to the risk of relapse
	Pelvic radiotherapy (field includes the uterus)	Risk of (possibly severe) pregnancy complications		Treat pregnancy as high risk in a centre with advanced maternity services
Ovarian cancer	Fertility-sparing surgery	No evidence		Follow general advice for cancer survivors
Cervical cancer	Radical trachelectomy	Risk of pregnancy loss and preterm birth		Treat pregnancy as high risk in a centre with advanced maternity services
	Pelvic radiotherapy (field includes the uterus)	Risk of (possibly severe) pregnancy complications		Treat pregnancy as high risk in a centre with advanced maternity services

#### 260 Other cancers

261 Haggar and colleagues retrospectively analysed 232 first pregnancies in survivors of colorectal 262 cancer who underwent surgery and compared them with randomly selected pregnancy (without a history of maternal cancer) (<u>Haggar et al., 2013</u>). Previous colorectal cancer, particularly rectal and 263 radiation-treated tumours, appears to confer an increased likelihood of adverse outcomes 264 (postpartum haemorrhage, caesarean delivery, low APGAR score, and need for special neonatal 265 266 care) in pregnancy. In women that had open cancer surgery, there was an elevated risk of gastrointestinal obstruction during pregnancy (OR 1.17; 95% CI 1.08-1.27), pregnancy loss (OR 1.26; 267 95% CI 1.04-1.52), and prolonged postpartum hospitalization (OR 3.11; 95% CI 1.42-7.73) compared to 268 the control group. Laparoscopic surgery had less impact on these adverse gestational outcomes. 269 Women undergoing rectal surgery also had an increased risk of adverse outcomes compared with 270 those who underwent colonic resection. 271

- Analysis of other individual cancer types (thyroid cancer: (<u>do Rosario *et al.*, 2006</u>) and osteosarcoma:
   (<u>Longhi *et al.*, 2000</u>)) are based on very small numbers of patients, precluding accurate analysis.
- A recent prospective cohort study comparing women who conceived after oocytes donation with
- or without history of cancer found that the risks of preterm birth and pre-eclampsia in women with
- 276 prior cancers significantly exceed those of women without cancer history undergoing similar
- 277 treatments (<u>Marklund *et al.*, 2018</u>).

#### 278 Recommendation

Women previously treated for cancer require individual		
assessment of their obstetric risks and potential additional	STRONG	⊕000
obstetric surveillance.		

- 279 Justification
- 280 Evidence on obstetric outcomes in women previously treated for cancers other than breast cancer or
- gynaecological malignancy are all observational and reported in small studies. Large registry data,
- although not specific for a certain type of malignancy, have shown increased maternal and neonatal
   risks associated with these pregnancies and support a cautious approach of individual assessment
- and obstetric surveillance in women previously treated for cancer.
- 285

#### 286 Transgender men

While the cryopreservation of gametes is rapidly growing in transgender patients, there is very 287 288 limited information on pregnancy in transgender men. Obedin-Maliver and colleagues identified and reviewed 3 studies and highlighted psychological issues experienced by transgender men 289 contemplating pregnancy or bearing a child (Obedin-Maliver and Makadon, 2016). Twenty-five 290 (61%) reported testosterone use prior to pregnancy. but pregnancy, delivery, and birth outcomes 291 did not differ according to prior testosterone use. Parents reported both internal and external 292 struggles. Internal challenges were typified by the conflict between one's identity as male and or 293 gender variant and "social norms that define a pregnant person as woman and a gestational parent 294 as mother." Regarding the external world, contemplation and experience of pregnancy involved a 295 constant tension about needing to "manage others' perceptions and either disclosing or not 296 297 disclosing what they were experiencing."

Pregnancy was reported to improve gender dysphoria in some cases whereas in others there was an increase in dysphoria, which could continue into the postpartum period (<u>Light *et al.*</u>, 2014</u>). Participants repeatedly expressed a desire for more information regarding fertility options and access to reproductive health care providers who respect, support, and understand their gender identity.

#### [146]

According to a recent review, the psychological impact of pregnancy on gender dysphoria is unknown (<u>Brandt *et al.*, 2019</u>). The profoundly gendered experience of pregnancy, including labor and delivery, is likely to exacerbate the dysphoria, but the prevalence and long-term impact of depression during pregnancy and postpartum in transgender men is unknown.

Transgender men can become pregnant both intentionally and unintentionally. Hence, healthcare providers need to be equipped with counselling on reproductive needs from preconception (including careful discussion of contraceptive needs) to the postpartum period. Additional support and guidance from mental health colleagues may be beneficial. In addition, the obstetrician needs

- 311 to ensure a seamless transition from postpartum care to the team of gender affirming providers
- that manage his medical and gynaecologic healthcare needs (Brandt et al., 2019).

#### 313 Recommendation

Healthcare professionals should have a high level of awareness of the risk of depression and increased dysphoria during and after pregnancy care for transgender men

WEAK 0000

#### 314 Justification

- 315 Based on some evidence for a high prevalence of depression in transgender people, and combined
- 316 with possible additional stress from pregnancy, increased rates of postnatal depression can be
- 317 expected in transgender men. The GDG recommends healthcare professionals are aware of this.

#### 318 References

- Akhtar S, Youssef I, Soudy H, Elhassan TA, Rauf SM, Maghfoor I. Prevalence of menstrual cycles and outcome of 50
   pregnancies after high-dose chemotherapy and auto-SCT in non-Hodgkin and Hodgkin lymphoma patients younger than
   40 years. *Bone marrow transplantation* 2015;50: 1551-1556.
- Anderson C, Engel SM, Mersereau JE, Black KZ, Wood WA, Anders CK, Nichols HB. Birth Outcomes Among Adolescent and
   Young Adult Cancer Survivors. JAMA oncology 2017;3: 1078-1084.
- Bentivegna E, Gouy S, Maulard A, Pautier P, Leary A, Colombo N, Morice P. Fertility-sparing surgery in epithelial ovarian
   cancer: a systematic review of oncological issues. *Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology* 2016a;27: 1994-2004.
- 327 Bentivegna E, Maulard A, Pautier P, Chargari C, Gouy S, Morice P. Fertility results and pregnancy outcomes after conservative 328 treatment of cervical cancer: a systematic review of the literature. *Fertility and sterility* 2016b;106: 1195-1211.e1195.
- Brandt JS, Patel AJ, Marshall I, Bachmann GA. Transgender men, pregnancy, and the "new" advanced paternal age: A review of the literature. *Maturitas* 2019;128: 17-21.
- Chao AS, Chao A, Wang CJ, Lai CH, Wang HS. Obstetric outcomes of pregnancy after conservative treatment of endometrial
   cancer: case series and literature review. *Taiwanese journal of obstetrics & gynecology* 2011;50: 62-66.
- Colombo N, Creutzberg C, Amant F, Bosse T, Gonzalez-Martin A, Ledermann J, Marth C, Nout R, Querleu D, Mirza MR *et al.* ESMO-ESGO-ESTRO Consensus Conference on Endometrial Cancer: Diagnosis, Treatment and Follow-up. *International journal of gynecological cancer : official journal of the International Gynecological Cancer Society* 2016;26: 2-30.
- 336 Critchley HOD, Wallace WHB. Impact of Cancer Treatment on Uterine Function. JNCI Monographs 2005;2005: 64-68.
- do Rosario PW, Barroso AL, Rezende LL, Padrao EL, Borges MA, Purisch S. Malformations in the offspring of women with thyroid cancer treated with radioiodine for the ablation of thyroid remnants. *Arquivos brasileiros de endocrinologia e* metabologia 2006;50: 930-933.
- Fossa SD, Magelssen H, Melve K, Jacobsen AB, Langmark F, Skjaerven R. Parenthood in survivors after adulthood cancer and perinatal health in their offspring: a preliminary report. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute Monographs* 2005: 77-82.
- 342 Gunderson CC, Fader AN, Carson KA, Bristow RE. Oncologic and reproductive outcomes with progestin therapy in women 343 with endometrial hyperplasia and grade 1 adenocarcinoma: a systematic review. *Gynecol Oncol* 2012;125: 477-482.
- Haggar F, Pereira G, Preen D, Woods J, Martel G, Boushey R, Mamazza J, Einarsdottir K. Maternal and neonatal outcomes in
   pregnancies following colorectal cancer. *Surgical endoscopy* 2013;27: 2327-2336.
- Hartman EK, Eslick GD. The prognosis of women diagnosed with breast cancer before, during and after pregnancy: a metaanalysis. *Breast cancer research and treatment* 2016;160: 347-360.
- Hartnett KP, Mertens AC, Kramer MR, Lash TL, Spencer JB, Ward KC, Howards PP. Pregnancy after cancer: Does timing of
   conception affect infant health? *Cancer* 2018;124: 4401-4407.
- Hauerberg L, Hogdall C, Loft A, Ottosen C, Bjoern SF, Mosgaard BJ, Nedergaard L, Lajer H. Vaginal Radical Trachelectomy
   for early stage cervical cancer. Results of the Danish National Single Center Strategy. *Gynecol Oncol* 2015;138: 304-310.
- Iqbal J, Amir E, Rochon PA, Giannakeas V, Sun P, Narod SA. Association of the Timing of Pregnancy With Survival in Women
   With Breast Cancer. JAMA oncology 2017;3: 659-665.

- 354 355 Ishioka S, Kim M, Mizugaki Y, Kon S, Isovama K, Mizuuchi M, Morishita M, Baba T, Sekiva T, Saito T, Transabdominal cerclage (TAC) for patients with ultra-short uterine cervix after uterine cervix surgery and its impact on pregnancy. The journal of 356 obstetrics and gynaecology research 2018;44: 61-66.
- 357 358 Ji J, Sundquist J, Sundquist K. Stillbirth and neonatal death among female cancer survivors: A national cohort study. International journal of cancer 2016;139: 1046-1052.
- 359 360 Kyrgiou M, Athanasiou A, Kalliala IEJ, Paraskevaidi M, Mitra A, Martin-Hirsch PP, Arbyn M, Bennett P, Paraskevaidis E. Obstetric outcomes after conservative treatment for cervical intraepithelial lesions and early invasive disease. The Cochrane database 361 of systematic reviews 2017;11: Cd012847.
- 362 363 Lambertini M, Kroman N, Ameye L, Cordoba O, Pinto A, Benedetti G, Jensen MB, Gelber S, Del Grande M, Ignatiadis M et al. Long-term Safety of Pregnancy Following Breast Cancer According to Estrogen Receptor Status. J Natl Cancer Inst 2018;110: 364 426-429.
- 365 366 Lambertini M, Martel S, Campbell C, Guillaume S, Hilbers FS, Schuehly U, Korde L, Azim HA, Jr., Di Cosimo S, Tenglin RC et al. Pregnancies during and after trastuzumab and/or lapatinib in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-
- 367 positive early breast cancer: Analysis from the NeoALTTO (BIG 1-06) and ALTTO (BIG 2-06) trials. Cancer 2019;125: 307-316. 368 Lee HM, Kim BW, Park S, Park S, Lee JE, Choi YJ, Kim SY, Woo SU, Youn HJ, Lee I. Childbirth in young Korean women with 369 previously treated breast cancer: The SMARTSHIP study. Breast cancer research and treatment 2019;176: 419-427.
- 370 Light AD, Obedin-Maliver J, Sevelius JM, Kerns JL. Transgender men who experienced pregnancy after female-to-male 371 gender transitioning. Obstetrics and gynecology 2014;124: 1120-1127.
- 372 Longhi A, Porcu E, Petracchi S, Versari M, Conticini L, Bacci G. Reproductive functions in female patients treated with adjuvant 373 and neoadjuvant chemotherapy for localized osteosarcoma of the extremity. Cancer 2000;89: 1961-1965.
- 374 375 Madanat-Harjuoja LM, Lahteenmaki PM, Dyba T, Gissler M, Boice JD, Jr., Malila N. Stillbirth, early death and neonatal morbidity among offspring of female cancer survivors. Acta oncologica (Stockholm, Sweden) 2013;52: 1152-1159.
- 376 Marklund A, Nasiell J, Berger AS, Fagerberg A, Rodriguez-Wallberg KA. Pregnancy Achieved Using Donor Eggs in Cancer Survivors with Treatment-Induced Ovarian Failure: Obstetric and Perinatal Outcome. Journal of women's health (2002)
- 377 378 2018;27: 939-945.
- 379 380 Melin J, Heinavaara S, Malila N, Tiitinen A, Gissler M, Madanat-Harjuoja L. Risk factors for preterm delivery among early onset cancer survivors: A Finnish register-based study. International journal of cancer 2019;144: 1954-1961.
- 381 Nielsen BF, Schmidt AA, Mulvihill JJ, Frederiksen K, Tawn EJ, Stovall M, Johansen C, Boice JD, Jr., Winther JF. Chromosomal 382 Abnormalities in Offspring of Young Cancer Survivors: A Population-Based Cohort Study in Denmark. J Natl Cancer Inst 383 2018;110: 534-538.
- 384 Obedin-Maliver J, Makadon HJ. Transgender men and pregnancy. Obstetric medicine 2016;9: 4-8.
- 385 Park JY, Kim DY, Kim JH, Kim YM, Kim YT, Nam JH. Surgical management of borderline ovarian tumors: The role of fertility-<u>3</u>86 sparing surgery. Gynecol Oncol 2009;113: 75-82.
- 387 Park JY, Seong SJ, Kim TJ, Kim JW, Kim SM, Bae DS, Nam JH. Pregnancy outcomes after fertility-sparing management in 388 young women with early endometrial cancer. Obstetrics and gynecology 2013;121: 136-142.
- 389 Plante M, van Trommel N, Lheureux S, Oza AM, Wang L, Sikorska K, Ferguson SE, Han K, Amant F. FIGO 2018 stage IB2 (2-4 390 cm) Cervical cancer treated with Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy followed by fertility Sparing Surgery (CONTESSA); Neo-391 Adjuvant Chemotherapy and Conservative Surgery in Cervical Cancer to Preserve Fertility (NEOCON-F). A PMHC, DGOG, 392 GCIG/CCRN and multicenter study. International journal of gynecological cancer : official journal of the International
- 393 Gynecological Cancer Society 2019;29: 969-975. 394 Sanders JE, Hawley J, Levy W, Gooley T, Buckner CD, Deeg HJ, Doney K, Storb R, Sullivan K, Witherspoon R et al. 39<u>5</u> Pregnancies following high-dose cyclophosphamide with or without high-dose busulfan or total-body irradiation and bone
- 396 marrow transplantation. Blood 1996;87: 3045-3052. 397 Schuurman TN, Witteveen PO, van der Wall E, Passier JLM, Huitema ADR, Amant F, Lok CAR. Tamoxifen and pregnancy: an
- 398 absolute contraindication? Breast cancer research and treatment 2019;175: 17-25.
- 399 Signorello LB, Mulvihill JJ, Green DM, Munro HM, Stovall M, Weathers RE, Mertens AC, Whitton JA, Robison LL, Boice JD, Jr. 400 Stillbirth and neonatal death in relation to radiation exposure before conception: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 401 2010;376: 624-630.
- Smaldone GM, Richard SD, Edwards RP. Pregnancy outcomes after conservative surgical management of ovarian 402 neoplasms treated at a single institution. International journal of gynecological cancer : official journal of the International 403 404 Gynecological Cancer Society 2010;20: 926-931.
- 405 Sun C, Ding X, Wu Y, Yang L. Meta-analysis of associations between maternal breast cancer and the risk of adverse delivery 406 outcomes. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2018;140: 146-152.
- 407 Tamauchi S, Kajiyama H, Yoshihara M, Ikeda Y, Yoshikawa N, Nishino K, Utsumi F, Niimi K, Suzuki S, Kikkawa F. Reproductive 408 outcomes of 105 malignant ovarian germ cell tumor survivors: a multicenter study. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology 2018;219: 385.e381-385.e387. 409
- Tarin JJ, Garcia-Perez MA, Cano A. Obstetric and offspring risks of women's morbid conditions linked to prior anticancer 410 treatments. Reproductive biology and endocrinology : RB&E 2016;14: 37. 411
- 412 Teh WT, Stern C, Chander S, Hickey M. The impact of uterine radiation on subsequent fertility and pregnancy outcomes. 413 BioMed research international 2014;2014: 482968.
- van de Loo L, van den Berg MH, Overbeek A, van Dijk M, Damen L, Lambalk CB, Ronckers CM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, 414 415 416 Kremer LCM, van der Pal HJ et al. Uterine function, pregnancy complications, and pregnancy outcomes among female
- childhood cancer survivors. Fertility and sterility 2019;111: 372-380.

van der Kooi ALF, Brewster DH, Wood R, Nowell S, Fischbacher C, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Laven JSE, Wallace WHB,
 Anderson RA. Perinatal risks in female cancer survivors: A population-based analysis. *PloS one* 2018;13: e0202805.

van der Kooi ALF, Kelsey TW, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Laven JSE, Wallace WHB, Anderson RA. Perinatal complications
 in female survivors of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer* 2019;111: 126-137.

van Dorp W, Haupt R, Anderson RA, Mulder RL, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, van Dulmen-den Broeder E, Su HI, Winther JF,
Hudson MM, Levine JM *et al.* Reproductive Function and Outcomes in Female Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent, and
Young Adult Cancer: A Review. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*2018;36: 2169-2180.

425 Winther JF, Olsen JH, Wu H, Shyr Y, Mulvihill JJ, Stovall M, Nielsen A, Schmiegelow M, Boice JD, Jr. Genetic disease in the

426 children of Danish survivors of childhood and adolescent cancer. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American
 427 Society of Clinical Oncology 2012;30: 27-33.

- 428 Zhang Q, Li W, Kanis MJ, Qi G, Li M, Yang X, Kong B. Oncologic and obstetrical outcomes with fertility-sparing treatment of 429 cervical cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncotarget* 2017;8: 46580-46592.
- 430

<sup>1</sup> PART F: Ongoing developments in FP

2 To increase the spectrum of fertility preservation (FP) options, innovative technologies and novel in

vitro avenues are continually being developed. Some of those may lead not only to more effective
 FP strategies, but also to a broader range of treatments for infertility.

5 The goal of this narrative is to provide an overview of challenging concepts and emerging 6 technologies that may be translated to alternative FP strategies in the future.

## 7 NARRATIVE QUESTION: WHAT ARE ONGOING DEVELOPMENTS WITH REGARDS TO FERTILITY 8 PRESERVATION?

#### 9 Technologies involving transplantation into the patient

Technologies involving transplantation of ovarian tissue or cells as well as non-ovarian cells aiming
 to restore ovarian function (both reproductive and when possible endocrine) in the patient may
 prove applicable in the broader context of infertility.

13 Transplantation of the whole ovary after cryopreservation

Removing, cryopreserving and transplanting the whole ovary would seem the preferred strategy 14 to restore functionality (Gosden, 2008). However, there are several obstacles that need to be 15 overcome: it remains a challenge to cryopreserve the whole overy without inducing cryoinjury, 16 17 revascularization of the transplanted ovary remains difficult due its complex dynamic architecture 18 and the reintroduction of malignant cells in the patient cannot be excluded. To date, there are no reports of live births resulting from the transplantation of frozen-thawed ovine (Onions et al., 2013) 19 20 or human whole ovaries (Ladanyi et al., 2017). Hence, this procedure remains to be optimized (Ali Mohamed, 2017). 21

22

#### 23 Optimizing the use of transplanted ovarian cortex tissue

#### 24 In vitro activation

In vitro activation (IVA) is an experimental procedure that has been offered as infertility treatment 25 26 to women with premature ovarian insufficiency (POI), whose ovaries still contain a pool of (dormant) 27 primordial follicles. This procedure has resulted in several reported live births (4 live births from 51 28 patients) (<u>Kawamura et al., 2013,</u> <u>Suzuki et al., 2015,</u> <u>Zhai et al., 2016</u>, <u>Fabregues et al., 2018</u>). IVA involves the mechanical fragmentation of the ovarian cortex tissue followed by culture to stimulate 29 the protein kinase B (PKB) signalling pathway. The cultured IVA-fragments are placed in a pouch 30 beneath the serosa of the fallopian tubes and the growing oocytes need to be aspirated to be used 31 in medically assisted reproduction (MAR). IVA has not been reported in the context of FP 32 (Kawamura et al., 2015, Cordeiro et al., 2016, Fabreques et al., 2018). 33

#### 34 Reducing ischemia by promoting revascularization

35 After ovarian tissue transplantation (OTT), the process of revascularization (by vasculogenesis and

36 angiogenesis) takes about 10 days, during which the ovarian cortex tissue undergoes a high rate of

37 follicular loss due to ischemia (<u>Baird *et al.*, 1999</u>, <u>Van Eyck *et al.*, 2010</u>). Accelerated revascularization

38 of the ovarian cortex tissue, by embedding in biomaterial scaffolds of decellularized (extracellular)

39 tissue matrix (<u>Oktay *et al.*, 2016</u>) or hydrogel matrix (<u>Chiti *et al.*, 2018b</u>) may decrease the effects of

40 ischemia after transplantation. This coating in a biomaterial scaffold can also serve as a vehicle to

41 include i) angiogenic factors or molecules, such as VEGF, FGF2, HBP, SIP (<u>Shikanov *et al.*, 2011</u>,

<u>Soleimani et al., 2011</u>, <u>Friedman et al., 2012</u>, <u>Kang et al., 2016</u>) ii) patient's endothelial or stromal cells,
 isolated from either the ovarian cortex or medulla (<u>Dath et al., 2011</u>, <u>Stimpfel et al., 2014</u>, <u>Soares et</u>

- 44 *al.*, 2015, Man *et al.*, 2018); iii) patient's mesenchymal stromal cells isolated from non-ovarian tissue,
- 45 such as bone marrow or adipose tissue (<u>Manavella *et al.*, 2018</u>, <u>Shojafar *et al.*, 2018</u>); or iv) cells
- isolated at the time of birth, from umbilical cord blood or amniotic fluid (reviewed in (Fazeli *et al.*,
- 47 <u>2018</u>, <u>Sheikhansari *et al.*, 2018</u>)), as additional factors to induce faster revascularization of the graft.
- 48 Xenotransplantation of human mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) derived from bone marrow,
- together with human ovarian cortex tissue supported by a 3D-scaffold into immune compromised
- 50 mice resulted in higher survival of primordial follicles and enhanced revascularization (Xia *et al.*,
- 51 <u>2015, Zhang *et al.*, 2017</u>). However, the follicles remained primordial, suggesting limited effect.
- 52 Eliminating residual malignant cells
- Technologies being developed to eliminate residual malignant cells that may be present in the ovarian cortex tissue include purging or treatment for 24 hours in vitro with Verteporfin to eliminate artificially-introduced malignant cells in ovarian cortex tissue (<u>Mulder *et al.* 2019</u>). Removal of the leukemic cells can be achieved by dissociating the ovarian cortex tissue and conducting a series of washing steps on the follicle suspension that is afterwards embedded in a hydrogel matrix (fibrin) into the mouse xenograft model (<u>Soares *et al.* 2015</u>, <u>Soares *et al.* 2017</u>). While elimination of
- 59 malignant cells was effective (Soares et al., 2017), key performance indicators such as follicle
- 60 development and oocyte quality were not reported.
- 61 Transplantation of follicles isolated from ovarian cortex tissue as bioprosthetic
- 62 ovaries
- 63 To restore both endocrine activity and fertility, technology is being developed to generate a
- 64 transplantable artificial or bioprosthetic ovary. The 3D-scaffolds provide physical support to allow
- 65 the dynamic cellular interactions within the follicles during follicular growth as well as 66 revascularization and remodelling (Amorim and Shikanov, 2016, Vanacker and Amorim, 2017, Chiti
- 67 <u>et al., 2018a</u>).
- 68 In mice, a 3D-scaffold of fibrin or a combination of fibrin/collagen and fibrin/alginate, with VEGF treatment transplanted into the ovarian bursa of surgically sterilized mice, was shown to support 69 70 the maturation of follicles from ovaries from 6-day-old mice, which after natural mating gave rise to viable offspring (Kniazeva et al., 2015). 3D-printing with gelatine-ink was used to produce a 71 72 microporous scaffold (2mm in size) that was seeded with isolated follicles from 16-day-old mice. After transplantation, these bioprosthetic ovaries were vascularized and restored ovarian function 73 successfully resulting in pups born through natural mating as well as normal lactating behaviour 74 suggestive of adequate endocrine function by the corpus luteum (Laronda et al., 2017). 75
- Human preantral follicles embedded in a fibrin-based 3D-scaffold were xeno-transplanted into adult mice for 7 days and although high follicular loss was reported, the retrieved (preantral) follicles seemed viable and showed proliferating granulosa cells (<u>Paulini *et al.*</u>, 2016, <u>Chiti *et al.*</u>, 2017). Decellularized ovarian tissue can also be used as a scaffold; decellularized human ovaries seeded with ovarian cells from adult rat then xenografted for 4 weeks, supported vascularization of the graft and increased endocrine function, but only primordial and primary follicles were reported (<u>Hassanpour *et al.*</u>, 2018).
- 83 Transplantation of isolated cells into the remaining (gonadotoxic-exposed)
   84 ovary

#### 85 Patient (autologous) cells isolated from non-ovarian tissues

- 86 Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs), also referred to as mesenchymal stem cells, may regulate
- vascularization and immune response, contributing to organ homeostasis, tissue remodelling and
- 88 wound repair (Sobhani et al., 2017, Fazeli et al., 2018, He et al., 2018, Yoon, 2019) and can be directly
- 89 isolated from bone marrow, adipose and many other tissues (<u>Fazeli *et al.*, 2018</u>, <u>Sheikhansari *et al.*,</u>
- 90 <u>2018, Yin *et al.*, 2018</u>, <u>Yoon, 2019</u>).

91 Injection (intravenous or intraovarian) of bone marrow from adult mice into gonadotoxic-exposed 92 adult mice improved pregnancy rates due to the recruitment of existing (dormant) follicles

93 (Santiquet et al., 2012), and similar results have been reported with human MSCs from umbilical

94 cord blood and bone marrow injected into gonadotoxic-exposed adult rodents (<u>Mohamed *et al.*</u>,

- 95 <u>2018</u>, <u>Zheng et al.</u>, 2019). In general, the injection of human MSCs from different origins into rodent
- 96 models has demonstrated promising results regarding increased ovarian systemic function (fertility 97 and fecundity), leading to activation of existing dormant oocytes in the gonadotoxic-exposed
- and fecundity), leading to activation of existing dormant oocytes in the gonadotoxic-exposed
   animal host (Fazeli *et al.*, 2018, Yoon, 2019). Injection of MSC conditioned medium (containing)
- 99 exosomes) alone may be sufficient to improve ovarian function (<u>Huang *et al.*, 2018</u>).
- 100 Studies have reported the intraovarian injection of human MSCs from bone marrow in women with
- 101 idiopathic POI (Edessy et al., 2016, Gabr et al., 2016), but the absence of control groups precludes
- 102 reliable interpretation.

#### 103 Patient (autologous) cells isolated from the ovarian cortex tissue

104 There is a robust body of evidence that mouse adult gonadotoxic-exposed ovaries can support *de* 

- novo folliculogenesis, provided suitable cells are transplanted (<u>Zhang *et al.*, 2011</u>, <u>Zhang *et al.*, 2012</u>,
- 106 <u>Wu *et al.*, 2017</u>). Transplanted mouse foetal ovarian cells (most probably germ cells) have the ability
- to generate MII oocytes in foetal-derived follicles in mouse adult (gonadotoxic-exposed) ovaries
   (Zhang *et al.*, 2012). However, although restored ovarian function as well as the birth of pups have
- been reported, it remains unclear whether transplanted mouse postnatal (neonatal and adult)
- 110 ovarian cells that are not oocytes or germ cells have the ability to differentiate into oocytes that
- can mature to functional MII oocytes after transplantation into mouse adult (gonadotoxic-exposed)
- 112 ovaries (<u>Zhang et al., 2011</u>, <u>Zhang et al., 2012</u>, <u>Xiong et al., 2015</u>, <u>Wu et al., 2017</u>).
- 113 The potential of cells isolated from human ovarian cortex to generate oocytes has been
- investigated (<u>White *et al.*, 2012</u>). However, efforts to evaluate the maturation potential of these cells
- 115 have not been reported.

#### 116 Patient (autologous) cells reprogrammed to induced pluripotent cells (iPSCs)

- Human patient-specific induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) can be obtained from patient(somatic) cells after a period of several weeks of reprogramming in the laboratory.
- Mouse iPSCs have been differentiated in vitro to germ cells precursors and after a 2-day period of in vitro coculture, aggregation with foetal female gonads can initiate meiotic entry. Those aggregates were transplanted under the ovarian bursa and after 4-weeks GV stage oocytes were recovered, matured, and fertilized in vitro giving rise to live offspring (<u>Hayashi *et al.*</u> 2012, <u>Hayashi</u> <u>and Saitou</u>, 2013). Application of this protocol to human iPSCs, including the co-culture of human iPSCs with mouse or human foetal gonads, followed by xenotransplantation to mouse models to evaluate oocyte production have not been reported.
- 126 The use of human iPSCs for clinical applications has major challenges including the generation of
- iPSC under current good manufacturing practice conditions, obtaining sufficient cells to apply to
- humans, the time necessary to generate patient-specific iPSCs and associated expenses, currently
- 129 suggesting limited feasibility for clinical applications (Eguizabal *et al.*, 2019).

#### 130 Technologies that do not involve transplantation

Technologies that do not involve transplantation into the patient have broader applications but are
currently less developed. The generation of viable embryos from in vitro-cultured ovarian cortex
tissue, containing primordial or primary follicles, has been demonstrated so far in mice (<u>Guzel and</u>
<u>Oktem, 2017, Herta et al., 2018</u>) and macaque (<u>Xu et al., 2018b</u>). Recent advances in our knowledge
of the molecular signature of human oocytes at different stages of maturation using single-cell
omics (transcriptomics, methylomics, proteomics) (<u>Virant-Klun et al., 2016</u>, Yu et al., 2017, <u>Zhang et</u>
<u>al., 2018</u>) as well as from theca and granulosa cells (<u>Fan et al., 2019</u>) will result in better

[152]

differentiation protocols and lead to a consensus on the criteria and functional parameters needed
 to consider using in vitro-derived human oocytes in the clinic.

#### 140 From ovarian cortex tissue or cells

141 In vitro matured oocytes from cultured ovarian cortex tissue

In humans, a recent study has described the culture of fresh human ovarian cortex tissue using a
 multi-step protocol and reported development of unilaminar follicles, albeit with low efficiency, to
 generate MII oocytes (McLaughlin *et al.*, 2018). However molecular characterization or attempts to
 fertilize those oocytes have not been reported.

146 Efforts to optimize the first-step in ovarian cortex tissue culture, by encapsulation of the ovarian

- 147 cortex tissue in a 3D-scaffold of biomaterial (alginate and polyethylene glycol (PEG)-fibrinogen) to
   148 increase the rigidity of the tissue, eventually in combination with IVA (Lerer-Serfaty et al., 2013,
   149 Laronda et al., 2014), or integrating (micro)fluidic technology (Liebenthron et al., 2013, Nagashima et al., 2018) have so far not provided significant improvements regarding maturation to MII oocytes in
   151 vitro.
- 152 In vitro matured oocytes from primordial follicles isolated from ovarian cortex tissue
- 153 Upon isolation from the ovarian cortex tissue, the cellular connections between the (squamous)

154 granulosa cells and the oocyte in primordial follicles are immediately disrupted. Even in mice, there

is no successful protocol to date to culture isolated primordial follicles in vitro to functional MII

156 oocytes (Eppig and O'Brien, 1996, Guzel and Oktem, 2017, Herta et al., 2018). The development of

hydrogels (natural or synthetic), to mimic the stiffness and elasticity of the ovary, may facilitate the
 generation of the physiological niche to allow complete folliculogenesis *in vitro* (Brito *et al.*, 2014,

159 <u>Choi et al., 2014</u>, <u>Shea et al., 2014</u>, <u>Vanacker and Amorim, 2017</u>, <u>Chiti et al., 2018</u>a).

160 In mice, this approach has allowed the development of fertilizable MII oocytes from primary and

161 secondary follicles (Xu et al., 2006, Mochida et al., 2013). Preliminary data from macaque primary

and secondary follicles (Xu et al., 2018a) and human isolated multilayer secondary follicles cultured

- in 3D-scaffolds (alginate) on low-adhesion plates (Xiao *et al.*, 2015) suggested their ability to reach
- 164 the MII stage. Functional characterization has not been reported.

#### 165 In vitro matured oocytes from cells isolated from the ovary

Many studies have focused on the isolation of (primary) cells, other than oocytes, from the adult
ovary (such as ovarian surface epithelium, ovarian follicular fluid, follicular aspirates, ovarian stem
cells, oogonial stem cells, female germline stem cells, very small embryonic-like stems and ovarian
mesenchymal stem cells) and have investigated their potential to differentiate in vitro to oocytes
(Ding *et al.*, 2016, Yazdekhasti *et al.*, 2016, Zarate-Garcia *et al.*, 2016, Porras-Gomez and MorenoMendoza, 2017, Vanni *et al.*, 2017, Silvestris *et al.*, 2018, Xu *et al.*, 2018a). However promising, there is

Mendoza, 2017, Value et al., 2017, Silvestins et al., 2016, Ad et al., 2018a. However promising, there is
 currently no evidence that those oocyte-like cells have the ability to mature in vitro to cells similar
 to MI oocytes, with the capacity to be fertilized and develop to a viable blastocyst embryo even in

174 mouse.

#### 175 From non-ovarian tissue or cells

176 In vitro matured oocytes from induced pluripotent stem cells (in vitro gametogenesis)

The generation of mature oocytes and healthy offspring from mouse iPSCs (<u>Hayashi *et al.*, 2017</u>) is described above. This protocol required cells from foetal gonads, thus an alternative source of

somatic cells to provide the necessary niche is required (<u>Lan *et al.*, 2013</u>, <u>Sepponen *et al.*, 2017</u>).

180 In vitro matured oocytes from mesenchymal stromal cells

181 Differentiation to oocyte-like cells in vitro from MSCs isolated from bone marrow, adipose tissue,

182 endometrium, menstrual and peripheral blood or from extraembryonic tissues, such as umbilical

183 cord blood or amniotic fluid has been attempted (<u>Vanni et al., 2017</u>, <u>Fazeli et al., 2018</u>), but the

evidence of oogenesis remains restricted to the expression of several oocyte-specific genes, often

#### 185 not in a physiological combination.

#### 186 Treatments to prevent gonadotoxic-induced POI

Protection of the ovary against chemotherapy would provide many advantages over current methods for fertility preservation. This subject has recently been comprehensively reviewed (Spears *et al.*, 2019) thus the interested reader is referred there. A summary of the key approaches currently under investigation is shown in Table 12.

#### 191 Conclusion

It is important to stress that emerging technologies, however promising, need to be followed by rigorous clinical trials, ensuring internationally accepted standards, to demonstrate efficacy and safety before they can be offered as medical treatment. Moreover, a scientific-medical consensus is required regarding safety and functional criteria that needs to be achieved before considering using in vitro-derived human oocytes clinically. In this regard, a societal debate on what emerging technologies may be considered acceptable for human reproductive purposes is recommended.

Although difficult to predict which technologies will prove efficient and safe, improved treatments that could result in less gonadotoxic effects should be the preferred in cancer patients, due to the preventive character, easy implementation in the clinic, low cost, lower number of invasive procedures and the possibility to maintain both reproductive and endocrine functions. However, in the long run and broader application to FP, progress achieving human folliculogenesis in vitro and or improving (or enhancing) systemic ovarian function is necessary, as these technologies may reveal applicable to the broader context of infertility patients and even contribute to conciliate the reproductive ageing of our modern society with women's natural biological clock, revolutionizing the way we reproduce.

#### Table 12 Approaches for prevention of gonadotoxic-induced POI currently under investigation (Adapted from (<u>Spears *et al.*, 2019</u>). 197

ProtectantTarget actionSpeciesAMH/MISAccelerated primordial follicle (PMF) activationMouse
AMH/MIS Accelerated primordial follicle (PMF) activation Mouse
ATM inhibitors: ETP-46464 Direct loss of PMFs Mouse KU55399
ATR inhibitors: ETP-46464 Direct loss of PMFs Mouse AZD6738
AS101 Accelerated PMF Mouse activation
Bortezomib Atresia Mouse
Ceramide-1-phosphate Direct loss of PMFs, Mouse vascularisation
CHK2 inhibitors: BML277 Direct loss of PMFs Mouse LY2603618 LY2606368
CK1 inhibitors:MK-8776CHIR-124PMF670462PMF4800567PMF5006739
Crocetin Accelerated PMF Mouse
Dexrazoxane Atresia Mouse
Ghrelin Accelerated PMF Mouse
G-CSF Atresia, Vascularisation Mouse
matinib Direct loss of PMFs Mouse
Luteinizing Hormone Direct loss of PMFs Mouse Atresia
MDR1 Delivery to ovary Mouse
Melatonin Accelerated PMF Mouse
Mesna Atresia Rat
Airtazapine Atresia Rat
nTORC inhibitors: Everolimus (RAD001) Accelerated PMF INK128 activation Mouse
Rapamycin
Rapamycin Resveratrol Atresia Rat
RapamycinResveratrolAtresiaRatSphingosine-1- phosphateDirect loss of PMFsMouse, Rat Human
RapamycinResveratrolAtresiaRatSphingosine-1- phosphateDirect loss of PMFsMouse, Rat HumanSildenafil CitrateAtresiaRat
RapamycinResveratrolAtresiaRatSphingosine-1- phosphateDirect loss of PMFsMouse, Rat HumanSildenafil CitrateAtresiaRatTamoxifenDirect loss of PMFsRat InflammationHuman

#### 201 References

- Ali Mohamed MS. A new strategy and system for the ex vivo ovary perfusion and cryopreservation: An innovation. *International journal of reproductive biomedicine (Yazd, Iran)* 2017;15: 323-330.
- Amorim CA, Shikanov A. The artificial ovary: current status and future perspectives. *Future oncology* 2016;12: 2323-2332.
- Baird DT, Webb R, Campbell BK, Harkness LM, Gosden RG. Long-term ovarian function in sheep after ovariectomy and transplantation of autografts stored at -196 C. *Endocrinology* 1999;140: 462-471.
- 207 Brito IR, Lima IM, Xu M, Shea LD, Woodruff TK, Figueiredo JR. Three-dimensional systems for in vitro follicular culture: 208 overview of alginate-based matrices. *Reproduction, fertility, and development* 2014;26: 915-930.
- 209 Chiti MC, Dolmans MM, Lucci CM, Paulini F, Donnez J, Amorim CA. Further insights into the impact of mouse follicle stage 210 on graft outcome in an artificial ovary environment. *Molecular human reproduction* 2017;23: 381-392.
- 211 Chiti MC, Dolmans MM, Mortiaux L, Zhuge F, Ouni E, Shahri PAK, Van Ruymbeke E, Champagne SD, Donnez J, Amorim CA.
  212 A novel fibrin-based artificial ovary prototype resembling human ovarian tissue in terms of architecture and rigidity. *Journal*.
- 213 of assisted reproduction and genetics 2018a;35: 41-48.
- Chiti MC, Donnez J, Amorim CA, Dolmans MM. From isolation of human ovarian follicles to the artificial ovary: tips and tricks.
   *Minerva Ginecol* 2018b;70: 444-455.
- Choi JK, Agarwal P, Huang H, Zhao S, He X. The crucial role of mechanical heterogeneity in regulating follicle development
   and ovulation with engineered ovarian microtissue. *Biomaterials* 2014;35: 5122-5128.
- Cordeiro CN, Christianson MS, Selter JH, Segars JH, Jr. In Vitro Activation: A Possible New Frontier for Treatment of Primary
   Ovarian Insufficiency. *Reproductive sciences (Thousand Oaks, Calif)* 2016;23: 429-438.
- 220 Dath C, Dethy A, Van Langendonckt A, Van Eyck AS, Amorim CA, Luyckx V, Donnez J, Dolmans MM. Endothelial cells are 221 essential for ovarian stromal tissue restructuring after xenotransplantation of isolated ovarian stromal cells. *Human* 222 reproduction (Oxford, England) 2011;26: 1431-1439.
- Ding X, Liu G, Xu B, Wu C, Hui N, Ni X, Wang J, Du M, Teng X, Wu J. Human GV oocytes generated by mitotically active germ
   cells obtained from follicular aspirates. *Scientific reports* 2016;6: 28218.
- Edessy M, Hosni HN, Shady Y, Waf Y, Bakr S, Kamel M. Autologous stem cells therapy, the first baby of idiopathic premature ovarian failure. *Acta Medica International* 2016;3: 19.
- 227 Eguizabal C, Aran B, Chuva de Sousa Lopes SM, Geens M, Heindryckx B, Panula S, Popovic M, Vassena R, Veiga A. Two 228 decades of embryonic stem cells: a historical overview. *Human reproduction open* 2019;2019: hoy024.
- Eppig JJ, O'Brien MJ. Development in vitro of mouse oocytes from primordial follicles. *Biology of reproduction* 1996;54: 197 207.
- 231 Fabregues F, Ferreri J, Calafell JM, Moreno V, Borras A, Manau D, Carmona F. Pregnancy after drug-free in vitro activation
- of follicles and fresh tissue autotransplantation in primary ovarian insufficiency patient: a case report and literature review.
   Journal of ovarian research 2018;11: 76.
- Fan X, Bialecka M, Moustakas I, Lam E, Torrens-Juaneda V, Borggreven NV, Trouw L, Louwe LA, Pilgram GSK, Mei H *et al.* Single-cell reconstruction of follicular remodeling in the human adult ovary. *Nature communications* 2019;10: 3164.
- Fazeli Z, Abedindo A, Omrani MD, Ghaderian SMH. Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs) Therapy for Recovery of Fertility: a
   Systematic Review. *Stem Cell Rev Rep* 2018;14: 1-12.
- Friedman O, Orvieto R, Fisch B, Felz C, Freud E, Ben-Haroush A, Abir R. Possible improvements in human ovarian grafting by various host and graft treatments. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2012;27: 474-482.
- Gabr H, Rateb MA, El Sissy MH, Ahmed Seddiek H, Ali Abdelhameed Gouda S. The effect of bone marrow-derived
   mesenchymal stem cells on chemotherapy induced ovarian failure in albino rats. *Microscopy research and technique* 2016;79:
   938-947.
- 243 Gosden RG. Ovary and uterus transplantation. *Reproduction (Cambridge, England)* 2008;136: 671-680.
- Guzel Y, Oktem O. Understanding follicle growth in vitro: Are we getting closer to obtaining mature oocytes from in vitrogrown follicles in human? *Molecular reproduction and development* 2017;84: 544-559.
- Hassanpour A, Talaei-Khozani T, Kargar-Abarghouei E, Razban V, Vojdani Z. Decellularized human ovarian scaffold based
   on a sodium lauryl ester sulfate (SLES)-treated protocol, as a natural three-dimensional scaffold for construction of
   bioengineered ovaries. Stem cell research & therapy 2018;9: 252.
- Hayashi K, Hikabe O, Obata Y, Hirao Y. Reconstitution of mouse oogenesis in a dish from pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* protocols 2017;12: 1733-1744.
- Hayashi K, Ogushi S, Kurimoto K, Shimamoto S, Ohta H, Saitou M. Offspring from oocytes derived from in vitro primordial
   germ cell-like cells in mice. *Science (New York, NY)* 2012;338: 971-975.
- Hayashi K, Saitou M. Generation of eggs from mouse embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature protocols* 2013;8: 1513-1524.
- He Y, Chen D, Yang L, Hou Q, Ma H, Xu X. The therapeutic potential of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells in premature ovarian failure. *Stem cell research & therapy* 2018;9: 263.
- Herta AC, Lolicato F, Smitz JEJ. In vitro follicle culture in the context of IVF. *Reproduction (Cambridge, England)* 2018;156:
   F59-f73.
- Huang B, Lu J, Ding C, Zou Q, Wang W, Li H. Exosomes derived from human adipose mesenchymal stem cells improve ovary function of premature ovarian insufficiency by targeting SMAD. *Stem cell research & therapy* 2018;9: 216.

- Kang BJ, Wang Y, Zhang L, Xiao Z, Li SW. bFGF and VEGF improve the quality of vitrified-thawed human ovarian tissues
   after xenotransplantation to SCID mice. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2016;33: 281-289.
- Kawamura K, Cheng Y, Sun YP, Zhai J, Diaz-Garcia C, Simon C, Pellicer A, Hsueh AJ. Ovary transplantation: to activate or not to activate. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2015;30: 2457-2460.
- Kawamura K, Cheng Y, Suzuki N, Deguchi M, Sato Y, Takae S, Ho CH, Kawamura N, Tamura M, Hashimoto S *et al.* Hippo
   signaling disruption and Akt stimulation of ovarian follicles for infertility treatment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2013;110: 17474-17479.
- Kniazeva E, Hardy AN, Boukaidi SA, Woodruff TK, Jeruss JS, Shea LD. Primordial Follicle Transplantation within Designer
   Biomaterial Grafts Produce Live Births in a Mouse Infertility Model. *Scientific reports* 2015;5: 17709.
- Ladanyi C, Mor A, Christianson MS, Dhillon N, Segars JH. Recent advances in the field of ovarian tissue cryopreservation and opportunities for research. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2017;34: 709-722.
- Lan CW, Chen MJ, Jan PS, Chen HF, Ho HN. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into functional ovarian granulosa like cells. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2013;98: 3713-3723.
- Laronda MM, Duncan FE, Hornick JE, Xu M, Pahnke JE, Whelan KA, Shea LD, Woodruff TK. Alginate encapsulation supports
   the growth and differentiation of human primordial follicles within ovarian cortical tissue. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2014;31: 1013-1028.
- Laronda MM, Rutz AL, Xiao S, Whelan KA, Duncan FE, Roth EW, Woodruff TK, Shah RN. A bioprosthetic ovary created using
   3D printed microporous scaffolds restores ovarian function in sterilized mice. *Nature communications* 2017;8: 15261.
- Lerer-Serfaty G, Samara N, Fisch B, Shachar M, Kossover O, Seliktar D, Ben-Haroush A, Abir R. Attempted application of
   bioengineered/biosynthetic supporting matrices with phosphatidylinositol-trisphosphate-enhancing substances to organ
   culture of human primordial follicles. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2013;30: 1279-1288.
- Liebenthron J, Koster M, Drengner C, Reinsberg J, van der Ven H, Montag M. The impact of culture conditions on early follicle recruitment and growth from human ovarian cortex biopsies in vitro. *Fertility and sterility* 2013;100: 483-491.e485.
- Man L, Park L, Bodine R, Ginsberg M, Zaninovic N, Schattman G, Schwartz RE, Rosenwaks Z, James D. Co-transplantation of
   Human Ovarian Tissue with Engineered Endothelial Cells: A Cell-based Strategy Combining Accelerated Perfusion with
   Direct Paracrine Delivery. *Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE* 2018.
- Manavella DD, Cacciottola L, Desmet CM, Jordan BF, Donnez J, Amorim CA, Dolmans MM. Adipose tissue-derived stem cells
   in a fibrin implant enhance neovascularization in a peritoneal grafting site: a potential way to improve ovarian tissue
   transplantation. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 270-279.
- 290 McLaughlin M, Albertini DF, Wallace WHB, Anderson RA, Telfer EE. Metaphase II oocytes from human unilaminar follicles 291 grown in a multi-step culture system. *Molecular human reproduction* 2018;24: 135-142.
- Mochida N, Akatani-Hasegawa A, Saka K, Ogino M, Hosoda Y, Wada R, Sawai H, Shibahara H. Live births from isolated primary/early secondary follicles following a multistep culture without organ culture in mice. *Reproduction (Cambridge, England)* 2013;146: 37-47.
- 295 Mohamed SA, Shalaby SM, Abdelaziz M, Brakta S, Hill WD, Ismail N, Al-Hendy A. Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells Partially 296 Reverse Infertility in Chemotherapy-Induced Ovarian Failure. *Reproductive sciences (Thousand Oaks, Calif)* 2018;25: 51-63.
- 297 Mulder CL, Eijkenboom LL, Beerendonk CCM, Braat DDM, Peek R. Enhancing the safety of ovarian cortex 298 autotransplantation: cancer cells are purged completely from human ovarian tissue fragments by pharmacological inhibition 299 of YAP/TAZ oncoproteins. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2019;34: 506-518.
- Nagashima JB, El Assal R, Songsasen N, Demirci U. Evaluation of an ovary-on-a-chip in large mammalian models: Species
   specificity and influence of follicle isolation status. *Journal of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine* 2018;12: e1926 e1935.
- 303 Oktay K, Bedoschi G, Pacheco F, Turan V, Emirdar V. First pregnancies, live birth, and in vitro fertilization outcomes after
   304 transplantation of frozen-banked ovarian tissue with a human extracellular matrix scaffold using robot-assisted minimally
   305 invasive surgery. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology 2016;214: 94.e91-99.
- Onions VJ, Webb R, Pincott-Allen C, Picton HM, Campbell BK. The effects of whole ovarian perfusion and cryopreservation
   on endothelial cell-related gene expression in the ovarian medulla and pedicle. *Molecular human reproduction* 2013;19: 205 215.
- Paulini F, Vilela JM, Chiti MC, Donnez J, Jadoul P, Dolmans MM, Amorim CA. Survival and growth of human preantral follicles
   after cryopreservation of ovarian tissue, follicle isolation and short-term xenografting. *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2016;33: 425-432.
- 312 Porras-Gomez TJ, Moreno-Mendoza N. Neo-oogenesis in mammals. Zygote (Cambridge, England) 2017;25: 404-422.
- 313 Santiquet N, Vallieres L, Pothier F, Sirard MA, Robert C, Richard F. Transplanted bone marrow cells do not provide new occytes but rescue fertility in female mice following treatment with chemotherapeutic agents. *Cellular reprogramming* 315 2012;14: 123-129.
- Sepponen K, Lundin K, Knuus K, Vayrynen P, Raivio T, Tapanainen JS, Tuuri T. The Role of Sequential BMP Signaling in
   Directing Human Embryonic Stem Cells to Bipotential Gonadal Cells. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2017;102: 4303-4314.
- 319 Shea LD, Woodruff TK, Shikanov A. Bioengineering the ovarian follicle microenvironment. *Annual review of biomedical* 320 *engineering* 2014;16: 29-52.
- 321 Sheikhansari G, Aghebati-Maleki L, Nouri M, Jadidi-Niaragh F, Yousefi M. Current approaches for the treatment of premature
- 322 ovarian failure with stem cell therapy. *Biomedicine & pharmacotherapy = Biomedecine & pharmacotherapie* 2018;102: 254-323 262.

- 324 Shikanov A, Zhang Z, Xu M, Smith RM, Rajan A, Woodruff TK, Shea LD. Fibrin encapsulation and vascular endothelial growth 325 factor delivery promotes ovarian graft survival in mice. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2011;17: 3095-3104.
- Shojafar E, Soleimani Mehranjani M, Shariatzadeh SMA. Adipose-derived mesenchymal stromal cell transplantation at the
   graft site improves the structure and function of autografted mice ovaries: a stereological and biochemical analysis.
   *Cytotherapy* 2018;20: 1324-1336.
- Silvestris E, Cafforio P, D'Oronzo S, Felici C, Silvestris F, Loverro G. In vitro differentiation of human oocyte-like cells from
   oogonial stem cells: single-cell isolation and molecular characterization. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2018;33: 464 473.
- Soares M, Sahrari K, Chiti MC, Amorim CA, Ambroise J, Donnez J, Dolmans MM. The best source of isolated stromal cells for the artificial ovary: medulla or cortex, cryopreserved or fresh? *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2015;30: 1589-1598.
- 334 Soares M, Saussoy P, Maskens M, Reul H, Amorim CA, Donnez J, Dolmans MM. Eliminating malignant cells from 335 cryopreserved ovarian tissue is possible in leukaemia patients. *British journal of haematology* 2017;178: 231-239.
- Sobhani A, Khanlarkhani N, Baazm M, Mohammadzadeh F, Najafi A, Mehdinejadiani S, Sargolzaei Aval F. Multipotent Stem
   Cell and Current Application. Acta medica Iranica 2017;55: 6-23.
- Soleimani R, Heytens E, Oktay K. Enhancement of neoangiogenesis and follicle survival by sphingosine-1-phosphate in
   human ovarian tissue xenotransplants. *PloS one* 2011;6: e19475.
- Spears N, Lopes F, Stefansdottir A, Rossi V, De Felici M, Anderson RA, Klinger FG. Ovarian damage from chemotherapy and
   current approaches to its protection. *Human reproduction update* 2019;25: 673-693.
- Stimpfel M, Cerkovnik P, Novakovic S, Maver A, Virant-Klun I. Putative mesenchymal stem cells isolated from adult human
   ovaries. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* 2014;31: 959-974.
- Suzuki N, Yoshioka N, Takae S, Sugishita Y, Tamura M, Hashimoto S, Morimoto Y, Kawamura K. Successful fertility
   preservation following ovarian tissue vitrification in patients with primary ovarian insufficiency. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 2015;30: 608-615.
- Van Eyck AS, Bouzin C, Feron O, Romeu L, Van Langendonckt A, Donnez J, Dolmans MM. Both host and graft vessels
   contribute to revascularization of xenografted human ovarian tissue in a murine model. *Fertility and sterility* 2010;93: 1676 1685.
- Vanacker J, Amorim CA. Alginate: A Versatile Biomaterial to Encapsulate Isolated Ovarian Follicles. Annals of biomedical
   *engineering* 2017;45: 1633-1649.
- 352 Vanni VS, Vigano P, Papaleo E, Mangili G, Candiani M, Giorgione V. Advances in improving fertility in women through stem 353 cell-based clinical platforms. *Expert opinion on biological therapy* 2017;17: 585-593.
- 354Virant-Klun I, Leicht S, Hughes C, Krijgsveld J. Identification of Maturation-Specific Proteins by Single-Cell Proteomics of<br/>Human Oocytes. *Molecular & cellular proteomics : MCP* 2016;15: 2616-2627.
- White YA, Woods DC, Takai Y, Ishihara O, Seki H, Tilly JL. Oocyte formation by mitotically active germ cells purified from ovaries of reproductive-age women. *Nature medicine* 2012;18: 413-421.
- 358 Wu C, Xu B, Li X, Ma W, Zhang P, Chen X, Wu J. Tracing and Characterizing the Development of Transplanted Female 359 Germline Stem Cells In Vivo. *Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy* 2017;25: 1408-1419.
- Xia X, Yin T, Yan J, Yan L, Jin C, Lu C, Wang T, Zhu X, Zhi X, Wang J *et al.* Mesenchymal Stem Cells Enhance Angiogenesis
   and Follicle Survival in Human Cryopreserved Ovarian Cortex Transplantation. *Cell transplantation* 2015;24: 1999-2010.
- 362Xiao S, Zhang J, Romero MM, Smith KN, Shea LD, Woodruff TK. In vitro follicle growth supports human oocyte meiotic363maturation. Scientific reports 2015;5: 17323.
- 364Xiong J, Lu Z, Wu M, Zhang J, Cheng J, Luo A, Shen W, Fang L, Zhou S, Wang S. Intraovarian Transplantation of Female365Germline Stem Cells Rescue Ovarian Function in Chemotherapy-Injured Ovaries. *PloS one* 2015;10: e0139824.
- Xu J, Lawson MS, Mitalipov SM, Park BS, Xu F. Stage-specific modulation of antimullerian hormone promotes primate
   follicular development and oocyte maturation in the matrix-free three-dimensional culture. *Fertility and sterility* 2018a;110:
   1162-1172.
- Xu J, Zheng T, Hong W, Ye H, Hu C, Zheng Y. Mechanism for the Decision of Ovarian Surface Epithelial Stem Cells to Undergo
   Neo-Oogenesis or Ovarian Tumorigenesis. *Cellular physiology and biochemistry : international journal of experimental cellular* physiology, biochemistry, and pharmacology 2018b;50: 214-232.
- 372Xu M, Kreeger PK, Shea LD, Woodruff TK. Tissue-engineered follicles produce live, fertile offspring. *Tissue engineering*3732006;12: 2739-2746.
- Yazdekhasti H, Rajabi Z, Parvari S, Abbasi M. Used protocols for isolation and propagation of ovarian stem cells, different traits. *Journal of ovarian research* 2016;9: 68.
- Yin N, Zhao W, Luo Q, Yuan W, Luan X, Zhang H. Restoring Ovarian Function With Human Placenta-Derived Mesenchymal
   Stem Cells in Autoimmune-Induced Premature Ovarian Failure Mice Mediated by Treg Cells and Associated Cytokines.
   *Reproductive sciences (Thousand Oaks, Calif)* 2018;25: 1073-1082.
- 379 Yoon SY. Mesenchymal stem cells for restoration of ovarian function. *Clinical and experimental reproductive medicine* 380 2019;46: 1-7.
- 381 Yu B, Dong X, Gravina S, Kartal O, Schimmel T, Cohen J, Tortoriello D, Zody R, Hawkins RD, Vijg J. Genome-wide, Single-Cell
- DNA Methylomics Reveals Increased Non-CpG Methylation during Human Oocyte Maturation. Stem cell reports 2017;9: 397 407.
- Zarate-Garcia L, Lane SI, Merriman JA, Jones KT. FACS-sorted putative oogonial stem cells from the ovary are neither DDX4 positive nor germ cells. *Scientific reports* 2016;6: 27991.

- Zhai J, Yao G, Dong F, Bu Z, Cheng Y, Sato Y, Hu L, Zhang Y, Wang J, Dai S *et al.* In Vitro Activation of Follicles and Fresh
   Tissue Auto-transplantation in Primary Ovarian Insufficiency Patients. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2016;101: 4405-4412.
- Zhang H, Zheng W, Shen Y, Adhikari D, Ueno H, Liu K. Experimental evidence showing that no mitotically active female
   germline progenitors exist in postnatal mouse ovaries. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States* of America 2012;109: 12580-12585.
- Zhang Y, Xia X, Yan J, Yan L, Lu C, Zhu X, Wang T, Yin T, Li R, Chang HM *et al.* Mesenchymal stem cell-derived angiogenin
   promotes primodial follicle survival and angiogenesis in transplanted human ovarian tissue. *Reproductive biology and endocrinology : RB&E* 2017;15: 18.
- 395Zhang Y, Yan Z, Qin Q, Nisenblat V, Chang HM, Yu Y, Wang T, Lu C, Yang M, Yang S et al. Transcriptome Landscape of396Human Folliculogenesis Reveals Oocyte and Granulosa Cell Interactions. Molecular cell 2018;72: 1021-1034.e1024.
- Zhang Y, Yang Z, Yang Y, Wang S, Shi L, Xie W, Sun K, Zou K, Wang L, Xiong J *et al.* Production of transgenic mice by random
   recombination of targeted genes in female germline stem cells. *Journal of molecular cell biology* 2011;3: 132-141.
- Zheng Q, Fu X, Jiang J, Zhang N, Zou L, Wang W, Ding M, Chen H. Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cell Transplantation
   Prevents Chemotherapy-Induced Ovarian Failure via the NGF/TrkA Pathway in Rats. *BioMed research international* 2019;2019: 6539294.
- 402

#### 1

2

3

## Annex 1: Guideline group

This guideline was developed by the ESHRE Female Fertility Preservation Guideline Development Group (GDG). The GDG included healthcare professionals with expertise in fertility preservation but

Group (GDG). The GDG included healthcare professionals with expertise in fertility preservation but
with different medical background. As such, the guideline group included reproductive
endocrinologists, gynaecologist, oncologist, and a psychologist. Two patient representatives joined
the guideline group and attended most of the meetings. We aimed for an equal distribution in

8 gender, region and expertise.

Chair of the GDG	
Richard Anderson	University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh (UK)
GDG members	
Frederic Amant Didi Braat	Academic Medical Centre Amsterdam, Amsterdam (The Netherlands) Antoni van Leeuwenhoek-Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam Catholic University Leuven, Leuven (Belgium) Radboud university medical center, Nijmegen (The Netherlands)
Arianna D'Angelo	Wales Fertility Institute, Swansea Bay Health Board and University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, UK
Susana Chuva de Sousa Lopes	Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden (the Netherlands)
Isabelle Demeestere	Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels (Belgium)
Lucy Frith	University of Liverpool, Liverpool (UK)
Matteo Lambertini	University of Genova - IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genova (Italy)
Mariana Moura Ramos	Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra (Portugal) University of Coimbra, Center for Research in Neuropsychology and
Daniela Nogueira	INOVIE Fertilité, Clinique Croix du Sud, Toulouse, France
Kenny Rodriguez-Wallberg	Karolinska Institutet and Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm (Sweden)
Patient representatives	
Sandra Dwek	
Caroline Maslin	
Methodological support	

Nathalie Vermeulen

European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (Belgium)

#### **Declarations of interest**

All members of the guideline development group were asked to declare possible conflicts of interest by means of the disclosure forms (see *ESHRE Manual for Guideline Development*). 

	Conflicts of Interest
Richard Anderson	Research Grant Ferring, Roche Diagnostics Consulting fees Roche
Frederic Amant	None declared
Didi Braat	Research Grant Merck Serono, Goodlife
Arianna D'Angelo	None declared
Susana Chuva de Sousa Lopes	None declared
Isabelle Demeestere	Consulting fees ROCHE, speaker's fees Novartis
Lucy Frith	Consulting fees Teva
Matteo Lambertini	Consulting fees Roche, Speaker's fees Roche, Lilly, Theramex, Takeda
Mariana Moura Ramos	Speaker's fees from Merck Sharp and Dohme
Daniela Nogueira	None declared
Kenny Rodriguez-Wallberg	None declared
Sandra Dwek	
Caroline Maslin	
Nathalie Vermeulen	None declared

## 17 Annex 2: Abbreviations

ABVD	Doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine
AMH	Anti-Müllerian hormone
ANCA	Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody
AYA	Adolescents and young adults
BEACOPP	Cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, bleomycin, etoposide,
	procarbazine, prednisone
BEP	Bleomycin, etoposide and cisplatin
BOT	Borderline ovarian tumour
BPES	Blepharophimosis, ptosis, and epicanthus inversus syndrome
BRCA	Breast cancer gene
CED	Cyclophosphamide equivalent doses
CHOEP	CHOP plus etoposide
CHOP	Cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone
DA	Decision aid
DIE	Doxorubicin isotoxic equivalent
E₂	Estradiol
EBVP	Epirubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, prednisone
EP	Etoposide and cisplatin
EPOCH-R	Dose-adjusted etoposide, prednisone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide,
	doxorubicin and rituximab
ER	Estrogen receptor
FOLFOX	5-fluoruracil plus oxaliplatin
FP	Fertility preservation
FSH	Follicle-stimulating hormone
GAHT	gender-affirming hormone treatment
GV	Germinal vesicle
HR	Hazard ratio
HSCT	Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation
Hx	Hysterectomy
IMRT	Intensity-modulated radiotherapy
iPSCs	Induced pluripotent stem cells
IRR	Incidence rate ratio
IVA	In vitro activation
LH	Luteinizing hormone
MAR	Medically assisted reproduction
MII	Metaphase II
MOPP	Mechlorethamine, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisone
MOPP/ABV hybrid	MOPP/doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine
MSCs	Mesenchymal stromal cells
OPU	Oocyte pick-up
OR	Odds ratio
OTC	Ovarian tissue cryopreservation
ΟΠ	Ovarian tissue transplantation
P <sub>4</sub>	Progesterone
PR	Prevalence ratio
RA	Rheumatoid arthritis
RR	Relative risk
RSQB	MOPP/doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine
SIR	Standardised incidence ratio
	I ransabdominal cerclage
	I ransgender adolescents and young adults
TM	I ransgender men
XELOX	Capecitabine plus oxaliplatin

## Annex 3: Research recommendations

### 1920 Patient information provision and support

21 Studies are needed comparing the effectiveness and patients' satisfaction with paper compared to 22 online decision aids.

The relevance of the decision aids in supporting patients' decision making and reducing emotional distress at the time of the decision should be further clarified.

Studies should investigate the benefit of providing psychological counselling to women undergoing FP decision-making. It should also be investigated which patients would benefit the most from psychological support and counselling. There is a need for more studies examining risk factors for emotional distress in patients undergoing FP.

29

34

44

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

18

#### 30 Gonadotoxicity

To investigate the impact of newer anticancer treatments (including targeted agents and immunotherapy) on ovarian function, ovarian reserve and fertility potential of cancer patients should be considered a research priority.

#### 35 Oocyte and embryo cryopreservation

The success rates of oocyte versus embryo cryopreservation should be further investigated;

#### 38 Elective oocyte cryopreservation

Future research: data should be collected on numbers of women who return to use their frozen oocytes and pregnancy and live birth rates. The psychological benefits of having frozen oocytes should also be explored, as fertility could be argued to be preserved even if the oocytes are never used. It could also be explored if better education of both men and women about reproductive lifespan would affect the usage or perceptions of elective oocyte cryopreservation.

- 45 Ovarian tissue cryopreservation
  - Evaluate the effectiveness of OTC in restoring fertility in larger cohorts of patients.
  - Evaluate long-term safety of OTC and replacement for patients and their children (long-term follow-up).
  - Studies are needed on graft longevity, and factors affecting this (location of transplantation, surgical technique, follicle density)
    - Develop highly sensitive methods for detection of neoplasic cells within the ovarian cortex of high-risk patients

#### 53 Ovarian protection

Research efforts are needed to provide more evidence on the role of GnRH agonists in ovarian function protection for patients with diseases other than breast cancer. In addition, the collection of long-term follow-up data (including pregnancies and age at menopause) from the already existing randomized trials should be encouraged to provide more robust evidence on the role of this strategy also for fertility preservation. Finally, well-designed and adequately conducted in vitro and in vivo experimental studies should be conducted also in species other than rodents to finally elucidate the protective mechanisms of action of this strategy.

61 62

63

64

65

#### After treatment care

- The effect of pelvic radiotherapy in adults on pregnancy outcomes should be further investigated.
- The follow-up of children after FP treatments should be included in registers.
- Research should investigate the on psychological outcomes of women pregnant after FP.

## 67 Annex 4: Methodology

#### 68 Guideline development

European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) guidelines are developed based on the Manual for ESHRE guideline development (N. Vermeulen, A. D'Angelo, P. de Sutter, W.L.D.M. Nelen, Manual for ESHRE guideline development, version 2017), which can be consulted at the ESHRE website (www.eshre.eu/guidelines). The principal aim of this manual is to provide stepwise advice on ESHRE guideline development for members of ESHRE guideline development groups. The manual describes a 12-step procedure for writing clinical management guidelines by the guideline development group, supported by the ESHRE methodological expert.

<b>1</b> TOPIC SELECTION	7 RECOMMENDATIONS
<b>2</b> GDG FORMATION	8 DRAFT FOR REVIEW
<b>3</b> SCOPING	9 STAKEHOLDER REVIEW
4 KEY QUESTIONS	10 EXCO APPROVAL
5 EVIDENCE SEARCH	11 PUBLICATION
6 EVIDENCE SYNTHESIS	12 UPDATING / REVISING

76

The current guideline was developed with support of ESHRE, which covered expenses associated with the guideline meetings (travel, hotel and catering expenses) associated with the literature searches (library costs, costs associated with the retrieval of papers) and with the implementation of the guideline (printing, publication costs). Except for reimbursement of their travel expenses, GDG members did not receive any payment for their participation in the guideline development process.

After approval of the guideline application by the ESHRE Executive Committee, the scope of the 83 84 guideline and the members of the guideline group were discussed by the coordinator and deputies 85 of the ESHRE Special Interest Group (SIG) Safety and Quality in ART and the SIG Fertility Preservation. In composing a guideline group, we strived towards a balance in expertise, gender 86 and location within Europe. A meeting of the guideline development group was organized to 87 discuss the key questions and redefine them through the PICO process (patients - interventions -88 comparison – outcome). This resulted in a final list of 21 key questions, of which 7 were answered 89 90 as narrative questions, and 14 ad PICO questions. Based on the defined key words, literature searches were performed by the methodological expert (Dr. N. Vermeulen). Key words were sorted 91 to importance and used for searches in PUBMED/MEDLINE and the Cochrane library. We searched 92 the databases from inception up to 1 November 2019. 93

94 Literature searches were performed as an iterative process. In a first step, systematic reviews and meta-analyses were collected. If no results were found, the search was extended to randomized 95 controlled trials, and further to cohort studies and case reports, following the hierarchy of the levels 96 of evidence. Reference were selected or excluded by the methodological expert and expert GDG 97 member based on title and abstract and knowledge of the existing literature. If necessary, 98 additional searches were performed in order to get the final list of papers. The quality of the 99 100 selected papers was assessed by means of the quality assessment checklist, defined in the ESHRE guideline manual. Next, the evidence was collected and summarized in an evidence table. The 101 quality assessment and completion of evidence tables were performed by the expert GDG 102 members. 103

Summary of findings tables are usually prepared according to the GRADE approach for all interventions with at least two studies (RCTs) per outcome. For the interventions in the current guideline, such evidence is not available, and hence no summary of findings tables were produced. GDG meetings were organized to discuss the draft recommendations and the supporting evidence and to reach consensus on the final formulation of the recommendations. In a final step, all evidence and recommendations were combined in the ESHRE guideline: "Female Fertility Preservation"

#### 111 Formulation of recommendations

We labelled the recommendations as either "strong" or "weak" according to the GRADE approach, with appropriate wording for each option. Suggested interpretation of strong and conditional

recommendations by patients, clinicians and health care policy makers is as follows:

Implications for	Strong recommendation	Weak (or conditional) recommendation
Patients	Most individuals in this situation would want the recommended course of action, and only a small proportion would not	The majority of individuals in this situation would want the suggested course of action, but many would not
Clinicians	Most individuals should receive the intervention. Adherence to this recommendation according to the guideline could be used as a quality criterion or performance indicator. Formal decision aids are not likely to be needed to help individuals make decisions consistent with their values and preferences	Recognize that different choices will be appropriate for individual patients and that you must help each patient arrive at a management decision consistent with his or her values and preferences Decision aids may be useful in helping individuals to make decisions consistent with their values and preferences
Policy makers	The recommendation can be adopted as policy in most situations	Policy making will require substantial debate and involvement of various stakeholders

115

For each recommendation, it is mentioned whether it is strong or weak and what the quality of the supporting evidence was. In the justification section, more data are provided on the interpretation of the supporting evidence and how other factors (i.e. balance between desirable and undesirable effects, certainty of the evidence of effects, certainty in how people value the outcome, acceptability and feasibility of the intervention) were considered. Impact on health equity and resource impact were only discussed where relevant.

#### 122 Strategy for review of the Guideline draft

After finalization of the guideline draft, the review process was initiated. The draft guideline was published on the ESHRE website, accompanied by the reviewers' comments form and a short explanation of the review process. The guideline was open for review between 6 May and 17 June 2020.

127 To notify interested clinicians, we sent out an invitation to review the guideline by email to all 128 members of the ESHRE SIG Safety and Quality in ART and the SIG Fertility Preservation. Selected 129 reviewers were personally invited by email. These reviewers included:

130 131

132

- Coordinators and deputies of the ESHRE SIGs Embryology, Psychology and Counselling, ....
- Contact persons of patient organizations across Europe.
- Contact persons of international and national societies focused on FP across Europe.
- All reviewers are listed in Annex 5. The Reviewer comments processing report, including further information on the review and a list of all comments per reviewer with the response formulated by the GDG is published on the ESHRE website.

#### 137 Guideline Implementation strategy

138 The standard dissemination procedure for all ESHRE guidelines comprises publishing and 139 announcement.

Each guideline is published on the ESHRE Website and in Human Reproduction. The announcement procedure includes a newsflash on the ESHRE website homepage. All participants in the annual ESHRE meeting and all related national societies and patient organizations are informed about the guideline release. The latter are asked to encourage local implementation by, for instance, translations or condensed versions, but they are also offered a website link to the original document.

- Patient versions of the guideline will be developed by a subgroup of the GDG together with patient
- the representatives. The patient version is a translation of the recommendations in everyday language, with emphasis on questions important to patients. It aims to help patients understand the
- 149 guideline's recommendations and facilitates clinical decision-making.
- To further enhance implementation of the guideline, the members of the GDG, as experts in the field, will be asked to make suggestions for tailor-made implementation interventions (e.g. option
- 152 grids, flow-charts, additional recommendations, addition of graphic/visual material to the
- 153 guideline).

#### 154 Schedule for updating the guideline

The current guideline will be considered for revision in 2024 (four years after publication). An intermediate search for new evidence will be performed two years after publication, which will inform the GDG of the necessity of an update.

158 Every care is taken to ensure that this publication is correct in every detail at the time of publication.

However, in the event of errors or omissions, corrections will be published in the web version of this document, which is the definitive version at all times. This version can be found at www.eshre.eu/quidelines.

- For more details on the methodology of ESHRE guidelines, visit
   www.eshre.eu/guidelines
- 164
- 165

## Annex 5: Stakeholder review

2

The guideline draft was published for review for 6 weeks, between 6 May and 17 June 2020. All reviewers, their comments and the reply of the guideline development group are summarized in a

4 reviewers, their comments and the reply of the guideline development group are summarized in a 5 review report, which is published on the ESHRE website as supporting documentation to the

6 guideline. The list of representatives of professional organization, and of individual experts that

- 7 provided comments to the guideline are summarized below.
- 8

# Annex 6 : Survey on the legal aspects and storage: methodology

#### 11

A survey was set up in Surveymonkey and distributed to the ESHRE Committee of national representatives (May 2019). There were 33 replies, of which 5 were excluded because only the first question (country) was completed. A second invitation to complete the survey was sent in November 2019 to representatives of countries for which no input was received after the first mailing.

#### 17

18 In total, there were 39 replies providing data for 30 countries. There were 3 replies for Italy, and 2 19 for Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, France, Hungary, Russian Federation and UK. For these countries,

- 20 replies were summarized. Where respondents replied differently, or where information was
- 21 missing, GDG members or members of the SIG Fertility Preservation coordination were asked to
- 22 complete the information.

Copyright © European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology - All rights reserved

The content of these ESHRE guidelines has been published for personal and educational use only. No commercial use is authorised. No part of the ESHRE guidelines may be translated or reproduced in any form without prior written permission of the ESHRE communications manager.